

Undocumented Immigrants' State & Local Tax Contributions

**Lisa Christensen Gee
Matthew Gardner
Meg Wiehe**

Updated February 2016

About The Institute on Taxation & Economic Policy

The Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy (ITEP) is a non-profit, non-partisan research organization that works on federal, state, and local tax policy issues. ITEP's mission is to ensure that elected officials, the media, and the general public have access to accurate, timely, and straightforward information that allows them to understand the effects of current and proposed tax policies. ITEP's work focuses particularly on issues of tax fairness and sustainability.

Acknowledgments

ITEP extends special thanks to David Dyssegaard Kallick at the Fiscal Policy Institute, Michael Leachman at the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, Jeanne Batalova at the Migration Policy Institute and Tanya Broder and Kamal Essaheb at the National Immigration Law Center for their guidance on this report.

THE INSTITUTE ON TAXATION & ECONOMIC POLICY

1616 P Street, NW Suite 200, Washington, DC 20036

Tel: 202.299.1066  www.itep.org

Public debates over federal immigration reform often suffer from insufficient and inaccurate information about the tax contributions of undocumented immigrants particularly at the state level. The truth is that undocumented immigrants living in the United States pay billions of dollars each year in state and local taxes. Further, these tax contributions would increase significantly if all undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States were granted a pathway to citizenship as part of a comprehensive immigration reform.

Accurate information about the tax contributions of undocumented immigrants is needed now more than ever. Congress has yet to pass comprehensive immigration reform legislation. President Obama took executive action in 2012 and announced his intention to take action in 2014 to grant relief to eligible individuals who came to the United States as children (DACA) and to eligible parents of children who are citizens or lawful permanent residents (DAPA). The 2014 executive actions are facing review by the Supreme Court in the spring of 2016. And immigration is a leading issue among the 2016 presidential candidates.

To better inform the ongoing debates on immigration policy reform, this report provides state-by-state and national estimates on the current state and local tax contributions of the 11 million undocumented immigrants living in the United States as of 2013, the increase in contributions if all these taxpayers were granted legal status as part of comprehensive reform, and the increase in contributions of the more than 5 million undocumented immigrants who could be directly affected by President Obama's 2012 and 2014 executive actions.¹

Key Findings:

- Undocumented immigrants contribute significantly to state and local taxes, collectively paying an estimated **\$11.64 billion** a year.² Contributions range from almost \$2.2 million in Montana with an estimated undocumented population of 4,000 to more than \$3.1 billion in California, home to more than 3 million undocumented immigrants.
- Undocumented immigrants nationwide pay on average an estimated 8 percent of their incomes in state and local taxes (this is their effective state and local tax rate). To put this in perspective, the top 1 percent of taxpayers pay an average nationwide effective tax rate of just 5.4 percent.³

¹ Migration Policy Institute (MPI) analysis of U.S. Census Bureau data from the 2009-2013 ACS pooled, and the 2008 Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) by Colin Hammar and James Bachmeier of Temple University and Jennifer Van Hook of Pennsylvania State University, Population Research Institute.

² See the methodology section for more information on the calculation of estimated undocumented immigrant state and local tax payments.

³ Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, *Who Pays? A Distributional Analysis of the Tax Systems in All 50 States*, January 2015. Available: www.whopays.org

- Granting legal status to all undocumented immigrants in the United States as part of a comprehensive immigration reform and allowing them to work legally would increase their state and local tax contributions by an estimated **\$2.1 billion** a year. Their nationwide effective state and local tax rate would increase to **8.6 percent**.
- The state and local tax contributions of the undocumented immigrants who could be directly impacted by President Obama's 2012 and 2014 executive actions would increase by an estimated **\$805 million** a year once fully in place. The effective state and local tax rate for this population would increase from 8.1 to **8.6 percent**. State and local revenue gains from the executive actions are smaller than gains from granting legal status to all undocumented immigrants because the actions (if upheld) would only affect around 46 percent of the undocumented population and the actions do not grant a full pathway to lawful permanent residence or citizenship.

Undocumented Immigrants Pay State and Local Taxes: Current Contributions

Like other people living and working in the United States, undocumented immigrants pay state and local taxes. They pay sales and excise taxes when they purchase goods and services (for example, on utilities, clothing and gasoline). They pay property taxes directly on their homes or indirectly as renters. Many undocumented immigrants also pay state income taxes. The best evidence suggests that at least 50 percent of undocumented immigrant households currently file income tax returns using Individual Tax Identification Numbers (ITINs), and many who do not file income tax returns still have taxes deducted from their paychecks.⁴

Collectively, undocumented immigrants in the United States pay an estimated total of \$11.64 billion in state and local taxes a year (see Table 1 for state-by-state estimates). This includes more than \$6.9 billion in sales and excise taxes, \$3.6 billion in property taxes, and just under \$1.1 billion in personal income taxes.

Another way to measure the state and local taxes that undocumented immigrants pay is through their effective tax rate, which is the share of total income paid in taxes. The effective tax rate is useful for more accurate state-to-state comparisons because it accounts for differences between states' tax structures and population size. Undocumented immigrants' nationwide average effective tax rate is an estimated 8 percent. To put this in perspective, the top 1 percent of taxpayers pay an average nationwide effective tax rate of just 5.4 percent.⁵

⁴ See this report's methodology section for more information about current personal income tax compliance.

⁵ Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy (see footnote 3).

Table 1: Undocumented Immigrants' State and Local Tax Contributions*Current vs. Full Legal Status for All Undocumented Immigrants*

State	Current State and Local Taxes	State and Local Taxes if Granted Full Legal Status	Tax Change	State	Current State and Local Taxes	State and Local Taxes if Granted Full Legal Status	Tax Change
Alabama	\$63,783,000	\$81,984,000	+\$18,201,000	Montana	\$2,207,000	\$3,047,000	+\$840,000
Alaska	\$3,512,000	\$3,863,000	+\$351,000	Nebraska	\$42,096,000	\$49,529,000	+\$7,433,000
Arizona	\$231,450,000	\$273,902,000	+\$42,452,000	Nevada	\$91,035,000	\$100,138,000	+\$9,103,000
Arkansas	\$58,605,000	\$71,934,000	+\$13,329,000	New Hampshire	\$8,205,000	\$9,076,000	+\$871,000
California	\$3,170,401,000	\$3,619,437,000	+\$449,036,000	New Jersey	\$590,302,000	\$667,608,000	+\$77,306,000
Colorado	\$134,582,000	\$170,450,000	+\$35,868,000	New Mexico	\$67,999,000	\$76,022,000	+\$8,023,000
Connecticut	\$136,233,000	\$157,772,000	+\$21,539,000	New York	\$1,108,625,000	\$1,355,008,000	+\$246,383,000
Delaware	\$11,966,000	\$17,244,000	+\$5,278,000	North Carolina	\$275,840,000	\$368,514,000	+\$92,674,000
Dist. of Col.	\$27,083,000	\$33,157,000	+\$6,074,000	North Dakota	\$3,759,000	\$4,311,000	+\$552,000
Florida	\$588,086,000	\$646,894,000	+\$58,808,000	Ohio	\$84,857,000	\$110,595,000	+\$25,738,000
Georgia	\$358,753,000	\$464,430,000	+\$105,677,000	Oklahoma	\$77,268,000	\$94,278,000	+\$17,010,000
Hawaii	\$30,231,000	\$39,881,000	+\$9,650,000	Oregon	\$78,169,000	\$114,882,000	+\$36,713,000
Idaho	\$26,248,000	\$31,468,000	+\$5,220,000	Pennsylvania	\$139,404,000	\$190,931,000	+\$51,527,000
Illinois	\$743,288,000	\$897,781,000	+\$154,493,000	Rhode Island	\$33,438,000	\$40,442,000	+\$7,004,000
Indiana	\$89,253,000	\$116,970,000	+\$27,717,000	South Carolina	\$67,697,000	\$86,085,000	+\$18,388,000
Iowa	\$37,381,000	\$46,292,000	+\$8,911,000	South Dakota	\$4,252,000	\$4,677,000	+\$425,000
Kansas	\$69,278,000	\$80,435,000	+\$11,157,000	Tennessee	\$105,277,000	\$115,836,000	+\$10,559,000
Kentucky	\$37,368,000	\$53,352,000	+\$15,984,000	Texas	\$1,542,397,000	\$1,696,637,000	+\$154,240,000
Louisiana	\$61,060,000	\$74,726,000	+\$13,666,000	Utah	\$67,102,000	\$87,109,000	+\$20,007,000
Maine	\$4,344,000	\$5,483,000	+\$1,139,000	Vermont	\$3,918,000	\$4,543,000	+\$625,000
Maryland	\$308,055,000	\$393,669,000	+\$85,614,000	Virginia	\$245,857,000	\$324,148,000	+\$78,291,000
Massachusetts	\$201,369,000	\$262,080,000	+\$60,711,000	Washington	\$292,169,000	\$321,385,000	+\$29,216,000
Michigan	\$83,833,000	\$109,845,000	+\$26,012,000	West Virginia	\$4,204,000	\$5,596,000	+\$1,392,000
Minnesota	\$77,066,000	\$94,713,000	+\$17,647,000	Wisconsin	\$80,863,000	\$98,333,000	+\$17,470,000
Mississippi	\$21,872,000	\$26,930,000	+\$5,058,000	Wyoming	\$3,625,000	\$3,987,000	+\$362,000
Missouri	\$48,273,000	\$62,690,000	+\$14,417,000	All States	\$11,643,936,000	\$13,770,107,000	+\$2,126,171,000

Granting Legal Status to All Undocumented Immigrants Would Boost Their State and Local Tax Contributions

Creating a pathway to citizenship for the 11 million undocumented immigrants living in the United States and allowing them to work here legally would boost their current state and local tax contributions by more than \$2.1 billion a year (See Table 1). Personal income tax collections would increase by more than \$1 billion a year. Sales and excise taxes would increase by \$695 million, and property taxes would grow by \$360 million. As a result, the overall state and local taxes paid by undocumented immigrants as a share of their income would increase from 8 percent to 8.6 percent.

The most significant revenue gain (50 percent) would come from the personal income tax, due to both increased earnings and full compliance with the tax code.⁶ Multiple studies have shown that legal immigrants have higher wages than undocumented immigrants, thus gaining legal status could lead to a boost in wages. The wage boost is in part due to better job opportunities that would be made available to workers with legal status and also in part to an increase in higher-level skills and better training. Most comprehensive reform measures to date have included strong incentives or requirements for undocumented immigrants granted legal status to fully comply with tax law.

See Appendix 1 for state-by-state estimates of the current and post-reform state and local tax contributions of the total undocumented immigrant population. The appendix includes effective tax rates and totals for personal income, property, and sales and excise taxes.

President Obama’s Executive Actions Would Increase State and Local Tax Revenues

President Obama took executive actions in 2012 and 2014 to provide temporary relief from deportation and grant work permits to eligible undocumented immigrants. Under the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program, this relief was made available to up to 1.2 million eligible undocumented immigrants who came to the country as children. Under the 2014 executive action, President Obama expanded DACA by another 275,000 undocumented immigrants and announced the Deferred Action for Parents of Americans and Lawful Permanent Residents (DAPA) program, which would allow 3.6 million eligible undocumented parents to apply for relief from deportation and for work authorization.⁷ Pending Supreme Court review of the 2014 executive action, more than 5 million undocumented immigrants could benefit from these executive actions, amounting to about 46 percent of the total population of undocumented immigrants living in the United States.

If fully implemented, granting temporary immigration relief to these 5 million undocumented immigrants through DACA and DAPA would boost their current state and local tax contributions by more than \$805 million (See Table 2). Personal income tax collections would increase by \$442 million a year. Sales and excise taxes would increase by \$239 million, and property taxes would grow by \$123 million. As a result, the overall state and local taxes paid by this population as a share of their income would increase from 8.1 percent to 8.6 percent. The most significant revenue gain (55 percent) would come from the personal income tax, due to both increased earnings and full compliance with the tax code. Since the undocumented immigrants who would benefit from the executive actions would be allowed to apply for a three-year renewable

⁶ See this report’s methodology for a detailed description of wage boost and tax compliance assumptions applied to the change in state and local tax contributions post-reform.

⁷ Migration Policy Institute (see footnote 1).

work permit, some amount of wage boost could be expected. Due to the mandates of the executive actions and the strong incentives undocumented immigrants have for compliance with the tax laws, it is also logical to assume full tax compliance for this impacted population (see the methodology section for more information).

See Appendix 2 for state-by-state estimates of the current and post-reform state and local tax contributions of the 5 million undocumented immigrants directly affected by President Obama’s executive actions. The appendix includes effective tax rates and totals for personal income, property, and sales and excise taxes.

Table 2: State and Local Tax Contributions of Undocumented Immigrant Population Impacted by Executive Actions

Current vs. Post Implementation of Executive Actions

State	Current State and Local Taxes	Taxes Under Full Implementation of Exec. Actions	Tax Change
Alabama	\$27,462,000	\$34,497,000	+\$7,035,000
Alaska	\$1,049,000	\$1,127,000	+\$78,000
Arizona	\$119,232,000	\$137,894,000	+\$18,662,000
Arkansas	\$30,388,000	\$36,452,000	+\$6,064,000
California	\$1,591,470,000	\$1,775,584,000	+\$184,114,000
Colorado	\$68,932,000	\$85,320,000	+\$16,388,000
Connecticut	\$50,457,000	\$57,106,000	+\$6,649,000
Delaware	\$4,895,000	\$6,894,000	+\$1,999,000
Dist. of Col.	\$7,583,000	\$9,073,000	+\$1,490,000
Florida	\$222,598,000	\$239,292,000	+\$16,694,000
Georgia	\$158,837,000	\$200,952,000	+\$42,115,000
Hawaii	\$10,581,000	\$13,641,000	+\$3,060,000
Idaho	\$15,241,000	\$17,856,000	+\$2,615,000
Illinois	\$373,792,000	\$441,224,000	+\$67,432,000
Indiana	\$41,267,000	\$52,854,000	+\$11,587,000
Iowa	\$17,175,000	\$20,786,000	+\$3,611,000
Kansas	\$35,172,000	\$39,908,000	+\$4,736,000
Kentucky	\$14,947,000	\$20,856,000	+\$5,909,000
Louisiana	\$18,318,000	\$21,908,000	+\$3,590,000
Maine	\$1,297,000	\$1,600,000	+\$303,000
Maryland	\$107,092,000	\$133,744,000	+\$26,652,000
Massachusetts	\$70,751,000	\$89,989,000	+\$19,238,000
Michigan	\$35,434,000	\$45,374,000	+\$9,940,000
Minnesota	\$36,155,000	\$43,424,000	+\$7,269,000
Mississippi	\$7,571,000	\$9,110,000	+\$1,539,000
Missouri	\$21,172,000	\$26,871,000	+\$5,699,000
Montana	\$659,000	\$889,000	+\$230,000
Nebraska	\$20,479,000	\$23,548,000	+\$3,069,000
Nevada	\$43,538,000	\$46,804,000	+\$3,266,000
New Hampshire	\$2,450,000	\$2,648,000	+\$198,000
New Jersey	\$227,307,000	\$251,232,000	+\$23,925,000
New Mexico	\$34,971,000	\$38,209,000	+\$3,238,000
New York	\$421,968,000	\$504,025,000	+\$82,057,000
North Carolina	\$122,595,000	\$160,062,000	+\$37,467,000
North Dakota	\$1,122,000	\$1,258,000	+\$136,000
Ohio	\$34,761,000	\$44,274,000	+\$9,513,000
Oklahoma	\$35,736,000	\$42,613,000	+\$6,877,000
Oregon	\$40,104,000	\$57,600,000	+\$17,496,000
Pennsylvania	\$52,277,000	\$69,972,000	+\$17,695,000
Rhode Island	\$13,584,000	\$16,056,000	+\$2,472,000
South Carolina	\$27,352,000	\$33,991,000	+\$6,639,000
South Dakota	\$1,269,000	\$1,365,000	+\$96,000
Tennessee	\$43,349,000	\$46,613,000	+\$3,264,000
Texas	\$785,948,000	\$844,894,000	+\$58,946,000
Utah	\$36,992,000	\$46,930,000	+\$9,938,000
Vermont	\$1,170,000	\$1,325,000	+\$155,000
Virginia	\$89,583,000	\$115,426,000	+\$25,843,000
Washington	\$143,220,000	\$153,961,000	+\$10,741,000
West Virginia	\$1,255,000	\$1,633,000	+\$378,000
Wisconsin	\$37,239,000	\$44,256,000	+\$7,017,000
Wyoming	\$1,082,000	\$1,163,000	+\$81,000
All States	\$5,308,882,000	\$6,114,086,000	+\$805,204,000

Methodology

While the spending and income behavior of undocumented immigrant families is not as well documented as that of US citizens, the estimates in this report represent a best approximation of the taxes families headed by undocumented immigrants likely pay.

The ITEP methodology used to calculate the current and potential tax contribution of undocumented immigrants uses six main data points:

1. Estimated undocumented immigrant population in each state
2. Estimated impacted population under the 2012 and 2014 Executive Actions in each state
3. Average size of undocumented immigrant families/taxpaying units
4. Range of annual undocumented immigrant family/taxpayer income in each state
5. Estimated number of undocumented immigrants who are homeowners
6. Estimated effective tax rates (taxes as share of income) for income, sales, and property taxes paid by low- and moderate-income families in each state

Additional assumptions are made (and described below) about the change in tax contributions that would occur in two instances: if all 11 million undocumented immigrants were granted legal status under comprehensive immigration reform; and if 5 million undocumented immigrants were granted temporary deferred action by President Obama's 2012 and 2014 executive actions.

See Appendix 3 for state-by-state details on data used to assist in calculating the state and local tax contributions.

1. Estimated undocumented immigrant population in each state

Estimates of each state's undocumented immigrant population are from the Migration Policy Institute (MPI).⁸ According to MPI, an estimated 11 million undocumented immigrants resided in the U.S. as of 2013 (an estimate that is roughly 400,000 lower than MPI's estimate from 2012 data.)

2. Estimated impacted population under the 2012 and 2014 Executive Actions in each state

Estimates of each state's impacted undocumented immigrant population under the 2012 and 2014 executive actions are from the MPI.⁹ According to MPI, an estimated 5 million undocumented immigrants (46 percent of the total undocumented population) are potentially eligible to receive immigration relief under the executive actions.

⁸ Ibid.

⁹ Ibid.

3. Average size of undocumented immigrant families/taxpaying units

The Pew Research Center calculated a nationwide estimate of the number of people per undocumented immigrant family. The most recent estimate, 2.29, is used to find an estimated number of undocumented families, or taxpaying units, by state.¹⁰ ITEP divided population estimates for each state (total and affected populations) by the average family size to find an estimated number of undocumented families/taxpaying units living in each state and the number of families/taxpaying units impacted by the 2012 and 2014 executive actions.

4. Range of annual undocumented immigrant family/taxpayer income in each state

Estimates of the income distribution of undocumented families are from MPI data on the number of undocumented families in five discrete income groups.¹¹ ITEP used the midpoint of the income ranges in each group as an estimate of average income within each group and multiplied by the number of families/taxpaying units in each group to calculate aggregate income in these groups.

5. Estimated number of undocumented immigrants who are homeowners

ITEP used MPI data on undocumented families' homeownership rates for each state. We then calculated separate property tax incidence analyses for homeowners and renters in each state. Applying the homeowner effective tax rates to the homeowner population and the renter tax rates to the renter population yielded a combined property tax estimate for all undocumented families in each state.¹²

6. Estimated effective tax rates (taxes as share of income) for income, sales, and property taxes paid by low- and moderate-income families in each state¹³

ITEP's microsimulation computer model is a sophisticated program that applies the state and local tax laws in each state (including income, sales, excise and property tax laws) to a statistically valid database of tax returns to generate estimates of the effective tax rates paid by taxpayers at various income levels under state and local tax law in place as of December 31, 2014. In January of 2015, ITEP released the 5th edition of *Who Pays?* which estimates the effect of the state and local tax laws as of January 2015 on taxpayers at 2012 income levels. This report applies effective tax rates calculated in the 2015 *Who Pays?* report to the undocumented population with one exception. The effective tax rates in five states: California, Maine, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and Rhode Island were slightly modified for the analysis to include the enactment or enhancement of state EITCs in 2015 (this change applies only to the analysis post-reform for both granting legal status to all undocumented immigrants and under the executive actions and does not impact the current tax contributions).

¹⁰ Passel and Cohn, *Unauthorized Immigrant Population*, National and State Trends, 2010, Pew Research Center, Feb. 1, 2011.

¹¹ Migration Policy Institute (see footnote 1).

¹² Ibid.

¹³ Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy (see footnote 3).

The following assumptions were made to calculate the sales, property, and income taxes of the undocumented immigration population:

- **Sales tax:** Sales taxes are collected by retailers every time a purchase is made on a taxable good or service. It is reasonable to assume that undocumented immigrants pay sales tax at similar rates to U.S. citizens and legal immigrants with similar incomes. This analysis adjusts the estimated annual incomes for each state downward by 10 percent for purposes of calculating the sales tax paid to account for remittances. Research shows that undocumented immigrants send about 10 percent of their income to families in their countries of origin, so this portion of undocumented taxpayers' income is unavailable for taxable consumption.¹⁴
- **Property tax:** The first step in calculating property taxes was to identify the share of undocumented immigrant families who are homeowners or renters in each state. This analysis used state-by-state data from the MPI to estimate homeownership rates for undocumented immigrants in each state. The model assumes that for renters, half of the cost of the property tax paid initially by owners of rental properties is passed through to renters.
- **Income tax:** Various studies have estimated between 50 and 75 percent of undocumented immigrants currently pay personal income taxes using either false social security (SSN) or individual tax identification (ITIN) numbers.¹⁵ This analysis assumes a 50 percent compliance rate for current taxes and 100 percent post-reform (for both granting legal status to all undocumented immigrants and under the executive actions).

Undocumented immigrants are currently ineligible to receive the federal Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) and state versions of the credit because they lack the legal authority to work in the U.S. Accordingly, the impact of state EITCs has been removed from the current personal income tax estimates. This has the effect of increasing the effective income tax rates paid by these undocumented taxpayers under current law.

Additional indicators used to make calculations for anticipated state and local tax changes if legal status is granted as part of comprehensive immigration reform or under the executive actions:

- **Wage boost:** This study assumes that having the authority to work legally in the United States would increase undocumented immigrants' wages and thus increase the taxes paid by those same immigrants, based on research by the Fiscal Policy Institute. Examining a number of studies on immigrant wages, this research consistently found that legal immigrants had higher wages than undocumented immigrants and gaining legal

¹⁴ See, for example, Manuel Orozco, *Remittances to Latin America and the Caribbean: Issues and Perspectives on Development*, Report Commissioned by the Organization of American States, September 2004.

¹⁵ See among others: Feinleib, Joel and David Warner, *The Impact of Immigration on Social Security and the National Economy*, Social Security Advisory Board, Issue Brief No. 1, December 2005 (Available at www.ssa.gov/brief-1-immigration.pdf); Singer, Paula and Linda Dodd-Major, *Identification Numbers and U.S. Government Compliance Initiatives*, Tax Analysts Special Report, 2004; and Cornelius, Wayne and Jessica Lewis, *Impacts of Border Enforcement on Mexican Migration: The View from Sending Communities*, La Jolla, Calif.: University of California at San Diego, Center for Comparative Immigration Studies, 2007.

status could boost wages anywhere between 6 and 15 percent.¹⁶ A Congressional Budget Office report on the economic impact of immigration reform estimated the eventual wage boost to be 12 percent.¹⁷ An analysis from the Center for American Progress estimates that the 5 million workers who would directly benefit from the president's action will see a wage premium of 8.5 percent.¹⁸ This study assumes a conservative estimate of a 10 percent wage hike under granting legal status to all 11 million undocumented immigrants and a 7.5 percent wage hike under the terms of the president's executive actions since the work status would only be temporary. An increase in income would also contribute to a slight increase in the sales, property, and income tax payments of the currently undocumented immigrant population.

- **Personal income tax compliance:** As explained above, current estimates of undocumented immigrants' income tax compliance rates range from 50 to 75 percent. To calculate the anticipated income tax gain from allowing undocumented immigrants to work in the U.S. legally (under full legal status or the executive actions), this analysis assumes full compliance with state personal income tax laws post-reform given the strong incentives for tax compliance likely to be included in a comprehensive reform measure. It is important to note that the same tax rules and provisions that apply to the general population will apply to undocumented immigrants filing income taxes.
- **Earned Income Tax Credit eligibility:** Post-reform (for both granting legal status to all undocumented immigrants via comprehensive reform and under the executive actions), the study assumes that working immigrants granted the legal right to live and work in the U.S. and who are otherwise eligible for the EITC will claim the credit.

This analysis also assumes that working immigrants meeting EITC eligibility criteria and granted the legal right to work under comprehensive immigration reform and the executive actions will claim the state versions of the credit. The states with permanent EITCs included in this report are: California, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Delaware, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Maryland, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, Virginia, Vermont, and Wisconsin. This study includes the impact of the newly enacted EITC in California and improvements made to EITCs in Maine, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and Rhode Island since the publication of the 2015 report.

¹⁶ Kallick, David Dyssegaard, *Three Ways Immigration Reform Would Make the Economy More Productive*, Fiscal Policy Institute, June 4, 2013 (see Appendix A: A Review of the Literature on Legalization and Earnings). Available at: <http://fiscalpolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/06/3-ways-reform-would-improve-productivity.pdf>. See also this report's methodology section for more information on the wage effects of granting legal status to the entire undocumented population as well as the wage effects on those affected by the president's executive actions.

¹⁷ Congressional Budget Office, *Cost Estimate for S. 744 (Border Security, Economic Opportunity, and Immigration Modernization Act)*, June 2013. Available at: <http://cbo.gov/sites/default/files/cbofiles/attachments/s744.pdf>

¹⁸ Oakford, Patrick and Philip E. Wolgin, *The Economic and Fiscal Benefits of Deferred Action*, 2014, Center for American Progress. Available at: <https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/immigration/news/2014/11/21/102041/the-economic-and-fiscal-benefits-of-deferred-action/>

Changes from ITEP's April 2015 Undocumented Immigrants' State & Local Tax Contributions Report

The analysis presented in this report is an update to an ITEP report published in 2015. The 2016 report uses 2013 estimates on the undocumented immigrant population (size, income, homeownership, and population impacted by the executive actions) whereas the 2015 report used 2012 data. Most notably, the number of undocumented immigrants declined by more than 400,000 between 2012 and 2013, a decade-long trend that has been well documented amongst numerous researchers. The decline in the undocumented immigrant population and subsequently lower aggregate income led to a small drop in the total amount of state and local taxes undocumented immigrants pay in this study compared to the 2015 study. 📄

Appendix 1: Detailed State and Local Tax Contributions of Total Undocumented Immigrant Population

Current vs. Full Legal Status for All Undocumented Immigrants

State	Current vs. Full Legal Status	Sales and Excise Tax Total	Personal Income Tax Total	Property Tax Total	Total State and Local Taxes	Undocumented Immigrant Effective Tax Rate	Top 1% Effective Tax Rate (All Taxpayers) ¹
Alabama	Current	\$46,296,000	\$10,748,000	\$6,739,000	\$63,783,000	7.2%	3.8%
	Full Legal Status	\$50,925,000	\$23,647,000	\$7,412,000	\$81,984,000	8.4%	
Alaska	Current	\$1,590,000	No Income Tax	\$1,922,000	\$3,512,000	4.5%	2.5%
	Full Legal Status	\$1,749,000		\$2,115,000	\$3,863,000	4.5%	
Arizona	Current	\$155,014,000	\$17,552,000	\$58,883,000	\$231,450,000	8.0%	4.6%
	Full Legal Status	\$170,516,000	\$38,615,000	\$64,772,000	\$273,902,000	8.6%	
Arkansas	Current	\$44,115,000	\$6,790,000	\$7,700,000	\$58,605,000	9.1%	5.6%
	Full Legal Status	\$48,527,000	\$14,938,000	\$8,470,000	\$71,934,000	10.2%	
California	Current	\$1,951,824,000	\$155,623,000	\$1,062,954,000	\$3,170,401,000	8.0%	8.7%
	Full Legal Status	\$2,147,006,000	\$303,181,000	\$1,169,250,000	\$3,619,437,000	8.3%	
Colorado	Current	\$79,453,000	\$20,373,000	\$34,756,000	\$134,582,000	6.7%	4.6%
	Full Legal Status	\$87,398,000	\$44,820,000	\$38,232,000	\$170,450,000	7.7%	
Connecticut	Current	\$67,193,000	\$15,392,000	\$53,649,000	\$136,233,000	8.3%	5.3%
	Full Legal Status	\$73,912,000	\$24,847,000	\$59,014,000	\$157,772,000	8.8%	
Delaware	Current	\$4,393,000	\$3,999,000	\$3,574,000	\$11,966,000	3.9%	4.8%
	Full Legal Status	\$4,832,000	\$8,481,000	\$3,931,000	\$17,244,000	5.1%	
Dist. of Col.	Current	\$16,605,000	\$5,718,000	\$4,760,000	\$27,083,000	7.3%	6.4%
	Full Legal Status	\$18,266,000	\$9,656,000	\$5,236,000	\$33,157,000	8.1%	
Florida	Current	\$454,050,000	No Income Tax	\$134,036,000	\$588,086,000	7.3%	1.9%
	Full Legal Status	\$499,455,000		\$147,439,000	\$646,894,000	7.3%	
Georgia	Current	\$218,843,000	\$63,457,000	\$76,453,000	\$358,753,000	7.3%	5.0%
	Full Legal Status	\$240,727,000	\$139,605,000	\$84,099,000	\$464,430,000	8.6%	
Hawaii	Current	\$19,185,000	\$6,024,000	\$5,022,000	\$30,231,000	9.0%	7.0%
	Full Legal Status	\$21,103,000	\$13,254,000	\$5,525,000	\$39,881,000	10.8%	

Appendix 1: Detailed State and Local Tax Contributions of Total Undocumented Immigrant Population

Current vs. Full Legal Status for All Undocumented Immigrants

State	Current vs. Full Legal Status	Sales and Excise Tax Total	Personal Income Tax Total	Property Tax Total	Total State and Local Taxes	Undocumented Immigrant Effective Tax Rate	Top 1% Effective Tax Rate (All Taxpayers) ¹
Idaho	Current	\$15,537,000	\$2,359,000	\$8,352,000	\$26,248,000	7.2%	6.4%
	Full Legal Status	\$17,091,000	\$5,191,000	\$9,187,000	\$31,468,000	7.8%	
Illinois	Current	\$344,326,000	\$93,465,000	\$305,497,000	\$743,288,000	10.3%	4.6%
	Full Legal Status	\$378,759,000	\$182,975,000	\$336,047,000	\$897,781,000	11.3%	
Indiana	Current	\$53,794,000	\$19,145,000	\$16,314,000	\$89,253,000	8.1%	5.2%
	Full Legal Status	\$59,173,000	\$39,852,000	\$17,945,000	\$116,970,000	9.7%	
Iowa	Current	\$21,709,000	\$6,019,000	\$9,653,000	\$37,381,000	7.9%	6.0%
	Full Legal Status	\$23,880,000	\$11,793,000	\$10,619,000	\$46,292,000	8.9%	
Kansas	Current	\$43,982,000	\$6,541,000	\$18,755,000	\$69,278,000	8.2%	3.6%
	Full Legal Status	\$48,381,000	\$11,424,000	\$20,630,000	\$80,435,000	8.7%	
Kentucky	Current	\$20,981,000	\$11,134,000	\$5,253,000	\$37,368,000	7.1%	6.0%
	Full Legal Status	\$23,079,000	\$24,495,000	\$5,778,000	\$53,352,000	9.2%	
Louisiana	Current	\$46,877,000	\$7,678,000	\$6,505,000	\$61,060,000	7.8%	4.2%
	Full Legal Status	\$51,565,000	\$16,006,000	\$7,156,000	\$74,726,000	8.7%	
Maine	Current	\$2,568,000	\$665,000	\$1,111,000	\$4,344,000	6.6%	7.5%
	Full Legal Status	\$2,825,000	\$1,436,000	\$1,222,000	\$5,483,000	7.6%	
Maryland	Current	\$153,958,000	\$71,017,000	\$83,079,000	\$308,055,000	8.3%	6.7%
	Full Legal Status	\$169,354,000	\$132,927,000	\$91,387,000	\$393,669,000	9.7%	
Massachusetts	Current	\$87,506,000	\$45,589,000	\$68,274,000	\$201,369,000	7.2%	4.9%
	Full Legal Status	\$96,256,000	\$90,722,000	\$75,101,000	\$262,080,000	8.5%	
Michigan	Current	\$45,416,000	\$17,681,000	\$20,736,000	\$83,833,000	7.0%	5.1%
	Full Legal Status	\$49,958,000	\$37,078,000	\$22,809,000	\$109,845,000	8.3%	
Minnesota	Current	\$45,493,000	\$13,387,000	\$18,186,000	\$77,066,000	7.5%	7.5%
	Full Legal Status	\$50,042,000	\$24,667,000	\$20,005,000	\$94,713,000	8.3%	

Appendix 1: Detailed State and Local Tax Contributions of Total Undocumented Immigrant Population

Current vs. Full Legal Status for All Undocumented Immigrants

State	Current vs. Full Legal Status	Sales and Excise Tax Total	Personal Income Tax Total	Property Tax Total	Total State and Local Taxes	Undocumented Immigrant Effective Tax Rate	Top 1% Effective Tax Rate (All Taxpayers) ¹
Mississippi	Current	\$16,666,000	\$2,610,000	\$2,595,000	\$21,872,000	7.5%	5.3%
	Full Legal Status	\$18,333,000	\$5,743,000	\$2,855,000	\$26,930,000	8.4%	
Missouri	Current	\$28,068,000	\$8,718,000	\$11,487,000	\$48,273,000	6.8%	5.5%
	Full Legal Status	\$30,875,000	\$19,180,000	\$12,635,000	\$62,690,000	8.1%	
Montana	Current	\$663,000	\$563,000	\$981,000	\$2,207,000	4.2%	4.7%
	Full Legal Status	\$730,000	\$1,238,000	\$1,079,000	\$3,047,000	5.3%	
Nebraska	Current	\$22,831,000	\$4,048,000	\$15,216,000	\$42,096,000	9.0%	6.3%
	Full Legal Status	\$25,114,000	\$7,677,000	\$16,738,000	\$49,529,000	9.6%	
Nevada	Current	\$69,387,000	No Income Tax	\$21,648,000	\$91,035,000	5.0%	1.4%
	Full Legal Status	\$76,326,000		\$23,812,000	\$100,138,000	5.0%	
New Hampshire	Current	\$2,172,000	No Income Tax on Wages	\$5,987,000	\$8,205,000	6.3%	2.6%
	Full Legal Status	\$2,390,000		\$6,586,000	\$9,076,000	6.3%	
New Jersey	Current	\$267,243,000	\$49,370,000	\$273,688,000	\$590,302,000	7.7%	7.1%
	Full Legal Status	\$293,968,000	\$72,583,000	\$301,057,000	\$667,608,000	7.9%	
New Mexico	Current	\$50,204,000	\$3,950,000	\$13,846,000	\$67,999,000	9.2%	4.8%
	Full Legal Status	\$55,224,000	\$5,568,000	\$15,230,000	\$76,022,000	9.3%	
New York	Current	\$568,456,000	\$182,416,000	\$357,754,000	\$1,108,625,000	8.9%	8.1%
	Full Legal Status	\$625,301,000	\$336,177,000	\$393,529,000	\$1,355,008,000	9.9%	
North Carolina	Current	\$162,322,000	\$59,173,000	\$54,345,000	\$275,840,000	6.8%	5.3%
	Full Legal Status	\$178,554,000	\$130,181,000	\$59,779,000	\$368,514,000	8.3%	
North Dakota	Current	\$2,903,000	\$161,000	\$695,000	\$3,759,000	7.2%	3.0%
	Full Legal Status	\$3,194,000	\$353,000	\$764,000	\$4,311,000	7.5%	
Ohio	Current	\$47,519,000	\$15,684,000	\$21,654,000	\$84,857,000	8.0%	5.5%
	Full Legal Status	\$52,271,000	\$34,505,000	\$23,819,000	\$110,595,000	9.5%	

Appendix 1: Detailed State and Local Tax Contributions of Total Undocumented Immigrant Population

Current vs. Full Legal Status for All Undocumented Immigrants

State	Current vs. Full Legal Status	Sales and Excise Tax Total	Personal Income Tax Total	Property Tax Total	Total State and Local Taxes	Undocumented Immigrant Effective Tax Rate	Top 1% Effective Tax Rate (All Taxpayers) ¹
Oklahoma	Current	\$52,790,000	\$9,693,000	\$14,785,000	\$77,268,000	7.8%	4.3%
	Full Legal Status	\$58,069,000	\$19,945,000	\$16,264,000	\$94,278,000	8.7%	
Oregon	Current	\$14,868,000	\$28,340,000	\$34,962,000	\$78,169,000	5.6%	6.5%
	Full Legal Status	\$16,354,000	\$60,070,000	\$38,458,000	\$114,882,000	7.4%	
Pennsylvania	Current	\$66,902,000	\$34,169,000	\$38,333,000	\$139,404,000	7.5%	4.2%
	Full Legal Status	\$73,592,000	\$75,172,000	\$42,166,000	\$190,931,000	9.3%	
Rhode Island	Current	\$18,286,000	\$4,081,000	\$11,071,000	\$33,438,000	7.6%	6.3%
	Full Legal Status	\$20,115,000	\$8,149,000	\$12,179,000	\$40,442,000	8.4%	
South Carolina	Current	\$43,861,000	\$10,563,000	\$13,274,000	\$67,697,000	5.5%	4.5%
	Full Legal Status	\$48,247,000	\$23,238,000	\$14,601,000	\$86,085,000	6.4%	
South Dakota	Current	\$3,391,000	No Income Tax	\$861,000	\$4,252,000	8.1%	1.8%
	Full Legal Status	\$3,730,000		\$947,000	\$4,677,000	8.1%	
Tennessee	Current	\$88,060,000	No Income Tax on Wages	\$17,188,000	\$105,277,000	7.7%	3.0%
	Full Legal Status	\$96,866,000		\$18,907,000	\$115,836,000	7.7%	
Texas	Current	\$1,047,664,000	No Income Tax	\$494,733,000	\$1,542,397,000	8.7%	2.9%
	Full Legal Status	\$1,152,431,000		\$544,206,000	\$1,696,637,000	8.7%	
Utah	Current	\$39,131,000	\$12,088,000	\$15,883,000	\$67,102,000	6.9%	4.8%
	Full Legal Status	\$43,044,000	\$26,594,000	\$17,471,000	\$87,109,000	8.1%	
Vermont	Current	\$1,990,000	\$426,000	\$1,502,000	\$3,918,000	7.5%	7.7%
	Full Legal Status	\$2,189,000	\$701,000	\$1,652,000	\$4,543,000	7.9%	
Virginia	Current	\$124,534,000	\$56,756,000	\$64,567,000	\$245,857,000	6.5%	5.1%
	Full Legal Status	\$136,988,000	\$116,137,000	\$71,024,000	\$324,148,000	7.8%	
Washington	Current	\$223,135,000	No Income Tax	\$69,034,000	\$292,169,000	10.8%	2.4%
	Full Legal Status	\$245,448,000		\$75,937,000	\$321,385,000	10.8%	

Appendix 1: Detailed State and Local Tax Contributions of Total Undocumented Immigrant Population

Current vs. Full Legal Status for All Undocumented Immigrants

State	Current vs. Full Legal Status	Sales and Excise Tax Total	Personal Income Tax Total	Property Tax Total	Total State and Local Taxes	Undocumented Immigrant Effective Tax Rate	Top 1% Effective Tax Rate (All Taxpayers) ¹
West Virginia	Current	\$2,895,000	\$883,000	\$426,000	\$4,204,000	6.4%	6.5%
	Full Legal Status	\$3,184,000	\$1,944,000	\$468,000	\$5,596,000	7.8%	
Wisconsin	Current	\$41,762,000	\$11,098,000	\$28,002,000	\$80,863,000	8.2%	6.2%
	Full Legal Status	\$45,938,000	\$21,593,000	\$30,802,000	\$98,333,000	9.1%	
Wyoming	Current	\$2,936,000	No Income Tax	\$688,000	\$3,625,000	5.5%	1.2%
	Full Legal Status	\$3,230,000		\$757,000	\$3,987,000	5.5%	

All States	Current	\$6,951,347,000	\$1,095,221,000	\$3,597,367,000	\$11,643,936,000	8.0%	5.4%
	Full Legal Status	\$7,646,482,000	\$2,166,521,000	\$3,957,104,000	\$13,770,107,000	8.6%	
	Change	+\$695,135,000	+\$1,071,300,000	+\$359,737,000	+\$2,126,171,000		
	% Total Change	33%	50%	17%			

¹ Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, A Distributional Analysis of the Tax Systems in All Fifty States, 5th Edition, January 2015. www.whopays.org

Appendix 2: Detailed State and Local Tax Contributions of Undocumented Immigrant Population Impacted by Executive Actions

Current vs. Post Implementation of Executive Actions

State	Current vs. Executive Actions	Sales and Excise Tax Total	Personal Income Tax Total	Property Tax Total	Total State and Local Taxes	Impacted Undoc. Immigrant Effective Tax Rate	Top 1% Effective Tax Rate (All Taxpayers) ¹
Alabama	Current	\$19,933,000	\$4,628,000	\$2,901,000	\$27,462,000	7.2%	3.8%
	Executive Actions	\$21,428,000	\$9,950,000	\$3,119,000	\$34,497,000	8.4%	
Alaska	Current	\$475,000	No Income Tax	\$574,000	\$1,049,000	4.5%	2.5%
	Executive Actions	\$510,000		\$617,000	\$1,127,000	4.5%	
Arizona	Current	\$79,856,000	\$9,042,000	\$30,334,000	\$119,232,000	8.0%	4.6%
	Executive Actions	\$85,845,000	\$19,441,000	\$32,609,000	\$137,894,000	8.6%	
Arkansas	Current	\$22,875,000	\$3,521,000	\$3,992,000	\$30,388,000	9.1%	5.6%
	Executive Actions	\$24,590,000	\$7,569,000	\$4,292,000	\$36,452,000	10.2%	
California	Current	\$979,772,000	\$78,119,000	\$533,579,000	\$1,591,470,000	8.0%	8.7%
	Executive Actions	\$1,053,255,000	\$148,731,000	\$573,598,000	\$1,775,584,000	8.3%	
Colorado	Current	\$40,695,000	\$10,435,000	\$17,802,000	\$68,932,000	6.7%	4.6%
	Executive Actions	\$43,748,000	\$22,435,000	\$19,137,000	\$85,320,000	7.7%	
Connecticut	Current	\$24,886,000	\$5,701,000	\$19,870,000	\$50,457,000	8.3%	5.3%
	Executive Actions	\$26,753,000	\$8,993,000	\$21,360,000	\$57,106,000	8.8%	
Delaware	Current	\$1,797,000	\$1,636,000	\$1,462,000	\$4,895,000	3.9%	4.8%
	Executive Actions	\$1,932,000	\$3,391,000	\$1,572,000	\$6,894,000	5.1%	
Dist. of Col.	Current	\$4,649,000	\$1,601,000	\$1,333,000	\$7,583,000	7.3%	6.4%
	Executive Actions	\$4,998,000	\$2,642,000	\$1,433,000	\$9,073,000	8.1%	
Florida	Current	\$171,863,000	No Income Tax	\$50,734,000	\$222,598,000	7.3%	1.9%
	Executive Actions	\$184,753,000		\$54,539,000	\$239,292,000	7.3%	
Georgia	Current	\$96,892,000	\$28,095,000	\$33,850,000	\$158,837,000	7.3%	5.0%
	Executive Actions	\$104,159,000	\$60,405,000	\$36,388,000	\$200,952,000	8.6%	
Hawaii	Current	\$6,715,000	\$2,109,000	\$1,758,000	\$10,581,000	9.0%	7.0%
	Executive Actions	\$7,218,000	\$4,533,000	\$1,890,000	\$13,641,000	10.8%	

Appendix 2: Detailed State and Local Tax Contributions of Undocumented Immigrant Population Impacted by Executive Actions

Current vs. Post Implementation of Executive Actions

State	Current vs. Executive Actions	Sales and Excise Tax Total	Personal Income Tax Total	Property Tax Total	Total State and Local Taxes	Impacted Undoc. Immigrant Effective Tax Rate	Top 1% Effective Tax Rate (All Taxpayers) ¹
Idaho	Current	\$9,021,000	\$1,370,000	\$4,849,000	\$15,241,000	7.2%	6.4%
	Executive Actions	\$9,698,000	\$2,945,000	\$5,213,000	\$17,856,000	7.8%	
Illinois	Current	\$173,158,000	\$47,002,000	\$153,631,000	\$373,792,000	10.3%	4.6%
	Executive Actions	\$186,145,000	\$89,925,000	\$165,154,000	\$441,224,000	11.3%	
Indiana	Current	\$24,872,000	\$8,852,000	\$7,543,000	\$41,267,000	8.1%	5.2%
	Executive Actions	\$26,738,000	\$18,007,000	\$8,109,000	\$52,854,000	9.7%	
Iowa	Current	\$9,974,000	\$2,765,000	\$4,435,000	\$17,175,000	7.9%	6.0%
	Executive Actions	\$10,723,000	\$5,295,000	\$4,768,000	\$20,786,000	8.9%	
Kansas	Current	\$22,330,000	\$3,321,000	\$9,522,000	\$35,172,000	8.2%	3.6%
	Executive Actions	\$24,004,000	\$5,668,000	\$10,236,000	\$39,908,000	8.7%	
Kentucky	Current	\$8,392,000	\$4,454,000	\$2,101,000	\$14,947,000	7.1%	6.0%
	Executive Actions	\$9,022,000	\$9,575,000	\$2,259,000	\$20,856,000	9.2%	
Louisiana	Current	\$14,063,000	\$2,303,000	\$1,952,000	\$18,318,000	7.8%	4.2%
	Executive Actions	\$15,118,000	\$4,693,000	\$2,098,000	\$21,908,000	8.7%	
Maine	Current	\$767,000	\$199,000	\$332,000	\$1,297,000	6.6%	7.5%
	Executive Actions	\$824,000	\$419,000	\$357,000	\$1,600,000	7.6%	
Maryland	Current	\$53,522,000	\$24,688,000	\$28,882,000	\$107,092,000	8.3%	6.7%
	Executive Actions	\$57,536,000	\$45,160,000	\$31,048,000	\$133,744,000	9.7%	
Massachusetts	Current	\$30,745,000	\$16,018,000	\$23,988,000	\$70,751,000	7.2%	4.9%
	Executive Actions	\$33,051,000	\$31,151,000	\$25,787,000	\$89,989,000	8.5%	
Michigan	Current	\$19,197,000	\$7,473,000	\$8,765,000	\$35,434,000	7.0%	5.1%
	Executive Actions	\$20,636,000	\$15,316,000	\$9,422,000	\$45,374,000	8.3%	
Minnesota	Current	\$21,342,000	\$6,281,000	\$8,532,000	\$36,155,000	7.5%	7.5%
	Executive Actions	\$22,943,000	\$11,309,000	\$9,172,000	\$43,424,000	8.3%	

Appendix 2: Detailed State and Local Tax Contributions of Undocumented Immigrant Population Impacted by Executive Actions

Current vs. Post Implementation of Executive Actions

State	Current vs. Executive Actions	Sales and Excise Tax Total	Personal Income Tax Total	Property Tax Total	Total State and Local Taxes	Impacted Undoc. Immigrant Effective Tax Rate	Top 1% Effective Tax Rate (All Taxpayers) ¹
Mississippi	Current	\$5,769,000	\$904,000	\$898,000	\$7,571,000	7.5%	5.3%
	Executive Actions	\$6,202,000	\$1,943,000	\$966,000	\$9,110,000	8.4%	
Missouri	Current	\$12,310,000	\$3,824,000	\$5,038,000	\$21,172,000	6.8%	5.5%
	Executive Actions	\$13,234,000	\$8,221,000	\$5,416,000	\$26,871,000	8.1%	
Montana	Current	\$198,000	\$168,000	\$293,000	\$659,000	4.2%	4.7%
	Executive Actions	\$213,000	\$361,000	\$315,000	\$889,000	5.3%	
Nebraska	Current	\$11,107,000	\$1,969,000	\$7,402,000	\$20,479,000	9.0%	6.3%
	Executive Actions	\$11,940,000	\$3,650,000	\$7,958,000	\$23,548,000	9.6%	
Nevada	Current	\$33,185,000	No Income Tax	\$10,353,000	\$43,538,000	5.0%	1.4%
	Executive Actions	\$35,674,000		\$11,130,000	\$46,804,000	5.0%	
New Hampshire	Current	\$649,000	No Income Tax on Wages	\$1,788,000	\$2,450,000	6.3%	2.6%
	Executive Actions	\$697,000		\$1,922,000	\$2,648,000	6.3%	
New Jersey	Current	\$102,907,000	\$19,011,000	\$105,389,000	\$227,307,000	7.7%	7.1%
	Executive Actions	\$110,625,000	\$27,314,000	\$113,293,000	\$251,232,000	7.9%	
New Mexico	Current	\$25,819,000	\$2,031,000	\$7,121,000	\$34,971,000	9.2%	4.8%
	Executive Actions	\$27,755,000	\$2,798,000	\$7,655,000	\$38,209,000	9.3%	
New York	Current	\$216,367,000	\$69,432,000	\$136,169,000	\$421,968,000	8.9%	8.1%
	Executive Actions	\$232,595,000	\$125,049,000	\$146,382,000	\$504,025,000	9.9%	
North Carolina	Current	\$72,143,000	\$26,299,000	\$24,153,000	\$122,595,000	6.8%	5.3%
	Executive Actions	\$77,554,000	\$56,543,000	\$25,965,000	\$160,062,000	8.3%	
North Dakota	Current	\$867,000	\$48,000	\$207,000	\$1,122,000	7.2%	3.0%
	Executive Actions	\$932,000	\$103,000	\$223,000	\$1,258,000	7.5%	
Ohio	Current	\$19,466,000	\$6,425,000	\$8,870,000	\$34,761,000	8.0%	5.5%
	Executive Actions	\$20,925,000	\$13,813,000	\$9,535,000	\$44,274,000	9.5%	

Appendix 2: Detailed State and Local Tax Contributions of Undocumented Immigrant Population Impacted by Executive Actions

Current vs. Post Implementation of Executive Actions

State	Current vs. Executive Actions	Sales and Excise Tax Total	Personal Income Tax Total	Property Tax Total	Total State and Local Taxes	Impacted Undoc. Immigrant Effective Tax Rate	Top 1% Effective Tax Rate (All Taxpayers) ¹
Oklahoma	Current	\$24,416,000	\$4,483,000	\$6,838,000	\$35,736,000	7.8%	4.3%
	Executive Actions	\$26,247,000	\$9,015,000	\$7,351,000	\$42,613,000	8.7%	
Oregon	Current	\$7,628,000	\$14,540,000	\$17,937,000	\$40,104,000	5.6%	6.5%
	Executive Actions	\$8,200,000	\$30,118,000	\$19,282,000	\$57,600,000	7.4%	
Pennsylvania	Current	\$25,088,000	\$12,813,000	\$14,375,000	\$52,277,000	7.5%	4.2%
	Executive Actions	\$26,970,000	\$27,549,000	\$15,453,000	\$69,972,000	9.3%	
Rhode Island	Current	\$7,429,000	\$1,658,000	\$4,498,000	\$13,584,000	7.6%	6.3%
	Executive Actions	\$7,986,000	\$3,235,000	\$4,835,000	\$16,056,000	8.4%	
South Carolina	Current	\$17,721,000	\$4,268,000	\$5,363,000	\$27,352,000	5.5%	4.5%
	Executive Actions	\$19,051,000	\$9,176,000	\$5,765,000	\$33,991,000	6.4%	
South Dakota	Current	\$1,012,000	No Income Tax	\$257,000	\$1,269,000	8.1%	1.8%
	Executive Actions	\$1,088,000		\$276,000	\$1,365,000	8.1%	
Tennessee	Current	\$36,260,000	No Income Tax on Wages	\$7,078,000	\$43,349,000	7.7%	3.0%
	Executive Actions	\$38,979,000		\$7,608,000	\$46,613,000	7.7%	
Texas	Current	\$533,851,000	No Income Tax	\$252,097,000	\$785,948,000	8.7%	2.9%
	Executive Actions	\$573,890,000		\$271,005,000	\$844,894,000	8.7%	
Utah	Current	\$21,572,000	\$6,664,000	\$8,756,000	\$36,992,000	6.9%	4.8%
	Executive Actions	\$23,190,000	\$14,328,000	\$9,413,000	\$46,930,000	8.1%	
Vermont	Current	\$594,000	\$127,000	\$448,000	\$1,170,000	7.5%	7.7%
	Executive Actions	\$639,000	\$205,000	\$482,000	\$1,325,000	7.9%	
Virginia	Current	\$45,377,000	\$20,680,000	\$23,527,000	\$89,583,000	6.5%	5.1%
	Executive Actions	\$48,780,000	\$41,355,000	\$25,291,000	\$115,426,000	7.8%	
Washington	Current	\$109,380,000	No Income Tax	\$33,840,000	\$143,220,000	10.8%	2.4%
	Executive Actions	\$117,583,000		\$36,378,000	\$153,961,000	10.8%	

Appendix 2: Detailed State and Local Tax Contributions of Undocumented Immigrant Population Impacted by Executive Actions

Current vs. Post Implementation of Executive Actions

State	Current vs. Executive Actions	Sales and Excise Tax Total	Personal Income Tax Total	Property Tax Total	Total State and Local Taxes	Impacted Undoc. Immigrant Effective Tax Rate	Top 1% Effective Tax Rate (All Taxpayers) ¹
West Virginia	Current	\$864,000	\$264,000	\$127,000	\$1,255,000	6.4%	6.5%
	Executive Actions	\$929,000	\$567,000	\$137,000	\$1,633,000	7.8%	
Wisconsin	Current	\$19,232,000	\$5,111,000	\$12,896,000	\$37,239,000	8.2%	6.2%
	Executive Actions	\$20,675,000	\$9,718,000	\$13,863,000	\$44,256,000	9.1%	
Wyoming	Current	\$877,000	No Income Tax	\$205,000	\$1,082,000	5.5%	1.2%
	Executive Actions	\$942,000		\$221,000	\$1,163,000	5.5%	

All States	Current	\$3,189,881,000	\$470,356,000	\$1,648,645,000	\$5,308,882,000	8.1%	5.4%
	Executive Actions	\$3,429,122,000	\$912,671,000	\$1,772,293,000	\$6,114,086,000	8.6%	
	Change	+\$239,241,000	+\$442,315,000	+\$123,648,000	+\$805,204,000		
	% Total Change	30%	55%	15%			

¹ Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, A Distributional Analysis of the Tax Systems in All Fifty States, 5th Edition, January 2015. www.whopays.org

Appendix 3: Data Used to Estimate State and Local Tax Contributions of Undocumented Immigrants

STATE	TOTAL UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANT POPULATION			EXECUTIVE ACTION IMPACTED POPULATION	
	Estimated Total Undocumented Immigrant Population ¹	Est. Share of Undocumented Immigrant Population who are Homeowners ²	Average Undocumented Family Income ³	Est. Executive Action Impacted Undocumented Immigrant Population ⁴	Share of Total Undocumented Population
Alabama	72,000	30%	\$28,300	31,000	43%
Alaska	6,000	33%	\$30,100	1,800	30%
Arizona	264,000	38%	\$25,000	136,000	52%
Arkansas	54,000	39%	\$27,300	28,000	52%
California	3,034,000	27%	\$29,900	1,523,000	50%
Colorado	164,000	33%	\$28,200	84,000	51%
Connecticut	108,000	26%	\$34,700	40,000	37%
Delaware	22,000	29%	\$32,200	9,000	41%
Dist. of Col.	25,000	22%	\$34,200	7,000	28%
Florida	605,000	34%	\$30,300	229,000	38%
Georgia	393,000	33%	\$28,500	174,000	44%
Hawaii	20,000	42%	\$38,600	7,000	35%
Idaho	31,000	46%	\$26,900	18,000	58%
Illinois	519,000	39%	\$31,800	261,000	50%
Indiana	93,000	39%	\$27,100	43,000	46%
Iowa	37,000	39%	\$29,200	17,000	46%
Kansas	65,000	44%	\$29,700	33,000	51%
Kentucky	45,000	22%	\$26,700	18,000	40%
Louisiana	60,000	20%	\$29,800	18,000	30%
Maine	5,000	33%	\$30,100	1,500	30%
Maryland	233,000	35%	\$36,400	81,000	35%
Massachusetts	185,000	23%	\$34,800	65,000	35%
Michigan	97,000	40%	\$28,400	41,000	42%
Minnesota	81,000	36%	\$29,200	38,000	47%
Mississippi	26,000	22%	\$25,700	9,000	35%
Missouri	57,000	39%	\$28,400	25,000	44%
Montana	4,000	33%	\$30,100	1,200	30%
Nebraska	37,000	38%	\$29,000	18,000	49%
Nevada	138,000	33%	\$30,100	66,000	48%

Appendix 3: Data Used to Estimate State and Local Tax Contributions of Undocumented Immigrants

TOTAL UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANT POPULATION				EXECUTIVE ACTION IMPACTED POPULATION	
STATE	Estimated Total Undocumented Immigrant Population ¹	Est. Share of Undocumented Immigrant Population who are Homeowners ²	Average Undocumented Family Income ³	Est. Executive Action Impacted Undocumented Immigrant Population ⁴	Share of Total Undocumented Population
New Hampshire	10,000	33%	\$30,100	3,000	30%
New Jersey	509,000	24%	\$34,500	196,000	39%
New Mexico	70,000	46%	\$24,300	36,000	51%
New York	867,000	19%	\$32,800	330,000	38%
North Carolina	342,000	33%	\$27,100	152,000	44%
North Dakota	4,000	33%	\$30,100	1,200	30%
Ohio	83,000	29%	\$29,300	34,000	41%
Oklahoma	80,000	37%	\$28,300	37,000	46%
Oregon	115,000	30%	\$27,900	59,000	51%
Pennsylvania	136,000	33%	\$31,300	51,000	38%
Rhode Island	32,000	23%	\$31,400	13,000	41%
South Carolina	99,000	29%	\$28,400	40,000	40%
South Dakota	4,000	33%	\$30,100	1,200	30%
Tennessee	119,000	28%	\$26,300	49,000	41%
Texas	1,464,000	42%	\$27,700	746,000	51%
Utah	78,000	40%	\$28,700	43,000	55%
Vermont	4,000	33%	\$30,100	1,200	30%
Virginia	247,000	33%	\$35,200	90,000	36%
Washington	204,000	33%	\$30,400	100,000	49%
West Virginia	5,000	33%	\$30,100	1,500	30%
Wisconsin	76,000	34%	\$29,600	35,000	46%
Wyoming	5,000	33%	\$30,100	1,500	30%
All States	11,022,000	33%	\$30,100	5,044,000	46%

¹ Migration Policy Institute (MPI) analysis of U.S. Census Bureau data from the 2009-2013 ACS pooled, and the 2008 Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) by Colin Hammar and James Bachmeier of Temple University and Jennifer Van Hook of The Pennsylvania State University, Population Research Institute.

² Ibid.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ibid.