

Approximately: Independence Implies Vertex Cover

Sariel Har-Peled*

January 29, 2020

Abstract

We observe that a $(1 - \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm to **Independent Set**, that works for any induced subgraph of the input graph, can be used, via a polynomial time reduction, to provide a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation to **Vertex Cover**. This basic observation was made before, see [BHR11].

As a consequence, we get a PTAS for VC for unweighted pseudo-disks, QQPTAS for VC for unweighted axis-aligned rectangles in the plane, and QPTAS for MWVC for weighted polygons in the plane. To the best of our knowledge all these results are new.

1. Introduction

Background. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, a *vertex cover* (VC) is a set $C \subseteq V$, that is adjacent to all the edges of G . The problem of computing a minimum VC is a classical problem that is **NP-Hard** [GJ90], and an easy 2-approximation algorithm is known (by computing greedily a maximal matching, and using its vertices). Dinur and Safra [DS05] showed that no approximation better than 1.3606 is possible, unless $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$. If the unique-game conjecture is true, no approximation better than 2 is possible in the general case [KR08].

For geometric intersection graph the problem is easier. Erlebach *et al.* [EJS05] gave a PTAS for the intersection graph of weighted fat objects. Har-Peled and Quanrud [HQ17] provided a PTAS for VC for the unweighted case for low-density graphs, or polynomial expansion graphs.

The observation. Given an instance of **Vertex Cover**, one can reduce it in polynomial time into a dense instance, where the VC is at least half the vertices in the graph (or half the mass in the weighted case). In such a graph a $(1 - \varepsilon)$ -approximation to the independent set, implies readily a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation to VC.

Outline. For the sake of completeness, and to provide a relatively self contained description, we review this reduction (into the dense subgraph), in excruciating detail, in **Section 2**. We emphasize, however, that this reduction is well known – see [CC04, CC08] and references therein. The unweighted case is somewhat easier, and is described nicely in Cygan *et al.* [CFK+15, Chapter 2]. We describe the new result and its applications in **Section 3**.

*Department of Computer Science; University of Illinois; 201 N. Goodwin Avenue; Urbana, IL, 61801, USA; sariel@illinois.edu; <http://sarielhp.org/>. Work on this paper was partially supported by a NSF AF award CCF-1907400.

2. Reduction of VC to the dense case

The material covered in this section can be found in [CFK+15, CFK+15, CC04, CC08] and references therein.

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, and a weight function $w : V \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, the **min weight vertex cover** (MWVC) is the problem of computing the subset $S_{\text{opt}} \subseteq V$, such that S_{opt} is adjacent to all edges in G , and $\text{vc}^*(G) = w(S_{\text{opt}}) = \sum_{v \in S_{\text{opt}}} w(v)$ is the minimum among all such sets. The complement of a vertex cover is an independent set, and in particular, we have $\text{vc}^*(G) = w(G) - \text{is}^*(G)$, where is^* is the weight of the maximum weight independent set in G , and $w(G) = \sum_{v \in V} w(v)$.

A natural starting point for approximation algorithms for VC, is to solve the associated LP:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{v \in V} w(v)x_v \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_u + x_v \geq 1 \quad \forall uv \in E(G) \\ & x_v \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in V. \end{aligned} \tag{LPVC}$$

For an assignment $\mathbf{z} = (z_v)_{v \in V}$, let $\nu(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{v \in V} w(v)z_v$ denote the **value** of \mathbf{z} .

Lemma 2.1. *There is an optimal solution for (LPVC) that is half-integral. That is, for any $v \in V$, we have $x_v \in \{0, 1/2, 1\}$.*

Proof: Consider an optimal solution $\mathbf{x} = (x_v)_{v \in V}$ for (LPVC), Let $L = \{v \in V \mid x_v \in (0, 1/2)\}$ and $H = \{v \in V \mid x_v \in (1/2, 1)\}$. If $w(L) < w(H)$, then consider the assignment

$$y_v = \begin{cases} x_v - \varepsilon & v \in H \\ x_v + \varepsilon & v \in L \\ x_v & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon = \min_{v \in H \cup L} |x_v - 1/2|$. For an edge uv , with $u \in L$ and $v \in R$, we have $y_u + y_v = x_u + \varepsilon + x_v - \varepsilon = x_u + x_v \geq 1$. It is easy to verify, in a similar fashion, that $\mathbf{y} = (y_v)_{v \in V}$ is a feasible solution for (LPVC). Furthermore, since $w(L) < w(H)$, we have

$$\nu(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{v \in V} w(v)x_v - \varepsilon w(H) + \varepsilon w(L) = \nu(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon(w(L) - w(H)) < \nu(\mathbf{x}),$$

which is impossible, by the optimality of \mathbf{x} . A similar argument applies if $w(L) > w(H)$. As such, it must be that $w(L) = w(H)$. But then, the solution \mathbf{y} is also optimal, and it has one more variable assigned a value of $1/2$ than \mathbf{x} . Repeating this argument now to \mathbf{y} till both L and R are empty implies the claim. ■

Lemma 2.2. *An optimal half-integral solution to the linear program (LPVC) can be computed in $O(n^3)$ time, where $n = |V|$.*

Proof: Let K be the bipartite graph over the bipartition $U_1 = \{u_1 \mid u \in V\}$ and $U_2 = \{u_2 \mid u \in V\}$. Let

$$E(K) = \{u_1v_2, u_2v_1 \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$

For any vertex $v_i \in U_1 \cup U_2$, its weight is its original weight $w(v)$.

There is an associated network flow instance – adding a source vertex s , and a sink vertex t . Here, the source vertex s is connected to all the vertices in U_1 . An edge (s, u_1) , for $u_1 \in U_1$, has capacity $w(u)$.

All the edges of K are oriented from U_1 to U_2 , with infinite capacity, and an edge u_2t , for all $u_2 \in U_2$, has capacity $w(u_2) = w(u)$. Let N denote the resulting instance of network flow. It is easy to verify that a s - t min-cut in N corresponds to a minimum weight vertex cover in K , and vice versa. As such, compute a max-flow in N , and let f denote this flow. Given f , the corresponding s - t min-cut can be computed in linear time from the residual network flow of f (i.e., min-cut max-flow theorem). This cut then can be readily converted into the desired minimum vertex cover S_{opt} in K . Observe that $w(S_{\text{opt}}) = |f|$, where $|f|$ is the value of the flow of f . The max-flow computation in this case can be done in $O(n^3)$ time using known algorithms [CLRS01].

For a vertex $v \in V(K)$, let $\chi(v) = 1$ if $v \in S_{\text{opt}}$, and zero otherwise. We set $x_v = (\chi(v_1) + \chi(v_2))/2$, for all $v \in V$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_v)_{v \in V}$. We have

$$\nu(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{v \in V} w(v)x_v = \frac{w(S_{\text{opt}})}{2}. \quad (1)$$

Consider an edge $uv \in E(G)$. Observe that

$$x_u + x_v = \frac{\chi(u_1) + \chi(u_2) + \chi(v_1) + \chi(v_2)}{2} = \frac{\chi(u_1) + \chi(v_2)}{2} + \frac{\chi(u_2) + \chi(v_1)}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

since S_{opt} is a vertex cover of K . So $\mathbf{x} = (x_v)_{v \in V}$ is a feasible assignment for (LPVC) (and it also half-integral).

Consider an optimal half-integral assignment $\mathbf{y} = (y_v)_{v \in V}$ for LPVC, which exists by Lemma 2.1.. This induces a natural vertex cover for K . Indeed, if $x_v = 1/2$ we add v_1 to a set T . Similarly, if $x_v = 1$, we add v_1 and v_2 to T . We claim that T is a vertex cover for K . Indeed, for any edge $uv \in E(G)$, we have that $x_u + x_v \geq 1$. If $x_u = 1$ then $u_1, u_2 \in T$ and these two vertices cover the edges u_1v_2 and u_2v_1 . A similar argument applies if $x_v = 1$. The remaining possibility is that $x_u = x_v = 1/2$, but then $u_1, v_1 \in T$. Which implies that T covers the edges u_1v_2 and u_2v_1 . As such, all the edges of K are covered by T .

Observe that

$$2\nu(\mathbf{y}) = 2 \sum_{v \in V} w(v)y_v = w(T) \geq w(S_{\text{opt}}) = 2\nu(\mathbf{x}),$$

by Eq. (1). Namely $\nu(\mathbf{y}) \geq \nu(\mathbf{x})$. We conclude that \mathbf{x} is an optimal solution for (LPVC), and it is also half-integral. \blacksquare

Given an optimal half-integral solution $\mathbf{x} = (x_v)_{v \in V}$ for (LPVC), one can partition the vertices into three sets:

- (i) $V_0 = \{v \in V \mid x_v = 0\}$.
- (ii) $V_{1/2} = \{v \in V \mid x_v = 1/2\}$.
- (iii) $V_1 = \{v \in V \mid x_v = 1\}$.

A few observations about these sets. The set V_0 is an independent set in G . Indeed, an edge $uv \in E$ with an endpoint $u \in V_0$ and an endpoint in $v \in V_0 \cup V_{1/2}$ has $x_u + x_v \leq 0 + 1/2 = 1/2$, which is impossible. As such, for a vertex $v \in V_0$, any adjacent edge $vu \in E$, must have $u \in V_1$. Namely, there is no edge between a vertex of V_0 and a vertex $V_{1/2}$. This is known as a **crown decomposition**, with $C = V_0$ being the ‘‘crown’’, $R = V_{1/2}$ being the ‘‘body’’, and $H = V_1$ be the ‘‘head’’.

We need the weighted version of the Nemhauser-Trotter theorem, which we prove next.

Theorem 2.3 (Nemhauser-Trotter). *Let G be a graph with weights $w : V \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. There is a minimum weight vertex cover S of G , such that $V_1 \subseteq S \subseteq V_1 \cup V_{1/2}$.*

Proof: Let S_{opt} be the optimal vertex cover, and let $S = (S_{\text{opt}} \setminus V_0) \cup V_1$. Clearly, S is a vertex cover, as all the edges adjacent to V_0 are covered by V_1 . Since $V_0 \cup V_{1/2} \cup V_1 = V$, we have $S = V_1 \cup S \subseteq V_{1/2} \cup V_1$.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $w(S) > w(S_{\text{opt}})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} w(S) &= w(S_{\text{opt}}) - w(V_0 \cap S_{\text{opt}}) + w(V_1 \setminus S_{\text{opt}}) > w(S_{\text{opt}}) \\ \iff w(V_0 \cap S_{\text{opt}}) - w(V_1 \setminus S_{\text{opt}}) &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon < 1/2$ be some constant, and for any $v \in V$, let

$$y_v = \begin{cases} 1 - \varepsilon & v \in V_1 \setminus S_{\text{opt}} \\ \varepsilon & v \in V_0 \cap S_{\text{opt}} \\ x_v & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

An easy case analysis shows that the $\mathbf{y} = (y_v)_{v \in V}$ is a feasible solution. Indeed, we need to consider only edges uv with at least one endpoint (say u) in $V_1 \setminus S_{\text{opt}}$, (as these are the only edges that lost value). There are the following cases to consider:

- (i) $v \in V_1$: We have $y_u + y_v \geq 1 - \varepsilon + 1 - \varepsilon \geq 1$.
- (ii) $v \in V_{1/2}$: We have $y_u + y_v = 1 - \varepsilon + 1/2 \geq 1$.
- (iii) $v \in V_0 \cap S_{\text{opt}}$: We have $y_u + y_v = 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 1$.
- (iv) $v \in V_0 \setminus S_{\text{opt}}$: Both endpoints of uv are outside S_{opt} , which is impossible.

Observe that $\nu(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{v \in V} y_v = \nu(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon w(V_0 \cap S_{\text{opt}}) - \varepsilon w(V_1 \setminus S_{\text{opt}}) < \nu(\mathbf{x})$, which is a contradiction to the optimality of \mathbf{x} . ■

Lemma 2.4. *Let $K = G[V_{1/2}]$ be the induced subgraph of G over $V_{1/2}$. Consider any minimum vertex cover C of $G[V_{1/2}]$. Then $C \cup V_1$ is a minimum weight vertex cover of G .*

Proof: Let $H = G[V_0 \cup V_{1/2}]$. Consider any minimum vertex cover C to the graph H and observe that $C \cup V_1$ is a vertex cover for G . Similarly, given a minimum vertex cover S_{opt} for G , consider the equally priced vertex cover $S = (S_{\text{opt}} \setminus V_0) \cup V_1$ (see [Theorem 2.3](#)), and observe that S is a vertex cover of G and $S \setminus V_1$ is a vertex cover for H . We conclude that computing the minimum vertex cover for G , is equivalent to computing the vertex cover for H . Since V_0 is an independent set in G , and it is connected to only vertices of V_1 , it follows that V_0 is a set of isolated vertices in H . As such, it is sufficient to compute a minimum vertex cover for $G[V_{1/2}]$. ■

Observe that \mathbf{x} induces a valid optimal fraction solution for the induced subgraph $G[V_{1/2}]$.

Lemma 2.5. *Consider any fractional optimal solution \mathbf{y} for the vertex cover of the graph $G[V_{1/2}]$. We have that $\nu(\mathbf{y}) = \nu(\mathbf{x}) - w(V_1) = \sum_{v \in V_{1/2}} w(v)/2 = w(V_{1/2})/2$.*

Proof: The assignment \mathbf{y} , can be extended into a fractional solution for G , by setting $y_v = 1$ if $v \in V_1$, and zero otherwise. Similarly, \mathbf{x} when restricted to $V_{1/2}$ is a valid VC solution for $G[V_{1/2}]$. This readily implies the claim, as \mathbf{x} is the optimal solution for (LPVC). ■

3. The result and some applications

For a weighted graph G , let $\text{vc}^*(G)$ denote the weight of the minimum weight vertex cover of G . Similarly, let $\text{is}^*(G)$ the weight of the maximum weight independent set in G .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, with weights on the vertices, and assume that we are given an algorithm `algIS` that can compute, in $T(n)$ time, an independent set $I \subseteq V$, such that $w(I) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\text{is}^*(G)$. Furthermore, assume that this algorithm works for any induced subgraph of G . Then, one can compute, in $O(n^3 + T(n))$ time, a vertex cover C of G , such that $w(C) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\text{vc}^*(G)$.*

Proof: Using the algorithm of [Lemma 2.4](#), compute a partition of the vertices of G into the three sets $V_0, V_{1/2}, V_1$. This reduces G into an induced subgraph $K = G[V_{1/2}]$, such that it is enough to solve the problem on K . Importantly, for the graph K , we have the property that the optimal LP solution (for the relaxation of the LP) assigns all vertices value $1/2$. That is $\text{vc}^* = \text{vc}^*(K) \geq w/2$, where $w = \sum_{v \in V(K)} w(v)$.

In the following, let $\text{is}^* = \text{is}^*(K)$. Compute an independent set I in K using `algIS`. We have that

$$w(I) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\text{is}^* = (1 - \varepsilon)(w - \text{vc}^*).$$

The set $C = V(K) - I$ is a vertex cover, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(C) &= w - w(I) \leq w - (1 - \varepsilon)(w - \text{vc}^*) = \varepsilon w + (1 - \varepsilon)\text{vc}^* \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \text{vc}^* + (1 - \varepsilon)\text{vc}^* = (1 + \varepsilon)\text{vc}^*, \end{aligned}$$

since $\text{vc}^* \geq w/2$. We have that $C \cup V_1$ is a vertex cover for the original graph. Furthermore, by [Lemma 2.4](#), we have

$$w(C \cup V_1) = w(C) + w(V_1) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\text{vc}^* + w(V_1) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)(w(V_1) + \text{vc}^*) = (1 + \varepsilon)\text{vc}^*(G),$$

since $\text{vc}^*(G) = \text{vc}^* + w(V_1)$ by [Lemma 2.4](#). ■

3.1. Applications

For several cases, efficient $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximations algorithms are known:

- (i) A PTAS for the unweighted pseudo-disks [[CH12](#)].
- (ii) A QQPTAS is for unweighted rectangles in the plane [[CE16](#)].
- (iii) A QPTAS for weighted simple polygons in the plane [[AHW19](#)].

Plugging these results into [Theorem 3.1](#), implies the following.

Theorem 3.2. *We have the following:*

- (i) *For the intersection graph of n unweighted pseudo-disk in the plane, a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation to the minimum vertex cover can be computed in $n^{O(1/\varepsilon^2)}$ time.*
- (ii) *For the intersection graph of n unweighted axis-aligned rectangles in the plane, a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation to the minimum vertex cover can be computed in $n^{O(\text{poly}(\log \log n, 1/\varepsilon))}$ time.*
- (iii) *For the intersection graph of n weighted simple polygons in the plane, a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation to the minimum weight vertex-cover can be computed in $n^{O(\text{poly}(\log n, 1/\varepsilon))}$ time.*

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Chandra Chekuri for useful discussions.

References

- [AHW19] A. Adamaszek, S. Har-Peled, and A. Wiese. *Approximation schemes for independent set and sparse subsets of polygons*. *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, 66(4): 29:1–29:40, 2019.
- [BHR11] R. Bar-Yehuda, D. Hermelin, and D. Rawitz. *Minimum vertex cover in rectangle graphs*. *Comput. Geom.*, 44(6-7): 356–364, 2011.
- [CC04] M. Chlebík and J. Chlebíková. *Improvement of Nemhauser-Trotter theorem and its applications in parametrized complexity*. *Proc. 9th Scand. Workshop Algorithm Theory (SWAT)*, vol. 3111. 174–186, 2004.
- [CC08] M. Chlebík and J. Chlebíková. *Crown reductions for the minimum weighted vertex cover problem*. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 156(3): 292–312, 2008.
- [CE16] J. Chuzhoy and A. Ene. *On approximating maximum independent set of rectangles*. *Proc. 57th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci. (FOCS)*, 820–829, 2016.
- [CFK+15] M. Cygan, F. V. Fomin, L. Kowalik, D. Lokshantov, D. Marx, M. Pilipczuk, M. Pilipczuk, and S. Saurabh. *Parameterized algorithms*. Springer, 2015.
- [CH12] T. M. Chan and S. Har-Peled. *Approximation algorithms for maximum independent set of pseudo-disks*. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 48(2): 373–392, 2012.
- [CLRS01] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to algorithms*. MIT Press / McGraw-Hill, 2001.
- [DS05] I. Dinur and S. Safra. *On the hardness of approximating vertex cover*. *Annals of Mathematics*, 162(1): 439–485, 2005.
- [EJS05] T. Erlebach, K. Jansen, and E. Seidel. *Polynomial-time approximation schemes for geometric intersection graphs*. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 34(6): 1302–1323, 2005.
- [GJ90] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. *Computers and intractability; a guide to the theory of NP-completeness*. W. H. Freeman & Co., 1990.
- [HQ17] S. Har-Peled and K. Quanrud. *Approximation algorithms for polynomial-expansion and low-density graphs*. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 46(6): 1712–1744, 2017.
- [KR08] S. Khot and O. Regev. *Vertex cover might be hard to approximate to within $2-\epsilon$* . *J. Comput. Sys. Sci.*, 74(3): 335–349, 2008.