

1 **Article type: Original Research**

2 **Scalable batch-correction approach for integrating large-scale single-cell**  
3 **transcriptomes**

4

5 Xilin Shen<sup>1</sup>, Hongru Shen<sup>1</sup>, Dan Wu<sup>1</sup>, Mengyao Feng<sup>1</sup>, Jiani Hu<sup>1</sup>, Jilei Liu<sup>1</sup>,  
6 Yichen Yang<sup>1</sup>, Meng Yang<sup>1</sup>, Yang Li<sup>1</sup>, Lei Shi<sup>3\*</sup>, Kexin Chen<sup>2\*</sup>, Xiangchun Li<sup>1\*</sup>

7

8 <sup>1</sup>Tianjin Cancer Institute, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Key  
9 Laboratory of Cancer Prevention and Therapy, Tianjin Medical University  
10 Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China;  
11 <sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, National Clinical Research  
12 Center for Cancer, Key Laboratory of Cancer Prevention and Therapy, Key  
13 Laboratory of Molecular Cancer Epidemiology of Tianjin, Tianjin Medical  
14 University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin,  
15 China; <sup>3</sup>State Key Laboratory of Experimental Hematology, The Province and  
16 Ministry Co-sponsored Collaborative Innovation Center for Medical  
17 Epigenetics, Key Laboratory of Breast Cancer Prevention and Therapy  
18 (Ministry of Education), Key Laboratory of Immune Microenvironment and  
19 Disease (Ministry of Education), Department of Biochemistry and Molecular  
20 Biology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Tianjin Medical University Cancer  
21 Institute and Hospital, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin 300070, China

22

23 \*Correspondence to: Prof. Xiangchun Li, Tianjin Cancer Institute, Tianjin  
24 Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Huanhu Xi Road, Tiyuan Bei,  
25 Hexi District, Tianjin, 300060, China. Tel (Fax): (86)22- 23372231; Email:  
26 [lixiangchun@tmu.edu.cn](mailto:lixiangchun@tmu.edu.cn)

27 Prof. Kexin Chen, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Tianjin  
28 Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Huanhu Xi Road, Tiyuan Bei,  
29 Hexi District, Tianjin, 300060, China. Tel: (86) 2237 2231; Email:  
30 [chenkexin@tmu.edu.cn](mailto:chenkexin@tmu.edu.cn)

31 Prof. Lei Shi, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of  
32 Basic Medical Sciences, Tianjin Medical University, Qixiangtai Road, Heping  
33 District, Tianjin, 300070, China. Tel: (86)22- 83336998; Email:  
34 [shilei@tmu.edu.cn](mailto:shilei@tmu.edu.cn).

35

## 36 **Abstract**

37 Integration of the evolving large-scale single-cell transcriptomes requires  
38 scalable batch-correction approaches. Here we propose a simple  
39 batch-correction method that is scalable for integrating super large-scale  
40 single-cell transcriptomes from diverse sources. The core idea of the method is  
41 encoding batch information of each cell as a trainable parameter and added to  
42 its expression profile; subsequently, a contrastive learning approach is used to  
43 learn feature representation of the additive expression profile. We demonstrate  
44 the scalability of the proposed method by integrating 18 million cells obtained  
45 from the Human Cell Atlas. Our benchmark comparisons with current  
46 state-of-the-art single-cell integration methods demonstrated that our method

47 could achieve comparable data alignment and cluster preservation. Our study  
48 would facilitate the integration of super large-scale single-cell transcriptomes.  
49 The source code is available at <https://github.com/xilinshen/Fugue>.

50

## 51 **Background**

52 Single-cell sequencing offers tremendous opportunities for biomedical  
53 research to explore the cellular ecosystem and molecular mechanisms [1].  
54 Advances in single-cell technologies have spurred the establishment of  
55 several public repositories of single-cell data, including the Human Cell Atlas  
56 (HCA), the Single-cell Expression Atlas and the Mouse Cell Atlas [2, 3]. The  
57 HCA project is committed to curate millions to trillions of single-cells for  
58 constructing a comprehensive reference map of all human cells. As can be  
59 foreseen, integration of super large-scale single-cells across heterogeneous  
60 tissues from diverse sources will be a leading wave for deep exploration of  
61 biology [4, 5]. Therefore, scalable computational methods are crucial for  
62 integration of single-cell transcriptomes and subsequently their translation into  
63 biological significance.

64 Batch effects are fundamental issues to be addressed for integration of  
65 single-cell transcriptomes. Batch effects are inevitable as single-cell data were  
66 generated by various groups with diverse experimental protocols and  
67 sequencing platforms [6]. Considerable progress has been made on  
68 batch-correction of single-cell expression. For instance, MNN [7], Scanorama  
69 [8] and BBKNN [9] are all based on mutual nearest neighbors (MNNs)  
70 identification were successfully applied to guide single-cell integration. The

71 Seurat integration [10] utilizes canonical correlation analysis to identify  
72 correlations across datasets and computes MNNs to correct data. Harmony  
73 [11] integrates datasets by clustering similar cells from different batches while  
74 maximizing the diversity of batches within each cluster. scVI [12] applies a  
75 deep learning model to learn shared embedding space among datasets for the  
76 elimination of batch effects. However, these methods are not designed for the  
77 integration of super large-scale single-cells.

78 To satisfy this need, we present Fugue, a simple yet efficient solution for  
79 batch-correction of super large-scale single-cell transcriptomes. The method  
80 extended the deep learning method at the heart of our recently published  
81 Miscell approach [13]. Miscell learns representations of single-cell expression  
82 profiles through contrastive learning and achieves high performance on  
83 canonical single-cell analysis tasks including cell clustering and cell-specific  
84 markers inferring. In this study, we expand Miscell through encoding batch  
85 information as trainable parameters and adding them into expression profiles.  
86 In concept, the gene expression profiles of same cell from different batches  
87 could be seen as superposition of the same biological information and different  
88 batch information. Fugue incorporates additive batch information as learnable  
89 parameters into gene expression matrix. The batch information can be  
90 properly represented after training. By taking batch information as trainable  
91 variable, Fugue is scalable in atlasing-scale data integration with fixed memory  
92 usage.

93 We demonstrated the scalability and efficiency of Fugue by applying it to  
94 analyze 18 million single-cells obtained from HCA and benchmarked its  
95 performance on diverse datasets along with current state-of-the-art methods.

96 We showed that Fugue achieved favorably performance as compared with  
97 current state-of-the-art methods. The reference map of HCA dissected by  
98 Fugue demonstrated that it can learn smooth embedding for time course  
99 trajectory and joint embedding space for immune cells from heterogeneous  
100 tissues.

101

## 102 **Results**

### 103 **Overview of Fugue**

104 Fugue integrates single-cells through adding batch information into expression  
105 profile and learns batch information by contrastive learning. Specifically, we  
106 construct Fugue as a deep learning-based feature encoder to learn dimension  
107 reduction representation of expression profile. Given a set of uncorrected  
108 single-cells (**Figure 1A**), Fugue embeds their batch information as a learnable  
109 matrix (i.e. batch embedding matrix) and adds them to the corresponding  
110 expression profile (**Figure 1B**). A DenseNet of 21 layers [14] is used as feature  
111 encoder to learn the additive expression profiles. The feature encoder is  
112 trained in a self-supervised manner through contrastive learning (**Figure 1C**)  
113 [15]. Contrastive loss minimizes the distance between the cell and its  
114 noise-added view, and maximizes the distance between different cells. The  
115 trained feature encoder is used to extract feature representations of  
116 single-cells (**Figure 1D**). We remove the batch embedding matrix from the  
117 input. As a result, only biological signals are retained in the embedding space.  
118 The representation could be utilized for downstream analysis such as  
119 single-cell cluster delineation (**Figure 1E**). Details are described in **Methods**

120 section.

121

## 122 **Benchmark evaluations**

123 On the *simulation dataset* of 30,000 cells of 3 cell types among 5 batches,  
124 each cell type was divided by batches (**Figure 2A**) before batch correction.  
125 After integration with Fugue, cells of the same types were well-mixed and cells  
126 of different types were dispersed across batches (**Figure 2B**). In addition, we  
127 ran Fugue on this simulation dataset after removing a specific cell type from  
128 four batches (See **Methods and Supplementary Figure 1**). The result  
129 showed that Fugue could maintain batch-specific cell types (**Figure 2C**).

130 We used this simulation dataset to search for three hyperparameters that are  
131 adjusted for contrastive learning, including size of memory bank and  
132 momentum coefficient. The kBET [16] and ARI scores were applied to evaluate  
133 its performance (**see Methods**). Fugue was insensitive to variation of these  
134 hyperparameters in terms of ARI and kBET scores (**Supplementary Figure**  
135 **1A and 1B**). Data augmentations include random dropout and position  
136 shuffling. We set the dropout rate to 30% and random shuffle rate to 10%  
137 based on the value of ARI and kBET (**Supplementary Figure 1C**).

138 We compared Fugue to 8 single-cell integration methods on the *cell line* ( $n =$   
139  $9,531$ ) and *PBMC* ( $n = 28,541$ ) *datasets* (See **Methods, Figure 2D, G**). Fugue  
140 yielded similar result as these 8 methods on UMAP plots (**Figure 2E, H and**  
141 **Supplementary Figure 2,3**). Quantitatively, Fugue achieved comparable  
142 kBET and ARI scores (**Figure 2F, I**).

143

144 **Fugue could accurately remove batch effects**

145 We applied Fugue to integrate all available data from HCA repository (75  
146 cohorts totaling 18,056,192 cells) (**Supplementary Table 1**). The batch effect  
147 removing efficiency of Fugue was evaluated on three datasets included in HCA,  
148 including the *census of immune project*, the *lung* and the *brain dataset*.

149 Common cell types of the *census of immune project* (cord blood, n = 133,264;  
150 bone marrow, n = 176,571) revealed a minimal overlap before integration  
151 (**Supplementary Figure 4A**). Fugue clustered cells into biologically coherent  
152 groups and removed batch-specific variations (**Figure 3A**), and UMAP plot  
153 was similar to the aforementioned benchmark methods (**Supplementary**  
154 **Figure 4B-H**). Fugue achieved comparable kBET and ARI scores as  
155 compared with these methods (**Figure 3B**).

156 The C30.1 (n = 75,387) and C47 (n = 2,532) from *lung dataset* showed  
157 minimal overlap before batch correction (**Figure 3C**). After correction with  
158 Fugue, cells from different datasets were mapped into corresponding area  
159 (**Figure 3D**). The UMAP plot was consistent with the aforementioned  
160 benchmark methods (**Supplementary Figure 5**). Quantitatively, Fugue  
161 achieved comparable kBET and ARI scores with these methods (**Figure 3F**).  
162 Unsupervised clustering and cell types annotation revealed 11 cell types in the  
163 *lung dataset*, including monocytes, mast cells and ciliated cells (**Figure 3E**).  
164 Conventional cell markers [17, 18] were expressed uniquely in each cell  
165 cluster (**Figure 3G**), and invariant across batches (**Figure 3H**).

166 We evaluated the batch removing efficiency on the *brain dataset* with the same

167 process applied for the *lung dataset*. The result also demonstrated that Fugue  
168 can robustly integrate cells from multiple studies (**Supplementary Figure 6**).

169

### 170 **Fugue captures the real batch information**

171 We hypothesize that sequencing samples of the same cohort are subjected to  
172 lower batch variation. Therefore, the batch embeddings of samples from the  
173 same cohort should be more similar than those from different cohorts.

174 We extracted the batch embeddings of 373 samples from 75 cohorts in HCA.

175 The result showed that samples from the same cohort had higher similarity of

176 batch embeddings as compared with samples from different cohorts

177 (**Supplementary Figure 7A**). We found that batch embeddings of 4 patients

178 from the C2 cohort are almost identical (**Supplementary Figure 7B**), which

179 was consistent with the previous report that there was no batch effect among

180 these four patients [19]. For the *census of immune project*, we observed higher

181 similarity of batch embeddings within the same batch than between batches

182 (**Supplementary Figure 7C**). For the PBMC and tonsil tissue from C39

183 subjected to the same sequencing protocol [20], we also observed high

184 similarity among them, especially among samples from the same tissue

185 (**Supplementary Figure 7D**).

186

### 187 **Fugue aligned precise immune cell subtypes in HCA**

188 Immune cells are highly homogeneous across tissues [21]. Therefore, Fugue

189 should be able to map the same immune cell types together across HCA.



190 Forty-six clusters were inferred from HCA (n = 3,424,607) (**Supplementary**  
191 **Figure 8A and Supplementary Table 2**). Most clusters consist of multiple  
192 cohorts, while some come from specific organs (**Supplementary Figure 8B**).  
193 For example, 26 projects had over 100 cells in endothelial cell\_1 cluster; C2  
194 was the only project associated with lymphatic tissue [19] and made up the  
195 majority of lymphatic endothelial cell cluster (endothelial cell\_7)  
196 (**Supplementary Figure 8B**).

197 We reclustered the immune cells (**Supplementary Figure 9**) corresponding to  
198 17 subtypes (**Figure 4A**). Different cell types were readily separable from each  
199 other, and dataset specific cell types were retained, such as *in-vivo* stimulated  
200 NKT cells (**Figure 4A**). Canonical markers were expressed in corresponding  
201 cell types (**Figure 4B**). For example, Pan-B cell markers *CD79A* and *CD79B*  
202 were expressed in B cell clusters. B cell precursor specific markers *VPREB1*  
203 and *IGLL1* were expressed in the relevant cell type. We observed stable  
204 expression of marker genes among batches (**Figure 4C, D and**  
205 **Supplementary Figure 10**). For example, natural killer cell markers *PRF1* and  
206 *KLRD1* were expressed in all of the 27 cohorts (**Figure 4D**).

207

## 208 **Fugue integrates time course development trajectories**

209 On the *embryonic mouse cardiac dataset*, batch effects were observed among  
210 embryo development stage before correction (**Figure 5A**). Fugue integrated  
211 cells from different embryo periods (**Figure 5B**). We classified the single-cells  
212 into 5 cell types based on the specific cell markers (**Figure 5C, D and**  
213 **Supplementary Figure 11**). The FLE dimension reduction showed that

214 expression representation extracted from Fugue captured the embryonic  
215 developmental trajectories for each cell type (**Figure 5E**). Cells from  
216 embryonic (E) day 10.5, 13.5 and 16.5 were orderly arranged according to  
217 pseudo-time trajectory (**Figure 5E**). The expression patterns of canonical cell  
218 differentiation markers were consistent with developmental stages  
219 (**Supplementary Figure 12**). For instance, early erythrocyte markers *GYPA*  
220 and *TFRC* expressed highly in E10.5 erythrocytes and negatively correlated  
221 with pseudo-time (**Supplementary Figure 12**), which was consistent with the  
222 previous studies [22, 23].

223 We next recovered cell development trajectories during hematopoiesis from  
224 the *census of immune project*. FLE plot indicated clear overlap of the identified  
225 cell types from cord blood and bone marrow across pseudo-time trajectory  
226 (**Supplementary Figure 13**). Clear trajectories that quadrifurcate from  
227 hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) into B cell, T cell, mono-dendritic and  
228 megakaryocyte-erythroid series were constructed (**Figure 5F**). The trajectories  
229 were ordered by cell development stages and branching by cell differentiation  
230 types (**Figure 5G-J**).

231

## 232 **Discussion and conclusions**

233 In this study, we attempt to tackle the batch effect removal issue in the rapidly  
234 developing single-cell transcriptomic with a simple yet effective solution. Fugue  
235 could be deployed as a scalable deep learning model to integrate single-cells  
236 of any magnitude with fixed memory. We provide evidence that Fugue  
237 showcases superior performance in terms of integrating millions of single-cells

238 from various sources. Fugue is expected to assemble all human cells to  
239 construct a comprehensive single-cell atlas.

240 In application, we showcase the robustness of Fugue in super large-scale  
241 datasets integration. Specifically, Fugue was applied to integrate all available  
242 single-cells among HCA repository. Three datasets included in HCA were  
243 utilized to represent the data integrated effectiveness of Fugue, for that most of  
244 the benchmark methods cannot handle atlas-scale datasets due to memory  
245 overflow. Moreover, there are currently no suitable indicators to assess the  
246 batch-correction performance of complex datasets with multiple distinct or  
247 dataset-specific cell types spanning dozens of batches. Fugue performed on  
248 par with current state-of-the-art single-cell integration methods in terms of  
249 batch-correction and cluster preservation performance. Fugue therefore offers  
250 better trade-offs between data integration performance and scalability, and it is  
251 a key advantage of Fugue to integrate super large-scale datasets.  
252 Furthermore, we show that Fugue could integrate millions of immune cells to  
253 reflect delicate cell functional status while retaining distinct cell subtypes.  
254 Additional analysis demonstrates that time course trajectories could be  
255 correctly constructed and ordered after single-cell integration by Fugue. The  
256 algorithm can thus facilitates the exploration of subtle biological differences  
257 among atlas-scale datasets.

258 A great deal of batch-correction methods learn batch information based on  
259 prior assumptions. For example, Combat assumes batches as a function of  
260 gene expressions [24]. Methods based on MNN learn batch information  
261 through paired cells between batches, and highly depend on the qualities of  
262 MNMs [7, 8]. Fugue is a hypothesis-free deep learning network. It simply

263 learns batch information through contrastive learning and does not require  
264 domain specific knowledge. The flexibility of this approach could be  
265 demonstrated through the integration of single-cells from HCA. For that explicit  
266 batch information are not always available from researchers, we employed  
267 sample labels as batch information for HCA projects. We demonstrated the  
268 compatibility of this configuration through benchmark the performance of  
269 Fugue with current state-of-the-art methods, for which accurate batch labels  
270 were set. The batch information learned by Fugue also show little variation  
271 within the same batch as compared with that between batches  
272 (**Supplementary Figure 7**). Therefore, the simple batch correction approach  
273 is flexible and can be a good candidate for multi-millions of single-cells where  
274 explicit batch information are not always available.

275 Although immune cell markers have been studied extensively, the knowledge  
276 might be limited by their definition via a restricted set of organs or cell types.  
277 The integrated analysis of atlasing-scale single-cells enabled cross-organ  
278 comparisons and provide new perspectives for the understanding of marker  
279 genes. Based on the reference map of HCA, we found many conventional  
280 immune cell markers are expressed in nonimmune cell types. For example,  
281 conventional monocyte marker *S100A9* was expressed in esophageal  
282 squamous epithelium cells (Epithelial cell\_4) (**Supplementary Figure 9**),  
283 which was confirmed by previous studies [25, 26]. Canonical HSC marker  
284 *SPINK2* was expressed higher in epididymal epithelial cells (Epithelial cell\_7)  
285 than HSCs (Stem cell\_1) (**Supplementary Figure 9**). The enrichment of  
286 *SPINK2* in epididymal tissue was confirmed in the previous report [27].

287 Fugue could be improved in several aspects. First, as an artificial intelligence

288 model, black-box nature of the approach is a limitation that should be resolved  
289 [28, 29]. We explored the batch embedding matrix and found that similar  
290 batches have more similar batch embeddings than dissimilar batches. It brings  
291 insights into the interpretability of batch information learned by Fugue. Second,  
292 as an unsupervised learning model, hyperparameters tuning might to some  
293 extent influence the performance of Fugue [30]. In our analysis, we proved the  
294 stability of Fugue to hyperparameters tuning (**Supplementary Figure 1**). We  
295 also used the same hyperparameters of model structure throughout the study  
296 to ensure the generalization of the result.

297 In summary, we present Fugue, a simple yet efficient deep learning model for  
298 super large-scale single-cell transcriptomes integration. We anticipate Fugue  
299 will be helpful for researchers to transform growing scale of single-cell  
300 transcriptomes into the understanding of biology and disease, driving new  
301 ways for disease diagnosis and treatment.

302

## 303 **Methods**

### 304 **Batch embedding**

305 The key idea of batch-effect removal is decoupling biological signals from  
306 nuisance factors of batch effects. We explicitly encoded batch information as a  
307 learnable batch embedding matrix ( $BE$ ) and added them to expression matrix  
308 ( $E$ ) to obtain expression matrix with batch embedding information ( $X = BE + E$ ),  
309 subsequently performing feature representation learning on  $X$ . The batch  
310 embedding matrix  $BE$  was randomly initialized and updated during training.  
311 For the purpose of point-wise addition between  $BE$  and  $E$ , the dimension of

312 matrices BE and E must be identical.

313

### 314 **Network architecture and training**

315 We used DenseNet architecture [14] as feature encoder to learn expression  
316 embedding of single-cells. The DenseNet has 21 layers that are consisted of 4  
317 dense blocks. The DenseNet architecture is featured by concatenating all the  
318 outputs from preceding layers as input for the next layer to make feature  
319 transmission more efficient. We replaced convolutional layer of the DenseNet  
320 with linear layer to make it able to process gene expression matrix.  
321 Self-supervised learning with momentum contrast [15] was adopted to train the  
322 feature encoder. We applied multi-layer perceptron (MLP) as project head,  
323 which was demonstrated to be beneficial for contrastive learning [31].

324

325 Here we adapt contrastive learning for feature encoder development, through  
326 which the model was trained by constructing positive and negative pairs [32].  
327 For a given integrated input  $I_{cell}$ , a feature encoder represents it as  $C_q = f_q(I_{cell})$ ,  
328 where  $f_q$  is a query encoder network and  $C_q$  is a query sample. A key encoder  
329 network  $f_k$  encode the noise-adding view of the input  $I_{cell+}$  as  $C_{k+}$  (likewise,  $C_{k+} =$   
330  $f_k(I_{cell+})$ ). One cell  $C_q$  and its noise-adding view  $C_{k+}$  form a positive pair, and  
331 assemble with a different cell  $C_{k-}$  to form a negative pair. The contrastive loss is  
332 optimized through learning the same representation of the positive pairs and  
333 dissimilar representation of negative pairs:

334 
$$L_{C_q, C_{k+}, \{C_{k-}\}} = -\log \frac{\exp(C_q \times C_{k+} / \tau)}{\exp(C_q \times C_{k+} / \tau) + \sum_{k-} \exp(C_q \times C_{k-} / \tau)},$$

335 where  $C_{k-}$  denotes a dictionary of the negative samples. The dictionary was  
336 built as a queue  $C_{k1-}, C_{k2-}, \dots, C_{k\eta-}$ . The current mini-batch en queue and the  
337 oldest mini-batch de queue. We set the queue size to 10% of the training data.  
338  $\tau$  is a temperature hyper-parameter and was set to 0.2. We performed data  
339 augmentations through random zero out to 30% and shuffling to 10% of genes.  
340 These hyperparameters were determined through grid search (see  
341 **Supplementary Figure 1**).

342

343 The parameters of query encoder  $\theta_q$  were updated by back-propagation; the  
344 parameters of key encoder  $\theta_k$  were updated according to  $\theta_q$ :

345 
$$\theta_k \leftarrow m\theta_k + (1-m)\theta_q,$$

346 where  $m$  stands a momentum coefficient, which was set to 0.999. We trained  
347 the network at a learning rate of 0.01. The training was ended until loss did not  
348 improve over a specified number of epochs (see **Supplementary Table 3**).

349

350 The network was trained through mini-batch stochastic gradient descent  
351 algorithm [33] with a weight decay of 1e-4. The convergence speed of deep  
352 learning model is affected by batch-size [34]. For that we integrated thousands  
353 to multi-millions single-cells, we set the size of mini-batch from 16 to 256,  
354 which was dependent on the volume of training data (**Supplementary Table**

355 **3).**

356

357 At the stage of feature extraction, we applied the developed feature encoder  $f_q$   
358 as feature extractor. Only expression matrix  $E_{cell}$  was provided to  $f_q$ :

359 
$$F_{cell} = f_q(E_{cell}),$$

360 where  $F_{cell}$  is the feature representation of the single-cell transcriptome.

361

## 362 **Data sources**

### 363 *Simulation dataset*

364 We simulated a total of 30,000 single-cell read counts using Splatter  
365 package[35]. The resultant *simulation dataset* contains 3 cell types; each cell  
366 type consists of 5 batches (**Figure 2A**). Each batch contains 2000 genes with  
367 a differential expression factor of 0.4. To estimate the performance of Fugue  
368 on batch-specific cell types detection, we manually removed cell type 1 from  
369 batches 2-5 and maintained them in batch 1 (**Supplementary Figure 14**). We  
370 named the resultant dataset as *simulation\_rm dataset*.

371

### 372 *Cell line dataset*

373 This dataset consists of the cell lines of “Jurkat”, “293 T” and the 50/50 mixture  
374 of both cell lines [36]. The dataset is composed of 9,531 single-cells generated  
375 by 10x 3’ protocol. For mixture cell lines, cells were clustered with Louvain



376 algorithm based on Scanpy pipeline. Cell clusters with high expression of *XIST*  
377 were annotated as “293 T” while others as “Jurkat”.

378

### 379 *Human peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) dataset*

380 The data included two batches of PBMC from five samples [37]. One sample  
381 was excluded from the analysis because it was stimulated *in vitro*. This dataset  
382 contains 28,541 single-cells, which could be grouped into B cells, CD4+ T cell,  
383 CD8+ T cell, NK cells, monocytes, megakaryocytes and dendritic cells. The  
384 cell labels were provided by the original publication [37].

385

### 386 *Human cell atlas*

387 We downloaded single-cell data from HCA portal [2] on 16 July 2021.  
388 Fifty-three projects (C1-C53) following HCA data processing pipeline were  
389 collected (**Supplementary Table 1**). These projects consist of 75 cohorts. We  
390 filtered out samples with available cell numbers less than 1000. A total number  
391 of 373 samples were maintained for downstream analysis. This dataset  
392 contains 18,056,192 cells from multiple organs, including blood, lung, brain  
393 and cardiac (**Supplementary Table 1**). We used the sample labels as batch  
394 information given that explicit batch information is not always available for  
395 every dataset. All of that 18,056,192 cells were used by Fugue for batch  
396 information learning. A total of 3,424,607 cells with more than 500 expressed  
397 genes were utilized to construct the reference map of HCA.

398

399 The following projects were selected for the assessment of dataset alignment  
400 and biological significance preservation performance of the reference map.  
401 The first dataset was the *census of immune project* (C1). The *census of*  
402 *immune project* consists of two batches that can be referred to as cord blood  
403 (C1.0) and bone marrow (C1.1). The two batches contain immune cells from  
404 diverse development statuses. We downloaded cell type labels from HCA  
405 repository on 28 August 2020. The *lung dataset* consists of C30.1 and C47.  
406 Both C30.1 and C47 came from lung tissue and have similar cell types [18, 38].  
407 The *brain dataset* consists of C19, C28 and C32, which contain cells from  
408 different subsections of brain tissue with overlapping cell types among each  
409 other [17, 39, 40]. The *embryonic mouse cardiac dataset* consists of C18 and  
410 C20. C18 contains mouse cardiac cells from embryonic state of E10.5 and  
411 E13.5. C20 includes mouse cardiac cells from embryonic state of E16.5 . Only  
412 healthy embryos were taken into account in this analysis. Since the original  
413 author of C18 denotes batch effect exists between cells from E10.5 and E13.5  
414 mouse [41], the embryonic periods were employed as batch labels for the  
415 benchmarking methods.

416

#### 417 **Data preprocessing**

418 We applied Scanpy (**version 1.7.0**) for data preprocessing. We used  
419 “highly\_variable\_genes” function with the default parameters to identify highly  
420 variable genes. A total of 1,959 and 2,085 HVGs were selected from the *cell*  
421 *line* and *PBMC* datasets, respectively. For HCA project, 14550 genes shared  
422 among datasets were selected.

423

424 For all of the aforementioned datasets, we normalized the count matrix to  
425 counts per million normalization (CPM) and took logarithmic transformation (i.e.  
426  $\log_2(\text{CPM}+1)$ ). Subsequently, the expression of each gene was scaled by  
427 subtracting its average expression then divided by its standard deviation. The  
428 scaled expression matrix was applied as inputs for the model.

429

### 430 **Benchmark methods**

431 We benchmarked the performance of Fugue with eight state-of-the-art batch  
432 correction methods, including Seurat V3, ComBat, Harmony, BBKNN,  
433 Scanorama, scVI, Pegasus L/S adjustment and INSCT. All methods were  
434 performed with the default parameters (see **Supplementary Table 4** for  
435 detailed information) throughout the study. Seurat V3 ran out of memory on our  
436 server (maximum memory: 256 Gb) for dataset with more than 100,000 cells  
437 and therefore it was not evaluated on dataset >100,000 cells. For the *census*  
438 *of immune project*, cord blood and bone marrow were utilized as batch  
439 information. We employed different cohorts as batch information for the *lung*  
440 and *brain datasets* and embryonic development periods as batch information  
441 for the *embryonic mouse cardiac dataset*. We provided these methods with  
442 explicit batch information because it's the general configuration and suitable  
443 for these methods [7-12].

444

### 445 **Evaluation functions**

446 We employed kBET acceptance rate [16] for the assessment of batch effect  
447 through *Pegasus* package [42]. The kBET acceptance rate measures whether  
448 batches are well-mixed in the local neighborhood of each cell. The resulting  
449 score ranges from 0 to 1, where a higher score means a better mix. We  
450 computed kBET scores based on each cell type and used the average score to  
451 evaluate the degree of batch mixing. The adjusted rand index (ARI) score was  
452 applied to evaluate batch correction method in terms of cell type mixing. The  
453 ARI score measures the percentage of matches between two label lists. The  
454 resulting score ranges from -1 to 1, where a high score denotes that the data  
455 point fits well in the current cluster. We used the Louvain community detection  
456 algorithm implemented in “tl.louvain” of Scanpy package (**version 1.7.0**) for  
457 cell clustering. In our study, Louvain algorithm would generate much more cell  
458 clusters than real cell types when the resolution was 0.5 and far fewer when  
459 the resolution was 0.01. Thus, we set the resolution parameter range from 0.5  
460 to 0.01 with a step of 0.01 and computed ARI score with *sklearn* package for  
461 each step. The maximum ARI score was employed as the final evaluation  
462 index. On account of BBKNN cannot give the corrected feature representation,  
463 we calculated the evaluation indexes in UMAP embedding space. The  
464 embedding was computed with the default parameters based on the same  
465 random seed through *umap-learn* package (**version 0.4.6**). For the *census of*  
466 *immune project*, we assessed the performance based on 20, 000 random  
467 sampled cells and averaged the scores of 10 replications.

468

469 **Cell marker inferring and cell type identification**

470 The marker genes of cell clusters were calculated as mentioned in Miscell [13].  
471 Specifically, we constructed a new deep neural network (denoted as  $F: R^n \rightarrow$   
472  $[0, 1]$ ) by freezing the parameters of the trained encoder and adding a single  
473 linear classifier at the end of it. The classifier was trained for cell cluster  
474 prediction. We used the importance score calculated by integrated gradient  
475 algorithm [43] as the surrogate metric for the impact of each gene on  
476 classification output. In specificity, the integrating gradient algorithm calculates  
477 the important score of the  $i^{th}$  gene as the gradient of  $F(x)$  along the  $i^{th}$   
478 dimension, which is defined as:

$$479 \text{IntegratedGrad}_i(x) ::= (x_i - x'_i) \times \int_{\alpha=0}^1 \frac{\partial F(x' + \alpha(x - x'))}{\partial x_i} d\alpha$$

480 The  $x$  and  $x'$  are the actual and baseline expression levels respectively. We set  
481  $x'$  to 0. A higher importance score represents a more significant impact of gene  
482 for the specific cell cluster. We manually annotated cell types according to  
483 genes with the highest importance scores.

484

## 485 External software

486 Louvain community detection algorithm implemented in *Scanpy* package  
487 (**version 1.7.0**) was applied for cell clustering. We applied UMAP algorithm to  
488 visualize cells in a two-dimensional space if unspecified. UMAP failed on  
489 3,424,607 cells after 72 hours; thus t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor  
490 Embedding (t-SNE) algorithm from *Fit-SNE* package was utilized to construct  
491 a global view of HCA embedding space. Force-directed layout embedding  
492 (FLE) from Pegasus package was applied for trajectories inferring.

493

#### 494 **Availability of data and materials**

495 The source code of Fugue is available at <https://github.com/xilinshen/Fugue>.

496 The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are publicly available

497 through online sources. The simulation dataset was available at

498 <https://github.com/xilinshen/Fugue/tree/master/data>; the cell line dataset was

499 available at

500 <https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene-expression/datasets/1.1.0/i>

501 [urkat](#),

502 <https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene-expression/datasets/1.1.0/2>

503 [93t](#) and

504 <https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene-expression/datasets/1.1.0/i>

505 [urkat:293t\\_50:50](#); the PBMC dataset was downloaded from

506 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE96583>; all available

507 single cells of HCA repository was downloaded from

508 <https://www.humancellatlas.org/>.

509

#### 510 **Author contribution**

511 X.L., K.C. and L.S. designed and supervised the study. X.L. and X.S. wrote the

512 manuscript. X.L., K.C., L.S. and X.S. revised the manuscript. H.S., D.W., M.F.,

513 J.H., J.L. collected the data. X.S., Y.Y., M.Y., Y.L. processed the data. X.S., X.L.,

514 K.C., L.S., Y.Y., M.Y. and Y.L. interpreted the results. All authors reviewed and

515 approved the submission of this manuscript.

516

## 517 **Acknowledgment**

518 We want to thank all the researchers for their generosity to make their data  
519 publicly available.

520

## 521 **Funding**

522 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China  
523 [31801117]; the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research  
524 Team in University in China [IRT\_14R40]; the Tianjin Science and Technology  
525 Committee Foundation [17JCYBJC25300]; and the Chinese National Key  
526 Research and Development Project [2018YFC1315600].

527

## 528 **Disclosure**

529 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

530

## 531 **Reference**

- 532 1. Paik DT, Tian L, Williams IM, Rhee S, Zhang H, Liu C, Mishra R, Wu SM,  
533 Red-Horse K, Wu JC: **Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Unveils Unique**  
534 **Transcriptomic Signatures of Organ-Specific Endothelial Cells.**  
535 *Circulation* 2020, **142**:1848-1862.

- 536 2. Regev A, Teichmann SA, Lander ES, Amit I, Benoist C, Birney E,  
537 Bodenmiller B, Campbell P, Carninci P, Clatworthy M, et al: **The Human**  
538 **Cell Atlas**. *Elife* 2017, **6**.
- 539 3. Han X, Wang R, Zhou Y, Fei L, Sun H, Lai S, Saadatpour A, Zhou Z,  
540 Chen H, Ye F, et al: **Mapping the Mouse Cell Atlas by Microwell-Seq**.  
541 *Cell* 2018, **172**:1091-1107 e1017.
- 542 4. Li Y, Ren P, Dawson A, Vasquez HG, Ageedi W, Zhang C, Luo W, Chen  
543 R, Li Y, Kim S, et al: **Single-Cell Transcriptome Analysis Reveals**  
544 **Dynamic Cell Populations and Differential Gene Expression**  
545 **Patterns in Control and Aneurysmal Human Aortic Tissue**.  
546 *Circulation* 2020, **142**:1374-1388.
- 547 5. Guo X, Zhang Y, Zheng L, Zheng C, Song J, Zhang Q, Kang B, Liu Z,  
548 Jin L, Xing R, et al: **Global characterization of T cells in**  
549 **non-small-cell lung cancer by single-cell sequencing**. *Nat Med*  
550 2018, **24**:978-985.
- 551 6. Leek JT, Scharpf RB, Bravo HC, Simcha D, Langmead B, Johnson WE,  
552 Geman D, Baggerly K, Irizarry RA: **Tackling the widespread and**  
553 **critical impact of batch effects in high-throughput data**. *Nat Rev*  
554 *Genet* 2010, **11**:733-739.
- 555 7. Haghverdi L, Lun ATL, Morgan MD, Marioni JC: **Batch effects in**  
556 **single-cell RNA-sequencing data are corrected by matching**



- 557           **mutual nearest neighbors.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2018, **36**:421-427.
- 558    8.    Hie B, Bryson B, Berger B: **Efficient integration of heterogeneous**  
559           **single-cell transcriptomes using Scanorama.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2019,  
560           **37**:685-691.
- 561    9.    Polanski K, Young MD, Miao Z, Meyer KB, Teichmann SA, Park JE:  
562           **BBKNN: fast batch alignment of single cell transcriptomes.**  
563           *Bioinformatics* 2020, **36**:964-965.
- 564    10.   Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E, Satija R: **Integrating**  
565           **single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions,**  
566           **technologies, and species.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2018, **36**:411-420.
- 567    11.   Korsunsky I, Millard N, Fan J, Slowikowski K, Zhang F, Wei K,  
568           Baglaenko Y, Brenner M, Loh PR, Raychaudhuri S: **Fast, sensitive and**  
569           **accurate integration of single-cell data with Harmony.** *Nat Methods*  
570           2019, **16**:1289-1296.
- 571    12.   Lopez R, Regier J, Cole MB, Jordan MI, Yosef N: **Deep generative**  
572           **modeling for single-cell transcriptomics.** *Nat Methods* 2018,  
573           **15**:1053-1058.
- 574    13.   Shen H, Li Y, Feng M, Shen X, Wu D, Zhang C, Yang Y, Yang M, Hu J,  
575           Liu J, et al: **Miscell: An efficient self-supervised learning approach**  
576           **for dissecting single-cell transcriptome.** *iScience* 2021, **24**:103200.

- 577 14. Huang G, Liu Z, van der Maaten L, Weinberger KQ: **Densely**  
578 **Connected Convolutional Networks.** pp. arXiv:1608.06993;  
579 2016:arXiv:1608.06993.
- 580 15. Chen X, Fan H, Girshick R, He K: **Improved Baselines with**  
581 **Momentum Contrastive Learning.** *arXiv 2020.*
- 582 16. Buttner M, Miao Z, Wolf FA, Teichmann SA, Theis FJ: **A test metric for**  
583 **assessing single-cell RNA-seq batch correction.** *Nat Methods* 2019,  
584 **16:43-49.**
- 585 17. Welch J, Kozareva V, Ferreira A, Vanderburg C, Martin C, Macosko E:  
586 **Integrative inference of brain cell similarities and differences from**  
587 **single-cell genomics.** *ArXiv* 2018.
- 588 18. Habermann AC, Gutierrez AJ, Bui LT, Yahn SL, Winters NI, Calvi CL,  
589 Peter L, Chung MI, Taylor CJ, Jetter C, et al: **Single-cell RNA**  
590 **sequencing reveals profibrotic roles of distinct epithelial and**  
591 **mesenchymal lineages in pulmonary fibrosis.** *Sci Adv* 2020,  
592 **6:eaba1972.**
- 593 19. Kinchen J, Chen HH, Parikh K, Antanaviciute A, Jagielowicz M,  
594 Fawcner-Corbett D, Ashley N, Cubitt L, Mellado-Gomez E, Attar M, et al:  
595 **Structural Remodeling of the Human Colonic Mesenchyme in**  
596 **Inflammatory Bowel Disease.** *Cell* 2018, **175:372-386 e317.**

- 597 20. Cillo AR, Kurten CHL, Tabib T, Qi Z, Onkar S, Wang T, Liu A, Duvvuri U,  
598 Kim S, Soose RJ, et al: **Immune Landscape of Viral- and**  
599 **Carcinogen-Driven Head and Neck Cancer.** *Immunity* 2020,  
600 **52:183-199 e189.**
- 601 21. Cao J, O'Day DR, Pliner HA, Kingsley PD, Deng M, Daza RM, Zager  
602 MA, Aldinger KA, Blecher-Gonen R, Zhang F, et al: **A human cell atlas**  
603 **of fetal gene expression.** *Science* 2020, **370.**
- 604 22. Andersson LC, Gahmberg CG, Teerenhovi L, Vuopio P: **Glycophorin A**  
605 **as a cell surface marker of early erythroid differentiation in acute**  
606 **leukemia.** *Int J Cancer* 1979, **24:717-720.**
- 607 23. Levy JE, Jin O, Fujiwara Y, Kuo F, Andrews NC: **Transferrin receptor**  
608 **is necessary for development of erythrocytes and the nervous**  
609 **system.** *Nat Genet* 1999, **21:396-399.**
- 610 24. Leek JT, Johnson WE, Parker HS, Jaffe AE, Storey JD: **The sva**  
611 **package for removing batch effects and other unwanted variation**  
612 **in high-throughput experiments.** *Bioinformatics* 2012, **28:882-883.**
- 613 25. Chi ZL, Hayasaka Y, Zhang XY, Cui HS, Hayasaka S: **S100A9-positive**  
614 **granulocytes and monocytes in lipopolysaccharide-induced**  
615 **anterior ocular inflammation.** *Exp Eye Res* 2007, **84:254-265.**
- 616 26. Pawar H, Srikanth SM, Kashyap MK, Sathe G, Chavan S, Singal M,

- 617 Manju HC, Kumar KV, Vijayakumar M, Sirdeshmukh R, et al:  
618 **Downregulation of S100 Calcium Binding Protein A9 in**  
619 **Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma.** *ScientificWorldJournal* 2015,  
620 **2015:325721.**
- 621 27. Bui FQ, Almeida-da-Silva CLC, Huynh B, Trinh A, Liu J, Woodward J,  
622 Asadi H, Ojcius DM: **Association between periodontal pathogens**  
623 **and systemic disease.** *Biomed J* 2019, **42:27-35.**
- 624 28. Ghosh A, Kandasamy D: **Interpretable Artificial Intelligence: Why**  
625 **and When.** *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2020, **214:1137-1138.**
- 626 29. Moore JH, Boland MR, Camara PG, Chervitz H, Gonzalez G, Himes BE,  
627 Kim D, Mowery DL, Ritchie MD, Shen L, et al: **Preparing**  
628 **next-generation scientists for biomedical big data: artificial**  
629 **intelligence approaches.** *Per Med* 2019, **16:247-257.**
- 630 30. Xinlei Chen, Fan. H, Ross Girshick, He K: **Improved Baselines with**  
631 **Momentum Contrastive Learning.** *arXiv* 2020.
- 632 31. Chen X, Fan H, Girshick R, He K: **Improved Baselines with**  
633 **Momentum Contrastive Learning.** pp. *arXiv:2003.04297;*  
634 *2020:arXiv:2003.04297.*
- 635 32. Kaiming He, Haoqi Fan, Yuxin Wu, Saining Xie, Girshick R: **Momentum**  
636 **Contrast for Unsupervised Visual Representation Learning.** *arXiv*

- 637           2020.
- 638   33.   Mu Li, Tong Zhang, Yuqiang Chen, Smola AJ: **Efficient mini-batch**  
639           **training for stochastic optimization.** *Association for Computing*  
640           *Machinery* 2014, **2014**.
- 641   34.   Byrd RH, Chin GM, Nocedal J, Wu Y: **Sample size selection in**  
642           **optimization methods for machine learning.** *Mathematical*  
643           *Programming* 2012, **134**:127-155.
- 644   35.   Zappia L, Phipson B, Oshlack A: **Splatter: simulation of single-cell**  
645           **RNA sequencing data.** *Genome Biol* 2017, **18**:174.
- 646   36.   Zheng GX, Terry JM, Belgrader P, Ryvkin P, Bent ZW, Wilson R, Ziraldo  
647           SB, Wheeler TD, McDermott GP, Zhu J, et al: **Massively parallel**  
648           **digital transcriptional profiling of single cells.** *Nat Commun* 2017,  
649           **8**:14049.
- 650   37.   Kang HM, Subramaniam M, Targ S, Nguyen M, Maliskova L, McCarthy  
651           E, Wan E, Wong S, Byrnes L, Lanata CM, et al: **Multiplexed droplet**  
652           **single-cell RNA-sequencing using natural genetic variation.** *Nat*  
653           *Biotechnol* 2018, **36**:89-94.
- 654   38.   Madisson E, Wilbrey-Clark A, Miragaia RJ, Saeb-Parsy K, Mahbubani  
655           KT, Georgakopoulos N, Harding P, Polanski K, Huang N,  
656           Nowicki-Osuch K, et al: **scRNA-seq assessment of the human lung,**

657 **spleen, and esophagus tissue stability after cold preservation.**

658 *Genome Biol* 2019, **21**:1.

659 39. Agarwal D, Sandor C, Volpato V, Caffrey TM, Monzon-Sandoval J,

660 Bowden R, Alegre-Abarrategui J, Wade-Martins R, Webber C: **A**

661 **single-cell atlas of the human substantia nigra reveals cell-specific**

662 **pathways associated with neurological disorders.** *Nat Commun*

663 2020, **11**:4183.

664 40. Jakel S, Agirre E, Mendanha Falcao A, van Bruggen D, Lee KW,

665 Knuesel I, Malhotra D, Ffrench-Constant C, Williams A, Castelo-Branco

666 G: **Altered human oligodendrocyte heterogeneity in multiple**

667 **sclerosis.** *Nature* 2019, **566**:543-547.

668 41. Hill MC, Kadow ZA, Li L, Tran TT, Wythe JD, Martin JF: **A cellular atlas**

669 **of Pitx2-dependent cardiac development.** *Development* 2019, **146**.

670 42. Li B, Gould J, Yang Y, Sarkizova S, Tabaka M, Ashenberg O, Rosen Y,

671 Slyper M, Kowalczyk MS, Villani AC, et al: **Cumulus provides**

672 **cloud-based data analysis for large-scale single-cell and**

673 **single-nucleus RNA-seq.** *Nat Methods* 2020, **17**:793-798.

674 43. Mukund Sundararajan AT, Qiqi Yan: **Axiomatic Attribution for Deep**

675 **Networks.** pp. arXiv:1703.01365; 2017:arXiv:1703.01365.

676

677 **Figures**

678 **Figure 1. Overview of Fugue.** (A) Given a set of uncorrected single-cells, (B)  
679 Fugue embedded their batch information as a learnable matrix and added  
680 them to the expression profile for feature encoder training. (C) The feature  
681 encoder was trained with contrastive loss. (D) At the feature extraction stage,  
682 single-cell expression profiles were provided to the feature encoder to extract  
683 embedding representation. (E) The embedding representation could be  
684 utilized for downstream analysis such as visualization and cell clustering.

685 **Figure 2. Benchmark of batch-correction performance of Fugue across**  
686 **the *simulation*, *cell line* and *PBMC* datasets.** (A) UMAP plot of cells from  
687 simulation dataset, which consists of 5 different batches and 3 cell types. (B)  
688 UMAP visualization of Fugue batch effect removing performance on the  
689 *simulation dataset*. (C) UMAP plot of Fugue batch effect removing  
690 performance on the *simulation\_rm* dataset. (D) UMAP plot displays cells from  
691 the *cell line dataset*, which consists of 3 different batches and 2 cell types. (E)  
692 UMAP plot of Fugue batch effect removing performance on *cell line dataset*. (F)  
693 Quantitative assessments of different batch effect removal methods on *cell line*  
694 *dataset*. (G) UMAP plot displaying cells from *PBMC* dataset, which consists of  
695 2 different batches and 8 cell types. (H) UMAP plot of Fugue batch effect  
696 removing performance on *PBMC* dataset. (I) Quantitative assessments of  
697 different batch effect removing methods on *PBMC* dataset. For (A-E, G-H),  
698 cells are colored by batch (left panel) and cell type (right panel).

699 **Figure 3. Assessment of the batch-correction performance of Fugue.** (A)  
700 UMAP plot of Fugue batch effect removing performance on the *census of*

701 *immune project*. Cells are colored by batch in the left panel and cell ontology  
702 label provided in the original publication in the right panel. (B) Quantitative  
703 assessments of different batch effect removal methods on the *census of*  
704 *immune project*. (C-E) UMAP plot depicting cells in the *lung dataset* before (C)  
705 and after (D-E) Fugue integration. Cells are colored by batch in (C-D) and cell  
706 cluster label in (E). (F) Bar plot depicting kBET scores of different batch effect  
707 removing methods on the *lung dataset*. (G) Expression of cell type markers  
708 across the feature embedding space. Dark and light colors represent low and  
709 high relative expression values, respectively. (H) Dot plot representing cell  
710 markers across batches. The size of each circle reflects the percentage of  
711 cells in a cluster where the gene is detected, and the color intensity reflects the  
712 average expression level within each cluster.

713 **Figure 4. Joint analysis of all immune cells across HCA repository with**  
714 **Fugue.** (A) UMAP plot of the 17 immune cell types inferred from Fugue. Cells  
715 are colored by cell type labels. (B) Dot plot showing cell type markers across  
716 cell clusters. The size of each circle reflects the percentage of cells in a cluster  
717 where the gene is detected, and the color intensity reflects the average  
718 expression level within each cluster. (C-D) Violin plot deciphering expression  
719 levels of cell type markers for hematopoietic stem cells (C) and natural killer  
720 cells (D) across HCA cohorts. Cohorts with more than 1000 cells in each  
721 cluster were displayed.

722 **Figure 5. Joint analysis of Fugue on batch-correction and gene**  
723 **expression trajectory recovering during cell development.** (A-B) UMAP  
724 plot of cells from the *embryonic mouse cardiac dataset* before (A) and after (B)  
725 Fugue integration. Cells are colored by batch. (C) UMAP plot of the *embryonic*



726 *mouse cardiac dataset* integrated by Fugue, colored by cell clusters. The  
727 surrounding circle plot from inner to outer shows the cell types, batch labels  
728 and pseudo-time scores of the 1% randomly downsampled cells. (D) Violin plot  
729 deciphering expression levels of cell type markers across cell clusters. The  
730 color intensity reflects the average expression level within each cluster. (E)  
731 FLE plot revealing time course trajectories of cardiac development across  
732 different cell types. Arrows indicate inferred cell state transition directions from  
733 early to late pseudo time. (F) FLE plot revealing cell state transition directions  
734 from HSC to all main blood lineages. (G-J) FLE plots of the main development  
735 trajectory from HSC to B cell, T cell, monocyte and erythrocyte, respectively. B  
736 cell series (G) were separation from HSCs towards B cell progenitors,  
737 precursors of B cells and matured naïve B cells. B cells also differentiate into  
738 mature B cells, plasma cells and memory B cells. T cell series trajectory (H)  
739 was started from HSCs, followed by naïve T cells and finally mature T cells and  
740 NK cells. Monocytes series trajectory (I) was started from HCA, and  
741 transferred into DCs and CD14+ and CD16+ mature monocytes. Erythrocyte  
742 series (J) differentiates from HSCs to megakaryocytes and erythroid cells. Pro,  
743 progenitor; Pre, precursor; HSC, hematopoietic stem progenitor cell; DC,  
744 dendritic cell; cDC, canonical dendritic cell; NK cells, natural killer cell; MSC,  
745 multipotent progenitor cell.

746

#### 747 **Supplementary figure and table legends**

748 **Supplementary Figure 1. Evaluation of Fugue's robustness over changes**  
749 **of hyperparameters based on the *simulation dataset*.** (A) Effect of

750 momentum and queue size on the performance of Fugue. (B) The changes of  
751 loss values versus epochs. Error bands are standard deviations determined  
752 across 10 runs. (C) The performance of Fugue over the choice of data  
753 augmentation ratios.

754 **Supplementary Figure 2.** UMAP plot of batch effect removing performance  
755 on the *cell line dataset* across Seurat V3, ComBat, Harmony, BBKNN,  
756 Scanorama, scVI, Pegasus L/S adjustment and INSCT. Cells are colored by  
757 batch and cell type respectively.

758 **Supplementary Figure 3.** UMAP plot of batch effect removing performance  
759 on the *PBMC* dataset across Seurat V3, ComBat, Harmony, BBKNN,  
760 Scanorama, scVI, Pegasus L/S adjustment and INSCT. Cells are colored by  
761 batch and cell label.

762 **Supplementary Figure 4.** UMAP plot of the *census of immune project* before  
763 (A) and after (B-H) batch correction using ComBat, Harmony, BBKNN,  
764 Scanorama, scVI, Pegasus L/S adjustment and INSCT. Cells are colored by  
765 batch and cell type respectively.

766 **Supplementary Figure 5.** UMAP plot of batch effect removing performance  
767 on the *lung dataset* across Seurat V3, Harmony, ComBat, Scanorama,  
768 Scanorama, Pegasus L/S adjustment, scVI, BBKNN and INSCT. Cells are  
769 colored by batch.

770 **Supplementary Figure 6. Assessment of the performance of Fugue on**  
771 **the *brain dataset*.** (A-b) UMAP plot showing cells in the *brain dataset* before  
772 (A) and after (B-C) Fugue integration. Cells are colored by batch in (A-B) and  
773 cell cluster label in (C). (D) Bar plot depicting kBET scores of different batch

774 effect removing methods on HCA brain cohorts. (E) Expression of cell type  
775 markers across the integrated embedding space. Dark and light colors  
776 represent low and high relative expression values, respectively. (F) Dot plot of  
777 cell type markers across batches. The size of each circle reflects the  
778 percentage of cells in a cluster where the gene is detected, and the color  
779 intensity reflects the average expression level within each cluster.

780 **Supplementary Figure 7. The similarity across batch embedding**  
781 **representation of all samples in HCA repository.** (A) Heatmap of cosine  
782 similarity of dimension reduction representations of the batch embedding  
783 matrix across all samples. Each red frame represents samples from one cohort.  
784 (B-D) show 3 representative projects from (A), namely C2, C1 and C39.

785 **Supplementary Figure 8. Fugue inferred cell clusters from HCA**  
786 **embedding space.** (A) Importance scores of the top 5 marker genes for each  
787 cell cluster. Representative markers are displayed on the right side. (B) Bar  
788 plot displaying the cohort composition of cell clusters.

789 **Supplementary Figure 9.** TSNE plot of all quality-controlled cells from HCA.  
790 TSNE plot in the top left corner is labeled by cell cluster labels. The others are  
791 colored by the expression level of marker genes of immune cells. Light and  
792 deep red represent low and high relative expression values, respectively.

793 **Supplementary Figure 10.** Violin plot deciphering expression levels of cell  
794 type markers across immune cell subtype in HCA repository. Violins were  
795 colored by cohorts. Cohorts with more than 1000 cells were displayed.

796 **Supplementary Figure 11.** Dot plot of cell type markers of cardiac cells  
797 across batches. The size of each circle reflects the percentage of cells in a

798 cluster where the gene is detected, and the color intensity reflects the average  
799 expression level within each cluster.

800 **Supplementary Figure 12.** Correlation of pseudo-time and expression level of  
801 cell differentiation markers across cardiac cell types. The curves representing  
802 polynomial fits for each batch.

803 **Supplementary Figure 13. FLE embedding space of the *census of***  
804 ***immune project* integrated by Fugue.** Cells are colored by batch (A) and cell  
805 type (B-C). (B) and (C) displaying the major cell types in cord blood (B) and  
806 bone marrow (C), respectively.

807 **Supplementary Figure 14. UMAP plot of the simulated cells.** (A-B)  
808 deciphering the *simulation dataset* and (C-D) deciphering the *simulation\_rm*  
809 *dataset*, which was obtained by manually removing cell type 1 from batches  
810 2-5 and retaining them in batch 1.

811 **Supplementary Table 1.** Detailed information of datasets from HCA  
812 repository.

813 **Supplementary Table 2.** The 250 genes with the highest importance scores of  
814 HCA cell clusters were inferred from Fugue. Marker genes of each cluster  
815 were colored in blue.

816 **Supplementary Table 3.** Detailed information of benchmark datasets, their  
817 gene filtering and hyperparameter settings of Fugue.

818 **Supplementary Table 4.** Detailed information of the benchmark methods.

Batch  
Cell type











