

# The Distribution of Air Quality Health Benefits from Meeting US 2030 Climate Goals

By Dallas Burtraw, Maya Domeshek, Jih-Shyang Shih, Seth Villanueva, and Kathy Fallon Lambert

January 2022

*A new study from Resources for the Future analyzes the air quality health benefits of reducing US greenhouse gas emissions by 51 percent below 2005 levels in 2030. Using a policy scenario designed by Environmental Defense Fund that includes a binding cap on emissions, sector-specific regulations, and innovation policies, the authors quantify the avoided premature deaths resulting from reduced fossil fuel combustion and associated fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>).*

This analysis provides one of the first nationwide estimates of health benefits associated with meeting the United States' Paris Agreement climate goal. The target, which was established by the Biden Administration in April 2021, aims for a 50-52 percent reduction in the United States' economy-wide net greenhouse gas emissions in 2030. **A new report by scholars at Resources for the Future (RFF) finds that the health benefits associated with avoiding premature deaths are significant and accrue in every state in the nation.**

The authors examine avoided premature deaths in the context of "secondary" PM<sub>2.5</sub>, which is formed when several pollutants associated with the combustion of fossil fuels—sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), nitrous oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>), and ammonia—combine in the atmosphere. The team analyzes the distribution of health benefits across states, counties, and demographic groups in a future that includes a binding cap on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, sector-specific regulations, and innovation policies in line with US climate goals.


All regions of the United States would experience health benefits by reducing PM<sub>2.5</sub>, with the Midwest seeing the most benefits per capita. Benefits accrue broadly across income levels and racial and ethnic groups as well. These estimates are likely an underrepresentation of total health benefits because they exclude benefits related to averted non-lethal health problems, premature mortality associated with other pollutants, and environmental improvements.

For more information about the findings and methodology, please read the associated presentation report, [The Distribution of Air Quality Health Benefits from Meeting US 2030 Climate Goals](#), which serves as the final product of this research.

## Key Takeaways

**Benefits per-capita are greatest in the Midwest but occur all over the country.** State-level reductions in premature mortality from fine particulate matter are greatest in the eastern half the United States. The Midwest, which has relatively high levels of pollution from the electricity sector, experiences the greatest reductions per capita. Reductions in premature mortality are lowest in the western United States where the electricity sector already runs off a higher percentage of clean energy.

**Figure 1. Figure Monetized Value of Avoided Premature Mortality \$/Capita in 2030**



**Meeting climate goals would create more than \$33 billion in health benefits in 2030.** This is equivalent to 3,794 avoided premature deaths in that year. Benefits would accumulate over years, and likely are an underestimate of the total benefits of meeting the US' Paris Agreement goal.

## Why It Matters

Reducing carbon dioxide emissions would also reduce other pollutant emissions, which in turn would create significant health benefits and reduce premature deaths across the country. Meeting the US Paris Agreement goal would create health improvements, stemming primarily from pollution reduction in the electricity and transportation sectors, equal to \$33 billion in 2030. However, the benefits associated with reduced premature mortality represent only one piece of a pie that likely includes other important benefits.

**Table 1. Effects at the Sector Level**

Sector	Deaths Avoided	
	Total	Per Million Tons of CO <sub>2</sub> Reduced
Electric Power	2,645	2.7
Transportation	753	4.7
Commercial and Residential	265	4.5
Industrial	131	6.2
Total	3,794	3.1

**Most health benefits result from emissions reductions in the electricity sector.**


Reducing carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions in the electricity sector also reduces sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and nitrous oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>)—lowering emissions from these two compounds are responsible for most of the recorded health benefits. The transportation sector experiences the second-highest amount of health benefits, most of which are tied to a reduction in NO<sub>x</sub>. Health benefits per unit CO<sub>2</sub> reductions are largest for the industrial and transportation sectors.

**The distribution of health improvements varies little across socioeconomic and racial/ethnic groups at the national level.**

Reaching US climate goals yield health benefits for households in every demographic and income group. Health benefits are distributed evenly across income quintiles. Among racial/ethnic groups, health benefits are more varied but reflect regional

population concentrations. For example, Asian and Hispanic populations are relatively concentrated in the West and Southwest, where air quality improvements are more modest, and so are expected to experience a smaller reduction in premature deaths. All analyzed demographic groups have a median PM<sub>2.5</sub> mortality-reduction percentage of at least 15 percent.

**Figure 2. Percent Reduction in Premature Deaths by Racial/Ethnic Group and Income Quintile in 2030**



**Dallas Burtraw** is a senior fellow and **Jhjh-Shyang Shih** is a fellow at RFF. **Seth Villanueva** and **Maya Domeshek** are senior research analysts at RFF.

**Kathy Fallon Lambert** formerly served as a senior advisor at the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Center for Climate Health, and the Global Environment (C-CHANGE).

*The content of this report and the views expressed here are solely those of the authors, and they may differ from those of the funders, those we acknowledge, other RFF experts, its officers, or its directors.*

## Important Notes

Estimates exclude expected health benefits from reductions in ozone, particulate matter with a diameter of 10 micrometers or smaller (PM<sub>10</sub>), and nitrogen dioxide; disease reductions; and environmental improvements. They also exclude benefits associated with greenhouse gas reductions occurring apart from fossil fuel combustion, although such reductions play a key role in meeting 2030 targets.

These findings provide a broad assessment of air quality benefits nationally, by state, and by county. They do not inform outcomes at the community level, where some of the greatest environmental justice concerns exist. Those questions require detailed local analysis.