SiGe Integrated mm-Wave Push-Push VCOs with Reduced Power Consumption

Robert Wanner*, Rudolf Lachner[†] and Gerhard R. Olbrich*

*Technische Universität München, Lehrstuhl für Hochfrequenztechnik

Arcisstrasse 21, 80333 München, Germany

[†]Infineon Technologies AG, München, Germany

E-mail: wanner@tum.de, Phone: +49-89-289-23373, Fax: +49-89-289-23365

Abstract—For use in automotive radar applications we have designed and fabricated several push-push VCOs within the frequency range 67 to 75 GHz. In this paper we present one of these oscillators which can be tuned from 71.3 GHz to 75.8 GHz. In this tuning range the measured output power is 3.5 ± 0.4 dBm with an DC to RF efficiency $\eta = 1.6$ %. The measured single sideband phase noise is below -105 dBc/Hz at 1 MHz offset frequency. With a reduced supply voltage the efficiency can be increased to $\eta = 3.5$ % with an RF output power of 1.5 dBm. The circuits are fabricated in a production-near SiGe:C bipolar technology. The SiGe:C bipolar transistors show a maximum transit frequency $f_{\rm T} = 200$ GHz and a maximum frequency of oscillation $f_{\rm max} = 275$ GHz. For the passive circuitry transmission-line components, MIM-capacitors and integrated resistors are used.

I. INTRODUCTION

In future millimeter-wave and submillimeter-wave applications as high-resolution radars, biological and chemical sensors or wide bandwidth communication systems the key components are compact, reliable low noise sources [1]. Recent advances in SiGe HBTs [2] have increased the usable frequency range of SiGe devices in the past years. This allows SiGe to compete now with III/V semiconductor based technologies at millimeter-wave frequencies.

The low noise level at low frequencies (LF) and the resulting low oscillator phase noise are areas in which SiGe HBTs generally outperform III/V HBTs or HEMTs [3]. The significant lower costs of SiGe chip area can reduce the prices of millimeter-wave systems and thus it has the potential to open mass markets for consumer systems at millimeter-wave and submillimeter-wave frequencies.

Fig. 1 shows the state-of-the-art output power and measured single side band phase noise level of integrated oscillators for signals from 40 GHz to 100 GHz. [11], [16] are push-push oscillators and [4]–[10], [12]–[15], [17] are fundamental frequency oscillators. In [4], [10], [13], [15], [17] output buffers are used. Compared with oscillators realized in the same frequency range the device presented here shows an superior phase noise level.

II. THE PUSH-PUSH OSCILLATOR PRINCIPLE

A push-push oscillator consists of two symmetric suboscillators operating in odd mode at the fundamental frequency



Fig. 1. State-of-the-art output power *P* and single side band phase noise level P_{SSB} for integrated oscillators (\square SiGe HBT, \diamondsuit GaAs HBT, ***** InP HBT, × GaAs HEMT, \triangledown GaAs HFET and \triangle CMOS).

 f_0 . Nonlinear distortion in the suboscillators cause harmonic frequency contributions at the *n*th harmonic $f_n = (n+1)f_0$. At the common output port the output signals are added up. Due to the phase differences of the fundamental frequency signal and the even harmonics, these frequency contributions cancel out, while the odd harmonics add in phase. Hence, power is delivered to the load only at the odd harmonics $f_1, f_3, ...$ [11], [18]–[20].

In a push-push oscillator the fundamental frequency is not damped by the oscillators load impedance because in odd mode operation a 'virtual ground' exists at the common output port. Therefore in a push-push oscillator the loaded Q-factor is equal to the unloaded Q-factor. As the two suboscillators operate at halve of the output frequency, at this oscillation



Fig. 2. Circuit diagram of the push-push oscillator

frequency higher resonator *Q*-factors are available. Compared to solutions using frequency doublers, a push-push oscillator is generally less space consuming and offers a lower phase noise level. Additionally push-push oscillators are highly resistant to load pulling effects, because the suboscillators are terminated by 'virtual ground' and only the first harmonic frequency component is influenced by the oscillators load impedance. The temperature sensitivity of a push-push oscillator is generally a lower compared to a fundamental frequency oscillator because of the halved oscillation frequency.

III. CIRCUIT DESIGN

In the circuit design we first consider one single suboscillator and the start-up conditions in the small signal case. By connecting an inductive load to the base terminal, the active device gets instable. In order to fulfill the oscillation condition at the desired frequency $f_0 = 37.5$ GHz a capacitive loading at the emitter terminal and an inductive loading at the collector terminal needs to be connected.

The two suboscillators can generally be coupled either via the collector, the base or the emitter network to perform the 180° phase locking and to realize the superposition of the output signals with counterphase fundamental waves. A harmonic balance analysis of these three types of design shows best results for coupling via the emitter networks. This agrees with the designs in [21] and [20] where we realized hybrid fabricated and fully integrated push-push oscillators. In [20] we have used spiral inductors. In contrast, for the oscillator presented here the inductors are replaced by microstrip lines to obtain higher *Q*-values.



Fig. 3. Layout of the push-push oscillator $(800 \,\mu\text{m} \times 620 \,\mu\text{m})$

The circuit diagram of the whole oscillator with its bias network is presented in Fig. 2. The collector terminals are grounded via a transmission line resonators. At the emitter terminals 240 µm long transmission lines are connected. For the RF signal the ends of these lines are combined via capacitors to the common output port. For bias decoupling 450 µm long microstrip lines with a characteristic impedances $Z = 68 \Omega$ providing an increased inductance per length are used.

At the base terminals 370 µm long microstrip lines serving as inductors are connected. At the connecting point of the base networks a virtual ground for the fundamental frequency signal at f_0 exists due to the odd mode operation. For the decoupling of the first harmonic signal at f_1 from the bias network a transmission line and a large capacitor are used.

The DC supply is accomplished by a constant emitter bias current impressed by a current mirror and a fixed DC voltage at the base terminal. The RF short connected at the base network and the impressed emitter current allow to exceed the breakdown voltage $V_{B,CE} = 1.7$ V of the active devices [22]. Using 4 branches for the current source, the current in the reference path is only one quarter of sum of the emitter currents in Q_1 and Q_2 . Thus the power dissipation in the reference path is reduced. The DC supply voltage at the base terminal is accomplished by a resistive divider. By varying the voltage V_B the collector to base voltage V_{CB} can be tuned.

In order to allow tuning of the oscillation frequency two varactors are placed at the base networks of both suboscillators. For this purpose we employ the capacitance of a collector-base junction as a varactor element. The $60 \,\mu\text{m}$ long microstrip line at the base/emitter terminal of the varactor increases the tuning range of the VCO. The voltage V_{VC} denotes the voltage at the collector-base junction of the varactor. This voltage can be adjusted by the external tuning voltage V_{T} .

A nonlinear circuit simulation was performed using the



Fig. 4. Measured a) output power *P*, b) output frequency f_1 and c) single side band phase noise P_{SSB} at 1 MHz offset from the carrier on the varactor voltage V_{VC} ($V_0 = -3.0$ V, $V_{\text{B}} = -1.8$ V)

Harmonic Balance tool in Agilents ADVANCED DESIGN SYSTEM.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The push-push oscillator has been fabricated in a production-near SiGe:C bipolar technology of INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES [2]. The transistors make use of a double-polysilicon self-aligned emitter-base configuration. The effective minimum emitter width is 0.14 μ m. The maximum transit frequency $f_{\rm T}$ is 200 GHz and the maximum frequency of oscillation $f_{\rm max}$ is 275 GHz. The transistors actually used in this work have two emitter fingers, each 10 μ m long. The process additionally offers a four-layer copper metallization with intermediate SiO₂ isolation, two types of polysilicon resistors, TaN resistors and MIM-capacitors. The layout of the fabricated circuit is depicted in Fig. 3.

The output signal of the push-push oscillator is measured on chip by an HP 71000 spectrum analyzer using appropriate harmonic mixer. The measured power levels are corrected for the conversation loss of the mixers. A *W*-band power meter is used to verify the output power level. Losses of the RFprobe and the measurement cables are taken into account with 1 dB. For the DC voltage supply the chip was mounted on a FR4 substrate. By a combination of electrolyte and ceramic



Fig. 5. Measured spectrum at the first harmonic frequency of the oscillator signal for $V_0 = -3.0 \text{ V}$, $V_B = -1.8 \text{ V}$ and $V_T = -4.5 \text{ V}$ ($V_{VC} = 2.6 \text{ V}$)



Fig. 6. Measured temperature sensitivity of the output frequency f_1 for $V_0 = -3.0 \text{ V}$, $V_B = -1.8 \text{ V}$ and $V_T = -4.5 \text{ V}$ ($V_{VC} = 2.6 \text{ V}$)

capacitors the supply voltages are stabilized. The chip is connected to the substrate by wire bonding.

Fig. 4 demonstrates the performance of the oscillator as a function of the varactor voltage $V_{\rm VC}$ that is adjusted by the tuning voltage $V_{\rm T}$. The bias voltage V_0 was set to -3.0 V and the base tuning voltage $V_{\rm B}$ to -1.8 V. Thus the collector to base voltages of the transistors Q_1 and Q_2 are $V_{\rm CB} = 1.8$ V and the emitter currents in each of these devices are 20 mA.

The oscillator output frequency can be tuned from 71.3 GHz to 75.8 GHz (6.1%). In this frequency range the output power is 3.5 ± 0.4 dBm. The measured single sideband phase noise level at 1 MHz offset frequency is less than -105 dBc/Hz. At a varactor voltage $V_{VC} = 2.2$ V a record value of -110 dBc/Hz is measured. The measured spectrum of the oscillator output signal for this varactor voltage is depicted in Fig. 5.

The measured power of the fundamental frequency signal at

TABLE I Efficiency of the oscillator versus supply voltage $(-\mathit{V}_{\rm T}=4\,\rm{V})$

$-V_0$	1.8 V	2.4 V	3.0 V	3.6 V
$-V_{\rm B}$	0.8 V	1.4 V	2.0 V	2.2 V
f_1	76.16 GHz	76.21 GHz	76.12 GHz	75.18 GHz
$P_{\rm RF}$	1.5 dBm	3.8 dBm	3.9 dBm	1.0 dBm
P _{SSB} @ 1 MHz	-107 dBc	-109 dBc	-110 dBc	-108 dBc
$\eta = P_{\rm RF}/P_{\rm DC}$	3.5 %	2.7 %	1.6 %	0.52~%

 f_0 is -27.1 dBm. The low power level of this signal indicates a high symmetry of the fabricated push-push oscillator and a proper odd mode operation. In the whole tuning range of the VCO the measured fundamental frequency output power is below -25.5 dBm.

For measuring the temperature sensitivity of the oscillator the chuck temperature is modified. As can be seen in Fig. 6 the temperature sensitivity of the VCO is -16.7 MHz/K. We propose that this low temperature sensitivity is a result of the lower temperature dependency of the transistors at the halved oscillation frequency compared to a fundamental frequency oscillator. At $\vartheta = 120^{\circ}$ C the phase noise level is increased from -110 dBc/Hz to -107 dBc/Hz.

The power consumption of the whole circuit at the bias point $V_0 = -3.0$ V, $V_B = -2.0$ V is 140 mW. By reducing the supply voltage V_0 and the base tuning voltage V_B simultaneously, the output power *P* decreases but the DC to RF efficiency η increases. As given in Table I an efficiency $\eta = 3.5$ % is achieved with $V_0 = -1.8$ V.

V. CONCLUSION

A push-push VCO with a low power consumption and a tunable output frequency form 71.3 GHz to 75.8 GHz has been presented. This result complies with a relative tuning bandwidth of 6.1%. The maximum output power is 3.5 ± 0.4 dBm and the single side band phase noise is less than -105 dBc/Hz at an offset frequency of 1 MHz.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank the *Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung* (BMBF), Germany, for financial support of SiGe technology development within the HiSpeed– and the KOKON–project.

References

- [1] J. F. Luy and P. Russer, eds., *Future Applications in Silicon-Based Millimeter-Wave Devices*. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1994.
- [2] J. Böck, H. Schäfer, K. Aufinger, R. Stengl, S. Boguth, M. Schreiter, R. Rest, H. Knapp, M. Wurzer, W. Perndl, T. Böttner, and T. F. Meister, "SiGe Bipolar Technology for Automotive Radar Applications," in *IEEE Bipolar / BiCMOS Circuits and Technology Meeting, September* 13-14, Montreal, pp. 84–87, 2004.
- [3] B. Van Haaren, M. Regis, O. Llopis, L. Escotte, A. Gruhle, C. Mahner, R. Plana, and J. Graffeuil, "Low-frequency noise properties of SiGe HBT's and application to ultra-low phase-noise oscillators," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 46, pp. 647–652, May 1998.

- [4] A. Bangert, M. Schlechtweg, M. Lang, W. Haydl, W. Bronner, T. Fink, K. Kohler, and B. Raynor, "W-band MMIC VCO with a large tuning range using a pseudomorphic HFET," in *IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium Digest*, 17-21 June 1996, vol. 2, pp. 525–528, 1996.
- [5] I. Aoki, K. Tezuka, H. Matsuura, S. Kobayashi, T. Fujita, and A. Miura, "80 GHz AlGaAs HBT oscillator," in 18th Annual Gallium Arsenide Integrated Circuit (GaAs IC) Symposium, 3-6 Nov. 1996, pp. 281–284, 1996.
- [6] H. Wang, K. W. Chang, L. Tran, J. Cowles, T. Block, E. Lin, G. Dow, A. Oki, D. Streit, and B. Allen, "Low phase noise millimeter-wave frequency sources using InP-based HBT MMIC technology," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 31, pp. 1419–1425, Oct. 1996.
- [7] T. Kashiwa, T. Ishida, T. Katoh, H. Kurusu, H. Hoshi, and Y. Mitsui, "V-band high-power low phase-noise monolithic oscillators and investigation of low phase-noise performance high drain bias," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 46, pp. 1559– 1565, Oct. 1998.
- [8] H. Siweris, H. Tischer, T. Grave, and W. Kellner, "A monolithic W-band HEMT VCO with feedback topology," in *IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium Digest*, 13-19 June 1999, vol. 1, pp. 17–20, 1999.
- [9] V. Schwarz, T. Morf, A. Huber, H. Jackel, and H.-R. Benedickter, "Differential InP-HBT current controlled LC-oscillators with centre frequencies of 43 and 67 GHz," in *Electronics Letters*, vol. 35, pp. 1197– 1198, July 1999.
- [10] S. Kudszus, T. Berceli, A. Tessmann, M. Neumann, and W. Haydl, "Wband HEMT-oscillator MMICs using subharmonic injection locking," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 48, pp. 2526–2532, Dec. 2000.
- [11] F. Sinnesbichler, "Hybrid millimeter-wave push-push oscillators using silicon-germanium HBTs," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory* and Techniques, vol. 51, pp. 422–430, Feb. 2003.
- [12] B. Floyd, "V-band and W-band SiGe bipolar low-noise amplifiers and voltage-controlled oscillators," in *Radio Frequency Integrated Circuits* (*RFIC*) Symposium, 6-8 June 2004, pp. 295–298, 2004.
- [13] H. Li, H.-M. Rein, T. Suttorp, and J. Bock, "Fully integrated SiGe VCOs with powerful output buffer for 77-GHz automotive Radar systems and applications around 100 GHz," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 39, pp. 1650–1658, Oct. 2004.
- [14] W. Perndi, H. Knapp, K. Aufinger, T. Meister, W. Simburger, and A. Scholtz, "Voltage-controlled oscillators up to 98 GHz in SiGe bipolar technology," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 39, pp. 1773– 1777, Oct. 2004.
- [15] F. Ellinger, T. Morf, G. Buren, C. Kromer, G. Sialm, L. Rodoni, M. Schmatz, and H. Jackel, "60 GHz VCO with wideband tuning range fabricated on VLSI SOI CMOS technology," in *IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium Digest 6-11 June 2004*, vol. 3, pp. 1329–1332, 2004.
- [16] F. Lenk, M. Schott, J. Hilsenbeck, and W. Heinrich, "Low phase-noise GaAs-HBT monolithic W-band oscillator," in 34th European Microwave Conference, 13 Oct. 2004, vol. 2, pp. 897–900, 2004.
- [17] C. Cao and K. O, "A 90-GHz Voltage-Controlled Oscillator with a 2.2-GHz Tuning Range in a 130-nm CMOS Technology," in *Symposium on VLSI Circuits, Digest of Technical Papers. June 16-18 2005*, pp. 242–243, 2005.
- [18] J. R. Bender and C. Wong, "Push-Push Design Extends Bipolar Frequency Range," *Microwaves & RF*, pp. 91–98, Oct. 1983.
- [19] Anthony M. Pavio and Mark A. Smith, "A 20-40-GHz Push-Push Dielectric Resonator Oscillator," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 33, pp. 1346–1349, Dec. 1985.
- [20] R. Wanner, H. Schäfter, R. Lachner, G. R. Olbrich, and P. Russer, "A Fully Integrated 70 GHz SiGe Low Phase Noise Push-Push Oscillator," in 2005 IEEE MTT-S Int. Microwave Symp. Dig., Long Beach, USA, June 12 – 17, 2005, 2005.
- [21] R. Wanner and G. Olbrich, "A Hybrid Fabricated 40 GHz Low-Phase Noise SiGe Push-Push Oscillator," in *Topical Meeting on Silicon Monolithic Integrated Circuits in RF Systems, April 9–11, 2003, Garmisch, Germany*, pp. 72–75, 2003.
- [22] M. Rickelt and H.-M. Rein, "A Novel Transistor Model for Simulating Avalanche-Breakdown Effects in Si Bipolar Circuits," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 37, pp. 1184–1197, Sept. 2002.