Space-Time FEM for the Vectorial Wave Equation under Consideration of Ohm's Law

Julia I.M. Hauser¹

¹Joanneum Research, Institute for Telecommunications, Navigation and Signal Processing, Graz, Austria julia.hauser@joanneum.at

Abstract

The ability to deal with complex geometries and to go to higher orders is the main advantage of space-time finite element methods. Therefore, we want to develop a solid background from which we can construct appropriate space-time methods. In this paper, we will treat time as another space direction, which is the main idea of space-time methods. First, we will briefly discuss how exactly the vectorial wave equation is derived from Maxwell's equations in a space-time structure, taking into account Ohm's law. Then we will derive a space-time variational formulation for the vectorial wave equation using different trial and test spaces. This paper has two main goals. First, we prove unique solvability for the resulting Galerkin–Petrov variational formulation. Second, we analyze the discrete equivalent of the equation in a tensor product and show conditional stability, i.e. a CFL condition.

Understanding the vectorial wave equation and the corresponding space-time finite element methods is crucial for improving the existing theory of Maxwell's equations and paves the way to computations of more complicated electromagnetic problems.

1 Introduction

1.1 Derivation of the vectorial wave equation

Before we discuss the vectorial wave equation, let us derive the equation first. For that purpose, we need to take a closer look at Maxwell's equations which are used to model electromagnetic problems and are the foundation of classical electromagnetism. Maxwell's equations are given by a system of partial differential equations, namely

$$
\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{E} = -\partial_t \underline{B},\tag{1a}
$$

$$
\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{H} = \partial_t \underline{D} + \underline{j},\tag{1b}
$$

$$
\text{div}_x \underline{B} = 0,\tag{1c}
$$

$$
\operatorname{div}_x \underline{D} = \rho. \tag{1d}
$$

In equation [\(1\)](#page-0-0), there are four unknowns: The electric field E , the magnetic field H , the electric flux density \underline{D} and the magnetic flux density \underline{B} . The variable j is the given electric current density and ρ is the given charge density. Additionally to the Maxwell system [\(1\)](#page-0-0) we use constitutive relations, also named material laws, relating the electric flux density D with the electric field E and the magnetic field H with the magnetic flux density B . In this paper, we will consider the constitutive relations

$$
\underline{D} = \varepsilon \underline{E},\tag{2a}
$$

$$
\underline{H} = \mu \underline{B},\tag{2b}
$$

where ε is the permittivity and μ the permeability, which we define in Assumption [1](#page-4-0) in more detail.

How to solve the Maxwell system [\(1\)](#page-0-0) has been an active field of study in the last century. One possible solution is going into the frequency domain. For that purpose, we assume that the solutions of system [\(1\)](#page-0-0) behave like waves in time. In the literature, we find several introductions to Maxwell's equations. We will not discuss the modeling background in the frequency domain in this paper but simply refer to some of these works such as [\[33,](#page-40-0) [19\]](#page-39-0) or, from a mathematical and numerical point of view [\[6,](#page-38-0) [28\]](#page-39-1). A good introduction to the computational theory of the frequency domain would be [\[6,](#page-38-0) [34\]](#page-40-1). In the last century, the time-harmonic Maxwell's equations were often applied to scattering problems, see e.g. [\[24\]](#page-39-2). Analytical solutions to scattering problems can be found in [\[18\]](#page-39-3) and theory on inverse scattering and optimal control in scattering by Colton and Kress in 1983, [\[13\]](#page-38-1). For an introduction to inverse scattering problems, we refer to [\[14\]](#page-38-2).

Another possibility to solve Maxwell's equations [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is the time-stepping method which is introduced in e.g. [\[1,](#page-38-3) Ch. 12.2] and references there. However, the time-stepping approach exhibits instabilities. A possibility to deal with these complications is to stabilize the system which is created by the time-stepping method. Examples can be found in, e.g., [\[2,](#page-38-4) [3,](#page-38-5) [29\]](#page-39-4). However, since the time-stepping method is a type of finite difference method, it cannot deal with complex geometries.

The possibility to deal with complex geometries as well as going into higher order is the main advantage of space-time finite element methods. In this paper, we will set the theoretical background that is needed for such a method and explore the possibilities and restrictions of the vectorial wave equation that is derived from Maxwell's equations. We will also study elements of second order in time when we apply the theory to a finite element method. The goal of this paper is to derive a space-time variational formulation for the vectorial wave equation, show its unique solvability and analyze its numerical properties.

First, we will start by deriving the vectorial wave equation from Maxwell's equations. For the modeling, we will assume that all functions in [\(1\)](#page-0-0) are smooth and we consider a space-time domain Q in \mathbb{R}^4 which is star-like with respect to a ball B_r and Lipschitz in space. The starlike property implies that the convex hull of any $x \in Q$ and B_r is contained in Q. Let us not treat time differently from space, but rewrite the equations such that the partial derivative in time is simply a partial derivative of a space-time derivative and therefore rewrite Maxwell's equations into a 4D system of equations. For this purpose, we use the exterior calculus, which allows for a metric-independent generalisation of Stokes' and Gauss' theorem. In the exterior calculus, we consider the exterior derivative d, which extends the concept of the derivative of a function to differential forms of higher degree. This allows us to rewrite Maxwell's equations as a wave equation and implies which function spaces are a natural choice for the test and ansatz spaces of the variational formulation for this wave equation.

Let us therefore formulate Maxwell's equations using the exterior calculus. A detailed introduction to the formalism can be found, for example, in [\[23,](#page-39-5) [7\]](#page-38-6). Here we only give the most important considerations for deriving the vector wave equation. In this context, there are two important differential forms, namely the Faraday 2-form F and the Maxwell 2-form G. The Faraday 2-form F contains the electric field E and the magnetic flux density B, while the Maxwell 2-form contains the electric flux density D and the magnetic field H . The source term $\mathcal J$ appears on the right-hand side and includes the current density j and the charge density ρ . On the other side, we want to include the material law [\(2\)](#page-1-0). This is done by using a weighted Hodge star operator $\star^{\varepsilon, -\mu^{-1}}$, which maps the Faraday 2-form F to the Maxwell 2-form G using the material law [\(2\)](#page-1-0).

If these definitions are inserted into Maxwell's equations and a metric-independent representation is used, the following equations are obtained

d
$$
F = 0
$$
,
d $G = \mathcal{J}$,
 $G = \star^{\varepsilon, -\mu^{-1}} F$. (3)

For the derivation of the equations consider [\[9\]](#page-38-7) or [\[8,](#page-38-8) p. 135]. The third equation represents the material law [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and includes the weighted Hodge star operator. As an example for \mathbb{R}^4 with the Euclidean metric ε is the weight in the direction $\star (dx^{01}, dx^{02}, dx^{03})^T$ and $(-\mu^{-1})$ in the direction $\star (dx^{23}, dx^{31}, dx^{12})^T$.

Let us take a closer look at the equations in [\(3\)](#page-2-0). The second equation, d $G = \mathcal{J}$, includes the second, [\(1b\)](#page-0-1), and fourth equation, [\(1d\)](#page-0-2), of the system [\(1\)](#page-0-0). The first equation, d $F = 0$, includes the first, $(1a)$, and third equation $(1c)$ of the system (1) . Moreover, the first equation in [\(3\)](#page-2-0) implies that the Faraday form F is closed and since the domain Q is starlike, the Poincaré-Lemma, [\[7,](#page-38-6) Thm. 4.1], can be applied. The Poincaré-Lemma tells us that the form F is closed and therefore exact, i.e. there exists a 1-form A such that $dA = F$.

If we insert $F = dA$ into the second equation combined with the third equation of [\(3\)](#page-2-0), we derive the following wave-type equation

$$
d \star^{\varepsilon, -\mu^{-1}} d\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{J}.
$$
 (4)

Additionally, we have the following two relations

$$
\underline{E} = -\partial_t \underline{A} + \nabla_x \underline{A}_0, \n\underline{B} = \nabla \times \underline{A},
$$

where A_0 is the time component and $\underline{A} := (A_1, A_2, A_3)^T$ the spatial component of A , see e.g. [\[23,](#page-39-5) p. 389]. Note that [\(4\)](#page-2-1) will result in the scalar wave equation if we use a differential form of order zero instead of order one for A , while the equation (4) as such will end up in the vectorial wave equation. Hence, in terms of differential forms in 4D, the scalar and the vectorial wave equation are closely related.

Moreover, we know that A is not unique. If we take a 0-form $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and add $d\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ to A then $F = d\mathcal{A} = d\mathcal{A} + dd\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = d(\mathcal{A} + d\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$. If we take a look at the corresponding L^2 function spaces, we see that this translates to adding the space-time gradient of any $H_0^1(Q)$ -function to the potential and getting another viable potential. Hence we need a gauge to fix the potential A. There are many gauges, see e.g. a paper from 2001 on the history of gauge invariance [\[25\]](#page-39-6).

Depending on the gauge we can derive different equations from [\(4\)](#page-2-1). To derive the vectorial wave equation, we use the Weyl gauge, also named the temporal gauge, $A_0 = 0$. Then we consider [\(4\)](#page-2-1) in euclidean space and arrive at

$$
\partial_t(\varepsilon(\partial_t \underline{A})) + \operatorname{curl}_x \left(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A} \right) = \underline{j},\tag{5a}
$$

$$
\operatorname{div}_x(\varepsilon(\partial_t \underline{A})) = -\rho. \tag{5b}
$$

Note that the equation [\(5a\)](#page-3-0) is the vectorial wave equation. In addition to these two equations, we assume that the charge is preserved and therefore the continuity equation

$$
\partial_t \rho + \text{div}_x j = 0 \tag{6}
$$

holds true. However, this implies assumptions on the regularity of \underline{A} and j since we are interested in the second-order time derivative of \underline{A} and the divergence of j. This will be discussed further in the section on finite element solutions. The continuity equation is, however, already included in the combination of both equations of [\(5\)](#page-3-1). On the other hand, we can rewrite the second equation [\(5b\)](#page-3-2) into initial conditions for $\partial_t \underline{A}$. Indeed, if we assume enough regularity of \underline{A} , ρ , and \underline{j} , we can take the spatial divergence of the first equation [\(5a\)](#page-3-0) and use the continuity equation to derive

$$
\partial_t (\text{div}_x(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}(t)) + \rho) = 0.
$$

Hence $\text{div}_x(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}(t))(t) + \rho(t) = \text{div}_x(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}(t))(0) + \rho(0)$, for all $t \in (0, T]$. Therefore, if $\partial_t \underline{A}(0)$ satisfies

$$
\mathrm{div}_x(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}(0)) = -\rho(0)
$$

then we satisfy [\(5b\)](#page-3-2) for all $t > 0$.

1.2 The vectorial wave equation under consideration of Ohm's law

Now that we derived the vectorial wave equation, let us take a look at Ohm's law. In the case of a conducting material, the electromagnetic field itself induces currents and in the easiest case this can be modeled by Ohm's law. Hence, we want to include Ohm's law into our equation which is given by

$$
\underline{j} = \sigma \underline{E} + \underline{j}_a,
$$

where σ is the conductivity and j_a the applied current. The relationship between \underline{E} and the magnetic vector potential \underline{A} is given by $\underline{E} = -\partial_t \underline{A}$. Therefore, in this paper, we consider the following equation

$$
\varepsilon \partial_{tt} \underline{A} + \sigma \partial_t \underline{A} + \operatorname{curl}_x (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}) = \underline{j}_a \quad \text{ in } Q = (0, T) \times \Omega,
$$
 (7a)

$$
\partial_t \underline{A}(0, x) = \psi(x) \text{ in } \Omega,
$$
\n(7b)

$$
\underline{A}(0,x) = \phi(x) \text{ in } \Omega,\tag{7c}
$$

$$
\gamma_t \underline{A} = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma = \{0, T\} \times \partial \Omega,\tag{7d}
$$

where γ_t is the tangential trace of \underline{A} on Ω .

Before we state the general assumption of this paper on the functions in [\(7\)](#page-3-3), we have to define a few function spaces that will be used throughout this paper. First, we define for

 $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the space $L^2(Q;\mathbb{R}^d)$ as the usual Lebesgue space for vector-valued functions $v: Q \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with the inner product $(v, w)_{L^2(\Omega)} := (v, w)_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)} := \int_Q (v(x), w(x))_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx$ for $v, w \in L^2(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and the induced norm $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{L^2(Q)} := \lVert \cdot \rVert_{L^2(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)} := \sqrt{(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(Q)}}$. Second, we define $L^{\infty}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}) := L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, as the space of measurable functions bounded almost everywhere and equipped with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. Third, we define the space $L^{\infty}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{d\times d})$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, as the space of matrix-valued measurable functions bounded almost everywhere with the norm

$$
||w||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} := ||w||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} := \underset{x \in \Omega}{\text{ess sup}} \sup_{0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\xi^{\top} w(x) \xi}{\xi^{\top} \xi}.
$$

Finally, we define space-time spaces $L^2(0,T;X)$ with the inner product $(v,w)_{L^2(0,T;X)} :=$ $\int_0^T (v(t), w(t))_X dt$ and $L^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d))$ with the norm $||\underline{v}||_{2,1,Q} := ||\underline{v}||_{L^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d))} :=$ $\int_0^T \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} dt$ in the same way as above. Additionally, we can define the space $H^1(0,T;X)$ as the Hilbert space $H^1(0,T)$ over the Hilbert space X, see [\[21\]](#page-39-7) for more details.

With these definitions we define the tangential trace operator γ_t for $d=3$ as the continuous mapping $\gamma_t: H(\text{curl}; \Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. The tangential trace operator is the unique extension of the vector-valued function $\gamma_t \underline{v} = \underline{v}_{|\partial \Omega} \times \underline{n}_x$ for $\underline{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^3$ that is defined by the Green's identity for the curl operator. For $d = 2$ we define the tangential trace operator $\gamma_t: H(\text{curl}; \Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ as the unique extension of the scalar function $\gamma_t \underline{v} = \underline{v}_{|\partial \Omega} \cdot \underline{\tau}_x$ for $v \in H^1(\Omega)^2$, where $\underline{\tau}_x$ is the unit tangent vector, i.e., $\underline{\tau}_x \cdot \underline{n}_x = 0$. We define the usual trace operator $\gamma: H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \to H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ as $\gamma \underline{v} := (v)_{|\partial \Omega}$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ is the dual space of the Sobolev space $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. For more details on the tangential trace operator γ_t , consider [\[15,](#page-38-9) [4,](#page-38-10) [6\]](#page-38-0).

For detailed definitions of the spaces $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega) := \{ \underline{v} \in H(\text{curl}; \Omega) | \gamma_t \underline{v} = 0 \}$ and $H(\text{div}; \Omega)$, we reference [\[6\]](#page-38-0). However, we quickly note how the curl operator behaves differently in two and three dimensions. For $d = 2$, we set the curl of a sufficiently smooth vector-valued function \underline{v} : $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\underline{v} = (v_1, v_2)^\top$ as the scalar-valued curl operator curl_x $\underline{v} = \partial_{x_1} v_2 - \partial_{x_2} v_1$. Sometimes this curl operator is called rot. Additionally, for a sufficiently smooth scalar function $w: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, we define the vector-valued curl operator $\text{curl}_x w = (\partial_{x_2} w, -\partial_{x_1} w)^\top$. Note that the vector-valued curl operator is the adjoint operator of the scalar-valued curl operator for $d = 2$.

In the case of $d = 3$, the curl of a sufficiently smooth vector-valued function \underline{v} : $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ ^{\perp} is given by the vector-valued function

$$
\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{v} = (\partial_{x_2} v_3 - \partial_{x_3} v_2, \partial_{x_3} v_1 - \partial_{x_1} v_3, \partial_{x_1} v_2 - \partial_{x_2} v_1)^\top.
$$

With these definitions, we can write the following assumptions that hold throughout the paper.

Assumption 1. Let $d = 2, 3$ and the spatial domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\text{supp}(\sigma) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : \Omega \to$ $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, be given such that

- Ω and $\Omega_{\sigma} := \text{supp}(\sigma) \subset \Omega$ are Lipschitz domains,
- and $Q = (0, T) \times \Omega$ is a star-like domain with respect to a ball B.

Further, let σ , j , ε and μ be given functions, which fulfill:

• The conductivity $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\text{supp}(\sigma); \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, supp $(\sigma) \subset \Omega$, is uniformly positive definite, i.e.

$$
\sigma_{\min} := \underset{x \in \text{supp}(\sigma)}{\text{ess inf}} \inf_{0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\xi^{\top} \sigma(x) \xi}{\xi^{\top} \xi} > 0.
$$

- The applied current density $\underline{j}_a: Q \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies $\underline{j}_a \in L^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)).$
- The permittivity $\varepsilon: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is symmetric, bounded, i.e. $\varepsilon \in L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, and uniformly positive definite, i.e.,

$$
\varepsilon_{\min} := \underset{x \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} \inf_{0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\xi^{\top} \varepsilon(x) \xi}{\xi^{\top} \xi} > 0
$$

and $\varepsilon^{\max} := ||\varepsilon||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$.

• For $d = 2$, the permeability $\mu: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\mu \in L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$, $\mu^{\max} := {\|\mu\|}_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, and

$$
\mu_{\min} := \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \mu(x) > 0.
$$

For $d = 3$, the permeability $\mu: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is symmetric, bounded, i.e. $\mu \in L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$, $\mu^{\max} := \|\mu\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, and uniformly positive definite, i.e.,

$$
\mu_{\min} := \underset{x \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} \inf_{0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\xi^{\top} \mu(x) \xi}{\xi^{\top} \xi} > 0.
$$

For the initial data ϕ and ψ we assume that:

- The initial data $\underline{\phi} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies $\phi \in H_0(\mathrm{curl}_x; \Omega)$.
- The initial data $\psi \in H(\text{div}_x; \Omega)$ satisfies $\text{div}_x(\varepsilon \psi) = -\rho(0)$ in Ω .

Now that the assumptions are stated and the vectorial wave equation is introduced, let us take a look at the structure of the rest of the paper. In the remainder of this section, we will introduce the function spaces that are needed to formulate the space-time variational formulation of the vectorial wave equation. We will discuss that they are indeed Hilbert spaces and go over possible basis representations. At the end of this section, we will derive the variational formulation. The second section of this paper is dedicated to the proof of unique solvability for the variational formulation and norm estimates of the solution. In this proof, we will use a Galerkin method and use the previously developed basis representation. In the third section of this paper, we will discuss the space-time finite element spaces and discretization. We will derive a CFL condition and take a look at examples that illustrate this CFL condition. In the end, we will sum up the conclusions and give an outlook.

1.3 The space-time Sobolev spaces

To derive a variational formulation, we need to consider the appropriate function space for the potential A satisfying [\(4\)](#page-2-1). In this section, we will derive them and show properties that will be needed in this paper.

To derive the function spaces let us assume for a moment that $d = 3$, then $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. From the derivation of the magnetic vector potential $\mathcal A$ we know that $\mathcal A$ is a 1-form in $\mathbb R^4$. For 1-forms the corresponding function space in the L^2 -Hilbert complex in \mathbb{R}^4 is $H(\text{Curl}, Q; \mathbb{R}^4)$, see e.g. [\[36\]](#page-40-2). The space $H(\text{Curl}, Q; \mathbb{R}^4)$ is defined by

$$
H(\text{Curl}, Q; \mathbb{R}^4) := \{ \underline{u} \in L^2(Q; \mathbb{R}^4) \mid \text{Curl } \underline{u} \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{K}) \},
$$

\n[Curl $\underline{u}]_{ij} := \sum_{k,l=1}^4 \varepsilon_{ijkl} \partial_k u_l,$

see e.g. [\[36\]](#page-40-2), where K is the vector space of 4×4 skew symmetric matrices. Note, that the operator Curl is an operator in both space and time. Additionally, we use the Weyl gauge, the temporal gauge which implies $A_0 = 0$, to make the potential A unique in the derivation of the vectorial wave equation. Hence, we are interested in a function of the type $(0, A_1, A_2, A_3)^T$ that is in $H(\text{Curl}, Q; \mathbb{R}^4)$. Rewriting the operator Curl for $A_0 = 0$ and $A = (A_0, \underline{A})^T \in H(\text{Curl}, Q; \mathbb{R}^4)$ leads to the conditions

$$
\underline{A} \in L^2(Q, \mathbb{R}^3), \qquad \text{curl}_x \underline{A} \in L^2(Q, \mathbb{R}^3), \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \underline{A} \in L^2(Q, \mathbb{R}^3). \tag{8}
$$

Before we define the appropriate function space, we take a quick look at the coefficients in the vectorial wave equation [\(7\)](#page-3-3). When we will formulate a variational formulation for the equation [\(7\)](#page-3-3), we will use the weighted $L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$ -inner products

$$
(\underline{u}, \underline{v})_{L^2_{\epsilon}(\Omega)} := (\varepsilon \underline{u}, \underline{v})_{L^2(\Omega)},
$$

$$
(\underline{u}, \underline{v})_{L^2_{\mu}(\Omega)} := (\mu^{-1} \underline{u}, \underline{v})_{L^2(\Omega)},
$$

for <u>v</u>, $\underline{w} \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and the $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ -inner product

$$
(\underline{u},\underline{v})_{H_{0,\varepsilon,\mu}(\operatorname{curl};\Omega)}:=(\underline{u},\underline{v})_{L^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)}+(\operatorname{curl}_x\underline{v},\operatorname{curl}_x\underline{w})_{L^2_\mu(\Omega)},
$$

for $\underline{v},\,\underline{w}\in H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega).$ Note, that due to the Assumption [1](#page-4-0) the norms $\lVert \cdot\rVert_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}$ and $\lVert \cdot\rVert_{H_{0,\varepsilon,\mu}(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)},$ induced by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H_{0,\varepsilon,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)}$, are equivalent to the standard norms $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{L^2(\Omega)}$ and $\left\Vert \cdot\right\Vert_{H_0(\text{curl}:\Omega)},$ respectively.

Now let us define the function spaces which we will be using from this point on. Combining the conditions in [\(8\)](#page-6-0) results in the following spaces

$$
H_{0;0,}^{\text{curl};1}(Q) := \{ \underline{u} \in L^{2}(Q, \mathbb{R}^{d}) \mid \partial_{t}\underline{u} \in L_{\varepsilon}^{2}(Q), \text{ curl}_{x}\underline{u} \in L_{\mu}^{2}(Q), \underline{u}(0, x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \Omega, \n\gamma_{t}\underline{u} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma = (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \}
$$
\n
$$
= L^{2}(0, T; H_{0}(\text{curl}; \Omega)) \cap H_{0,}^{1}(0, T; L^{2}(Q, \mathbb{R}^{d})), \nH_{0;,0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q) := \{ \underline{u} \in L^{2}(Q, \mathbb{R}^{d}) \mid \partial_{t}\underline{u} \in L_{\varepsilon}^{2}(Q), \text{ curl}_{x}\underline{u} \in L_{\mu}^{2}(Q), \underline{u}(T, x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \Omega, \n\gamma_{t}\underline{u} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \}
$$
\n
$$
= L^{2}(0, T; H_{0}(\text{curl}; \Omega)) \cap H_{,0}^{1}(0, T; L^{2}(Q, \mathbb{R}^{d})).
$$
\n(10)

The subscript '0,' and ', 0' stands for zero initial and zero end conditions. In Lemma [1.2](#page-7-0) we will see that they are well defined.

Since $H_{0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ is the kernel of a bounded space-time trace it is quite natural to assume that $H_{0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ is a Hilbert space. We will quickly discuss whether this is indeed true and we state in which concept $C^{\infty}(Q)$ -functions are dense in our space. We end up looking at the seminorm in this setting which is a good tool for numerical analysis.

So let us start with showing that $H_{0:0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $U_{0;0,0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ and $H_{0;,0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $_{0,0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ are Hilbert spaces. **Lemma 1.1.** Let $d = 2, 3$. The space $H^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d))$ is isometric to the Hilbert tensor product $H^1(0,T)\hat{\otimes}L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the space $L^2(0,T;H(\text{curl};\Omega))$ is isometric to the Hilbert tensor product $L^2(0,T)\hat{\otimes}H(\text{curl};\Omega)$.

Addtionally the space $C^{\infty}(0,T) \otimes [C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})]^d$ is dense in

$$
H^1(0,T)\hat{\otimes}L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)
$$
 and $L^2(0,T)\hat{\otimes}H(\text{curl};\Omega)$

and $C^{\infty}([0,T])\otimes [C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)]^d$ is dense in $L^2(0,T)\hat{\otimes}H_0(\text{curl};\Omega)$.

See Aubin [\[11\]](#page-38-11), Thm. 12.7.1 and Thm. 12.6.1. . The second result follows with [\[27,](#page-39-8) Ch 1.6.]. Here, \otimes denotes the tensor product and $\hat{\otimes}$ the tensor product of Hilbert spaces, where the resulting space is a Hilbert space itself. Hence we get that $C^{\infty}(0,T;C^{\infty}(\Omega)^d)$ is dense in both spaces $H^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $L^2(0,T; H(\text{curl};\Omega))$ and therefore is dense in the intersections $H_{0:0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $U_{0;0,0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ and $H_{0;,0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $_{0;,0}^{\operatorname*{curl};1}(Q).$

Lemma 1.2. The spaces $H_{0:0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $_{0;0,}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ and $H_{0;,0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $\binom{10}{00,0}$ are Hilbert spaces equipped with the inner product

$$
(\underline{u}, \underline{v})_{H^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q)} := (\underline{u}, \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} + (\partial_t \underline{u}, \partial_t \underline{v})_{L^2(\underline{Q})} + (\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{u}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{v})_{L^2(\underline{Q})}
$$
(11)

for all $\underline{u}, \underline{v} \in H^{\operatorname{curl};1}_{0} (Q)$. Additionally the initial and end conditions are well defined in $H^{\operatorname{curl};1}_{0;}(Q)$

Proof. Since the embedding $H^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset C([0,T], L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d))$ is continuous, see [\[21,](#page-39-7) Prop 23.23, we get that $H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset L^2(Q;\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuously embedded, see [\[21,](#page-39-7) Prop 23.2], and since $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega) \subset L^2(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)$ that $L^2(0,T; H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)) \subset L^2(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuously embedded, see [\[21,](#page-39-7) Prop 23.2]. We know that $L^2(Q;\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuously embedded in the Hausdorff space \mathcal{M}_0 , see [\[22,](#page-39-9) Thm. I.1.4], where space \mathcal{M}_0 consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions defined on Q that are finite a.e.. Therefore the pair $(H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)), L^2(0,T;H_0(\text{curl};\Omega)))$ is a compatible couple, while a compatible pair consists of two linear subspaces of a larger vector space. In that case the intersection of both spaces is again a linear subspace of the larger space and a Banach space with the maximum of both norms, i.e. $H^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^2(0,T; H_0(\text{curl};\Omega))$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|\underline{u}\|_{H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^2(0,T;H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega))}=\max\{\|\underline{u}\|_{H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d))},\|\underline{u}\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega))}\},
$$

see [\[22,](#page-39-9) Thm. III.1.3]. Now, [\(11\)](#page-7-1) defines a norm that is induced by the inner product and it is equivalent to the above norm. Hence $H_{0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ is a Hilbert space. Because the embedding $H^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset C([0,T], L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d))$ is continuous we get well defined and continuous traces to the boundaries $\{t = 0\} \times \Omega$ and $\{t = T\} \times \Omega$ for $H_{0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$. Since the spaces $H_{0:0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $U_{0;0,0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ and $H_{0;,0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $\binom{10}{0,0}$ are the kernels of these traces, we derive that they are closed subsets of the Hilbert space $H_{0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$.

 \Box

Lemma 1.3. For the spaces $H_{0:0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $U_{0;0,0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ and $H_{0;,0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $C_{0,0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ there exists $c_f > 0$ such that

$$
\|\underline{u}\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq c_f \|\partial_t \underline{u}\|_{L^2(Q)}
$$

for all $\underline{u} \in H_{0,0,}^{\text{curl};1}$ $_{0,0,}^{\text{curl},1}(Q)$ or $\underline{u} \in H_{0;,0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $\mathcal{C}^{\text{curl};1}_{0;,0}(Q), d = 2,3.$ Therefore the seminorm

$$
|u|_{H^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q)}^2 := \|\partial_t \underline{u}\|_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(Q)}^2 + \|\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{u}\|_{L^2_{\mu}(Q)}^2,
$$

is an equivalent norm in $H_{0:0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $U_{0;0,0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ and $H_{0;,0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $_{0;,0}^{\operatorname{curr};1}(Q)$.

This can be proved simply by using the Poincaré-inequality in $H^1(0,T)$ and the structure of the norm $\|.\|_{H^1(0,T;X)}$, see e.g. [\[16,](#page-39-10) Sec. 4.1] for more details.

1.3.1 Basis representations

To derive a basis representation in $H_{0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ we first have to state how we decompose $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$. The basis representation of $H_{0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ will be used in the proof of the main theorem on uniqueness and solvability. To understand the proof better, we will quickly derive the decomposition. Let us define the subspace

$$
H(\operatorname{div}\epsilon 0;\Omega) := \left\{ \underline{f} \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d) : \operatorname{div}_x(\epsilon \underline{f}) = 0 \right\} \subset L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)
$$

endowed with the weighted inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\Omega)}$, whereas the subspace

$$
X_{0,\epsilon}(\Omega) := H_0(\operatorname{curl};\Omega) \cap H(\operatorname{div}\epsilon 0;\Omega) \subset H_0(\operatorname{curl};\Omega)
$$

is equipped with the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H_{0,\epsilon,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)}$. With this notation, we recall a crucial decomposition result, the Helmholtz-Weyl decomposition [\(12\)](#page-8-0) of $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$.

Lemma 1.4. Let Assumption [1](#page-4-0) be satisfied. Then, the the orthogonal decomposition

$$
H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega) = \nabla_x H_0^1(\Omega) \oplus X_{0,\epsilon}(\Omega) \tag{12}
$$

is true, where the orthogonality holds true with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H_{0,\epsilon,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{H_{0,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)}$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2_\epsilon(\Omega)}.$

Proof. For $d = 3$, the result is stated in [\[15,](#page-38-9) Proposition 7.4.3]. Additionally, for $d \in \{2,3\}$, the decompositions follow from properties of the corresponding de Rham complexes, see e.g. [\[17,](#page-39-11) Section 2.3], [\[10,](#page-38-12) Lemma 2.7, Lemma 3.6, Theorem 5.5]. \Box

Lemma 1.5. The space $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ has a fundamental system $\{\underline{\varphi}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which is orthonormal in the $L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ -product. Additionally $\{\underline{\varphi}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is constructed in such a way that for every $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a $\lambda_k > 0$ such that

$$
(\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\varphi}_k, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{v})_{L^2_\mu(\Omega)} = \lambda_k(\underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{v})_{L^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)}
$$

for all $\underline{v} \in X_{0,\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ and for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a $\lambda_k > 0$ and $\phi_k \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi_k = \nabla_x \phi_k$ and

$$
(\nabla_x \phi_k, \nabla_x v)_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} = \lambda_k(\phi_k, v)_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}.
$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. For $\nabla H_0^1(\Omega)$ we get an orthonormal basis from the Laplace eigenvalue problem: Find $(\lambda_k, \phi_k) \in (\mathbb{R}, H_0^1(\Omega)), k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{N}_0$, such that for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$
(\nabla_x \phi_k, \nabla_x v)_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} = \lambda_k(\phi_k, v)_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla_x \phi_k\|_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} = 1.
$$

The solution to the eigenvalue problem is a non-decreasing sequence of related eigenvalues $\lambda_k > 0$, satisfying $\lambda_k \to \infty$ as $k \to -\infty$, see [\[35,](#page-40-3) Section 4 in Chapter 4].

Next, we investigate the eigenvalue problem:

Find $(\lambda_k, \underline{\varphi}_k) \in (\mathbb{R}, X_{0,\varepsilon}(\Omega)), k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that for all $\underline{v} \in X_{0,\varepsilon}(\Omega)$

$$
(\underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{v})_{H_{0,\varepsilon,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)} = (1 + \lambda_k)(\underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{v})_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \underline{\varphi}_k \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1. \tag{13}
$$

The set of eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_k \in X_{0,\varepsilon}(\Omega) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ form an orthonormal basis of $H(\text{div }\varepsilon_0;\Omega)$ with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_{\epsilon}(\Omega)}$. Additionally the nondecreasing sequence of related eigenvalues $(1 + \lambda_k)$, satisfying $\lambda_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, see [\[15,](#page-38-9) Theorem 8.2.4]. Note that $\lambda_k > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This can be shown by estimating

$$
0 < c_P(\underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} \leq (\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\varphi}_k, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2_{\mu}(\Omega)} = (\underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{H_{0,\varepsilon,\mu}(\operatorname{curl};\Omega)} - (\underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} = \lambda_k
$$

using the Poincaré-Steklov inequality, see e.g. [\[5,](#page-38-13) Lem. 44.4], and the variational formula-tion [\(13\)](#page-9-0) for $\underline{v} = \underline{\varphi}_k$ to get the desired result.

Moreover, the set $\{(1+\lambda_k)^{-1/2} \underline{\varphi}_k \in X_{0,\varepsilon}(\Omega) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $X_{0,\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H_{0,\varepsilon,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)}$ by construction, see [\(13\)](#page-9-0), and since $X_{0,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \subset H(\text{div }\varepsilon 0;\Omega)$. Additionally, we see that the set $\{\underline{e}_j \in X_{0,\varepsilon}(\Omega) : j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is also orthogonal with respect to $(\text{curl}_x \cdot, \text{curl}_x \cdot)_{L^2_\mu(\Omega)}$ since it is an equivalent norm in $X_{0,\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ because of the Poincaré-Steklov inequality, see [\[5,](#page-38-13) Lem. 44.4].

Then, by using Lem. [1.4](#page-8-1) we arrive at the desired orthonormal basis of $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ with the set $\{\nabla \phi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\mathbb{N}_0}\cup\{(1+\lambda_k)^{-1/2}\mathcal{Q}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, which is orthogonal with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_{H_{0,\varepsilon,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)}$.

Now that we know the fundamental system of $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ we can write $\underline{w} \in H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ as

$$
\underline{w}(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} w_k \underline{\varphi}_k(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
$$

with the coefficients $w_k = (\underline{w}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This basis representation converges in $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$. Then the seminorm $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{H_{0,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)}$ and the norm $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{H_{0,\varepsilon,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)}$ admit the representations

$$
|\underline{w}|_{H_{0,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\lambda_k}_{>0} |w_k|^2, \quad |\underline{w}|_{H_{0,\varepsilon,\mu}(\text{curl};\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda_k) |w_k|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |w_{-k}|^2. \tag{14}
$$

Let $\underline{v} \in H_{0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$. Then we learn from [\[21,](#page-39-7) Prop. 23.23] that \underline{v} coincides on [0, T] with a continuous mapping $\underline{v} : [0, T] \to H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ up to a subset of measure zero. Hence we can write for $t \in [0, T]$

$$
\underline{v}(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} v_k(t) \underline{\varphi}_k,\tag{15}
$$

for some coefficient functions $v_k : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$.

1.4 The variational formulation

To derive a suitable variational formulation for [\(7\)](#page-3-3) we multiply the partial differential equation with a test function. By using partial integration both in time and space we end up with the following variational formulation:

Find $\underline{A} \in H^{\text{curl};1}_{0}(\mathbb{Q})$ with $\underline{A}(0,.) = \underline{\phi}$, such that

$$
-(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}, \partial_t \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} + (\sigma \partial_t \underline{A}, \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)}
$$

$$
= (\underline{j}_a, \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} - (\varepsilon \underline{\psi}, \underline{v}(0, \cdot))_{L^2(Q)}
$$
(16)

for all $\underline{v} \in H^{\text{curl};1}_{0;.,0}$ $_{0;,0}^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q).$

Note, that the initial condition $\partial_t \underline{A}(0) = \psi$ is incorporated into the variational formulation in a weak sense while the other conditions $\overline{A}(0) = \phi$ and $\gamma_t \underline{A} = 0$ are in the ansatz spaces and therefore are satisfied in a strong sense. In [\(16\)](#page-10-0) we see the main problem of the equation, the different signs in front of the first term and the spatial differential operator. Hence, the bilinear form [\(17\)](#page-10-1) defined by the left-hand side of the variational formulation [\(16\)](#page-10-0) is not equivalent to any inner product. However, if σ is large it acts as a stabilization to the equation. We see this phenomenon in the numerical analysis as well.

In this paper, we will take a look at the bilinear form

$$
a_Q(\underline{A}, \underline{v}) := -(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}, \partial_t \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} + (\sigma \partial_t \underline{A}, \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)},\tag{17}
$$

for $\underline{A} \in H^{\text{curl};1}_{0;}(Q)$ and $\underline{\phi} \in H^{\text{curl};1}_{0;,0}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \text{curl};1 \\ 0,0 \end{bmatrix}$. Additionally, we write the right-hand side of [\(16\)](#page-10-0) as the linear form

$$
F(\underline{v}) := \left(\underline{j}_a, \underline{v}\right)_{L^2(Q)} - \left(\varepsilon \underline{\psi}, \underline{v}(0, \cdot)\right)_{L^2(\Omega)}.
$$
\n(18)

2 Existence and uniqueness

Let us now state the main existence and uniqueness result for the variational formulation (16) .

Theorem 2.1. Let the Assumption [1](#page-4-0) hold true. Then there exists a unique solution of the variational formulation: Find $\underline{A} \in H_0^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ with $\underline{A}(0,.) = \underline{\phi}$, such that

$$
-\left(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}, \partial_t \underline{v}\right)_{L^2(Q)} + \left(\sigma \partial_t \underline{A}, \underline{v}\right)_{L^2(Q)} + \left(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{v}\right)_{L^2(Q)} = \left(\underline{j}_a, \underline{v}\right)_{L^2(Q)} - \left(\varepsilon \underline{\psi}, \underline{v}(0, \cdot)\right)_{L^2(\Omega)}
$$

for all $\underline{v} \in H^{\operatorname{curl};1}_{0;.,0}$ $_{0;,0}^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q).$ If additionally $\underline{j}_a \in L^2(Q;\mathbb{R}^d)$ then there exist positive constants c_ϕ , c_ϕ^c , c_ψ , and c_f such that

$$
|\underline{A}|^2_{H^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q)} \leq c_{\phi} ||\underline{\phi}||^2_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} + c_{\phi}^c T || \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi}||^2_{L^2_{\mu}(\Omega)} + c_{\psi} T ||\underline{\psi}||^2_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} + c_f \max\{T,T^2\} ||\underline{j}_a||^2_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}.
$$

To prove this theorem we will use a Galerkin method and split the proof into three different steps. First, we prove the existence in Proposition [1.](#page-12-0) Then, we show the uniqueness in Proposition [2.](#page-16-0) In the end, we derive the norm estimates in Proposition [3](#page-20-0) and discuss the dependencies of the coefficients c_{ϕ} , c_{ϕ} , c_{ψ} , and c_{f} .

2.1 Existence

We will start with proving the existence of the variational formulation [\(16\)](#page-10-0). For that purpose, we have to state two small results that we will be using in the existence proof in Prop. [1.](#page-12-0)

Lemma 2.2. Let the Assumption [1](#page-4-0) hold true and $\underline{u} \in H^2(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^2(0,T; H_0(\text{curl};\Omega)),$ $d = 2, 3$, with $\underline{u}|_{t=0} = \underline{\phi}$ and $\partial_t \underline{u}|_{t=0} = \underline{\psi}$ be the solution of

$$
\left(\varepsilon \partial_{tt} \underline{u}(t), \partial_t \underline{u}(t)\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{u}(t), \operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t \underline{u}(t)\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \left(\underline{j}(t), \partial_t \underline{u}(t)\right)_{L^2(\Omega)},\tag{19}
$$

for each $t \in (0,T)$ and $\underline{j} \in L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then

$$
z^{1/2}(t) := \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\underline{u}|^2 + |\partial_t \underline{u}|^2 + |\mathrm{curl}_x \underline{u}|^2\right)(t, x) \, dx\right)^{1/2} \le c_2(T) z^{1/2}(0) + c_3(T) \|\underline{j}\|_{2,1,Q},
$$

holds true for all $t \in [0,T]$. The constants c_2 and c_3 depend on $T, \varepsilon_{\min}, (\mu^{\max})^{-1}, \varepsilon^{\max}$ and $(\mu_{\min})^{-1}$.

Proof. Following the ideas of [\[35,](#page-40-3) Ch. 4.2] we can transfer the results from the scalar to the vectorial wave equation. First we integrate (19) over the interval $(0, t)$ and use the Fubini theorem and the fundamental theorem of calculus. Then we can estimate by use of Assumption [1](#page-4-0) to get

$$
\int_{\Omega} \left[\varepsilon_{\min}(\partial_t u)^2(t, x) + (\mu^{\max})^{-1} (\text{curl}_x u)^2(t, x) \right] dx \le \int_{\Omega} \left[\varepsilon^{\max}(\partial_t u)^2(0, x) + (\mu_{\min})^{-1} (\text{curl}_x u)^2(0, x) \right] dx
$$

$$
+ 2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} j(s, x) \partial_t u(s, x) dx ds.
$$

Using $(a+b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ and Cauchy-Schwarz leads for $s > 0$ to

$$
||u(s,.)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = \left||u(0,.) + \int_{0}^{s} 1 \cdot \partial_{t} u(t,.) dt \right||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
$$

$$
\leq 2||u(0,.)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + 2s||u||_{L^{2}((0,s)\times\Omega)}^{2} + ||\partial_{t} u||_{L^{2}((0,s)\times\Omega)}^{2} + ||\operatorname{curl}_{x} u||_{L^{2}((0,s)\times\Omega)}^{2}.
$$

By adding both equations together we get for $z(t) = ||u(t,.)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||\partial_t u(t,.)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||\text{curl}_x u(t,.)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$ the inequality

$$
\min(1,\varepsilon_{\min},(\mu^{\max})^{-1})z(t) \leq \max(2,\varepsilon^{\max},(\mu_{\min})^{-1})z(0) + 2\int_{0}^{t}||j||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}z^{1/2}(s) ds + 2t\int_{0}^{t}z(s) ds.
$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Then we write

$$
a := \max(2, \varepsilon^{\max}, (\mu_{\min})^{-1}), b := \min(1, \varepsilon_{\min}, (\mu^{\max})^{-1})
$$

and define $\hat{z}(t) = \max$ $0 \leq \xi \leq t$ $z(\xi)$ to get

$$
b\hat{z}(t) \le az(0) + 2||j||_{L^{2,1}(Q)}\hat{z}^{1/2}(t) + 2t^2\hat{z}(t). \tag{20}
$$

After solving this inequality while keeping in mind that $\hat{z}^{1/2}(t) \ge 0$ we derive

$$
\hat{z}^{1/2}(t) \le \frac{2||j||_{L^{2,1}(Q)} + \sqrt{4||j||_{L^{2,1}(Q)}^2 + 4(b - 2t^2)a z(0)}}{2(b - 2t^2)}.
$$

By using $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \le (a + b)$, for $a, b \ge 0$, and considering $t \le \min(\sqrt{\frac{b}{4}})$ $(\frac{b}{4},T)$ we get that

$$
\hat{z}^{1/2}(t) \le \frac{2+2}{b} ||j||_{L^{2,1}(Q)} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{b}} \sqrt{a} z^{1/2}(0)
$$

since $2(b-2t^2) \geq b$. If $\sqrt{\frac{b}{4}} < T$, then we are done. Otherwise we choose as initial value $t_1 = \sqrt{\frac{b}{4}}$ $\frac{b}{4}$, instead of $t = 0$ and repeat the computations from above to get the desired result. \Box

Corollary 2.3. Let the Assumption [1](#page-4-0) hold true. Let $\underline{A} \in H^2(0,T;H(\text{curl};\Omega))$ with $\underline{A}|_{t=0} = \underline{\phi}$ and $\partial_t \underline{A}|_{t=0} = \psi$ be the solution of

$$
(\varepsilon \partial_{tt} \underline{A}(t), \partial_t \underline{A}(t))_{L^2(\Omega)} + (\sigma \partial_t \underline{A}(t), \partial_t \underline{A}(t))_{L^2(\Omega)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}(t), \operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t \underline{A}(t))_{L^2(\Omega)} = (\underline{j}_a(t), \partial_t \underline{A}(t))_{L^2(\Omega)}
$$

for each $t \in (0, T)$ and $\underline{j}_a \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d))$. Then we derive that

$$
z^{1/2}(t) := \left(\int\limits_{\Omega} \left(|\underline{A}|^2 + |\partial_t \underline{A}|^2 + |\mathrm{curl}_x \,\underline{A}|^2\right)(t,x) \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/2} \le c_2(t) z^{1/2}(0) + c_3(t) \|\underline{j}_a\|_{2,1,Q},
$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$. The constants c_2 and c_3 depend on ε_{\min} , $(\mu^{\max})^{-1}$, ε^{\max} , $(\mu_{\min})^{-1}$ as well as T.

Proof. Using the positive semi-definiteness of σ we compute

$$
(\varepsilon \partial_{tt} \underline{A}(t), \partial_t \underline{A}(t))_{L^2(\Omega)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}(t), \operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t \underline{A}(t))_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq (\underline{j}_a(t), \partial_t \underline{A}(t))_{L^2(\Omega)}.
$$

for each $t \in (0, T)$. Therefore we can use Lem. [2.2](#page-11-1) to prove the desired estimate.

 \Box

Now, we are ready to prove the existence of the solution of the variational formulation $(16).$ $(16).$

Proposition 1 (Existence). Let Assumption [1](#page-4-0) hold true. Then there exists a solution of the variational formulation [\(16\)](#page-10-0).

Proof. Let us consider $\Omega_{\sigma} := \Omega \cap \text{supp}(\sigma)$ which is a Lipschitz domain by Assumption [1.](#page-4-0) The main idea of this proof is to split the differential equation into two equations over different domains, namely one domain is the support Ω_{σ} of the conductivity σ and the other $\Omega\backslash\overline{\Omega_{\sigma}}$. Then we add the solutions together to show existence. The produced solution is the solution to an interface problem with zero tangential traces on the interface $\partial\Omega_{\sigma}\setminus\partial\Omega$. Hence, let us take a look at the resulting equations on the domain Ω_{σ} .

1. On the domain Ω_{σ} we now use a Galerkin method. Let us define the bilinear form

$$
a_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\underline{A}(t),\underline{\phi}) \coloneqq (\varepsilon \partial_{tt} \underline{A}(t),\underline{\phi})_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} + (\sigma \partial_t \underline{A}(t),\underline{\phi})_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}(t), \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi})_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})},\tag{21}
$$

for $\phi \in H(\text{curl}; \Omega_{\sigma}), t \in (0, T)$. We consider $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, the fundamental system of $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega_{\sigma})$ of Lem. [1.5](#page-8-2) for $\Omega = \Omega_{\sigma}$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the basis representation [\(15\)](#page-9-1) we then search for a

$$
\underline{A}^{N}(t) = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} a_{k}^{N}(t) \underline{\varphi}_{k}(x)
$$
\n(22)

which solves

$$
a_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\underline{A}^{N}(t), \underline{\varphi}_{l}) = (\underline{j}_{a}(t), \underline{\varphi}_{l})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})},
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}a_{k}^{N}(t) = (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_{k})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})},
$$

$$
a_{k}^{N}(0) = \alpha_{k}^{N},
$$
(23)

for all $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}_N \coloneqq \{z \in \mathbb{Z} : |z| \le N\}$, where α_k^N are the coefficients of

$$
\underline{\phi}^N(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N \alpha_k^N \underline{\varphi}_k(x),
$$

and $\underline{\phi}^N \to \underline{\phi}$ in $H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega_{\sigma})$ for $N \to \infty$. We have $\underline{A}^N(0, x) = \underline{\phi}^N(x)$ and define $f_k :=$ $(\underline{j}_a, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)}$.

Since σ is uniformly positive definite and bounded over Ω_{σ} , the induced weighted scalar product $(\sigma,.)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})}$ is equivalent to $(\varepsilon,.)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})}$. Hence, there exists a $\beta_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$
(\sigma \underline{\varphi}_k,\underline{\varphi}_l)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)}=\beta_k \delta_{kl}
$$

for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. These β_k are bounded from below by σ_{\min} .

Next, we combine everything to arrive for $t \in (0, T)$ and $k = 0, \ldots, N$ at

$$
a_k''^N(t) + \beta_k a_k^N(t) + \lambda_k a_k^N(t) = f_k(t),
$$

\n
$$
a_k^N(0) = (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)},
$$

\n
$$
a_k^N(0) = \alpha_k^N
$$
\n(24)

where $\underline{\varphi}_k$ is an eigenfunction of $\operatorname{curl}_x \mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x$ in Ω_{σ} , and for $k = -N, \ldots, -1$

$$
a_k''^N(t) + \beta_k a_k'^N(t) = f_k(t),
$$

\n
$$
a_k'^N(0) = (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)},
$$

\n
$$
a_k^N(0) = \alpha_k^N
$$
\n(25)

where φ_k is part of the kernel of curl_x μ^{-1} curl_x. The solutions can be computed using standard techniques for ordinary differential equations such as $[32, L. 20, L. 21]$. The solutions are well defined for $f_k \in L^1(0,T)$. Therefore, there exists for $\underline{j}_a \in L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\sigma))$ a solution $\underline{A}^N \in C^2(0,T;H(\text{curl};\Omega_\sigma))$. If we multiply [\(23\)](#page-13-0) with $c_l^N(t)$ and sum up over $l = -N, \ldots, N$, we compute using [\(21\)](#page-13-1)

$$
a_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\underline{A}(t), \partial_t \underline{A}(t)) = (\underline{j}_a(t), \partial_t \underline{A}^N(t))_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})},
$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. This satisfies the conditions to apply Cor. [2.3](#page-12-1) with which we arrive at

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega_{\sigma}} \left|\underline{A}^{N}(t)\right|^{2} + \left|\partial_{t}\underline{A}^{N}(t)\right|^{2} + \left|\mathrm{curl}_{x}\,\underline{A}^{N}(t)\right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/2} \leq c_{2}(t)(z^{N})^{1/2}(0) + c_{3}(t)\|\underline{j}_{a}\|_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma}))}
$$

for every $t \in (0, T)$. By construction c_2 and c_3 are monotonically increasing and therefore bounded by $c_2(T)$ respectively $c_3(T)$. Additionally we get with Bessel's inequality, [\[11,](#page-38-11) Thm. 1.7.1], in $H(\text{curl}; \Omega_{\sigma})$ and $L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})$

$$
z^{N}(0) = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma}} \left| \underline{\phi}^{N} \right|^{2} + \left| \underline{\psi}^{N} \right|^{2} + \left| \operatorname{curl}_{x} \underline{\phi}^{N} \right|^{2} dx \leq c \|\underline{\phi}\|_{H(\operatorname{curl};\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2} + c \|\underline{\psi}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2}
$$

We define $Q_{\sigma} := [0, T] \times \Omega_{\sigma}$. Therefore

$$
\|\underline{A}^N\|_{H^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q_\sigma)} \leq c \|\underline{\phi}\|_{H(\operatorname{curl};\Omega_\sigma)}^2 + \tilde{c}\|\underline{\psi}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)}^2 + \hat{c}\|j_a\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\sigma))} < C,
$$

where C is independent of N .

Now, we want to transfer the existence to the space-time variational formulation [\(16\)](#page-10-0). We split $\underline{A}^{N}(t,x) = \underline{A}_{0}^{N}(t,x) + \underline{\phi}^{N}(x)$. Since $\|\underline{\phi}^{N}\|_{H(\text{curl};\Omega_{\sigma})}$ is bounded by $\|\phi\|_{H(\text{curl};\Omega_{\sigma})}$ because of Bessel's inequality, the sequence $(\underline{A}_0^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded as well. The space

$$
H_{0;}^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q_{\sigma})=H^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma}))\bigcap L^{2}(0,T;H_{0}(\operatorname{curl};\Omega_{\sigma}))
$$

is a Hilbert space, see Lem. [1.2.](#page-7-0) Hence there exists a weakly convergent subsequence of $(\underline{A}_0^N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$. We write this subsequence as $\{\underline{A}_0^N\}_N$ for convenience. Then there exists a $\underline{A}_0 \in$ $H_{0:0}^{\operatorname*{curl};1}$ $_{0;0,}^{\mathrm{curr};1}(Q_{\sigma})$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\frac{A_0^N &\rightharpoonup \underline{A}_0 & \text{in } L^2(Q_\sigma), \\
\partial_t \underline{A}_0^N &\rightharpoonup \partial_t \underline{A}_0 & \text{in } L^2(Q_\sigma), \\
\text{curl}_x \underline{A}_0^N &\rightharpoonup \text{curl}_x \underline{A}_0 & \text{in } L^2(Q_\sigma).\n\end{aligned}
$$

We constructed \underline{A}_0^N such that

$$
a_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\underline{A}_0^N(t), \underline{\varphi}_l) = (\underline{j}_a(t), \underline{\varphi}_l)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} - (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi}^N, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\varphi}_l)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})}
$$

for all $l = -N, ..., N, t \in (0, T)$. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $N > M$ and $d_l \in H^1(0, T)$ where $d_l(T) = 0, l = -M, \ldots, M$. Multiply the equation with d_l and sum up over $l = -M, \ldots, M$. Moreover, we get for $\eta(t,x) := \sum_{n=1}^{M}$ $\sum_{l=-M} d_l(t) \underline{\varphi}_l(x)$ and $t \in (0,T)$ the equation

$$
a_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\underline{A}_0^N(t), \underline{\eta}) = (\underline{j}_a(t), \underline{\eta})_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} - (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi}^N, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta})_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})}.
$$

By integration over $(0, T)$ and using integration by parts for the first term we get to the bilinear form [\(17\)](#page-10-1) and

$$
a_{Q_{\sigma}}(\underline{A}_0^N, \underline{\eta}) = (\underline{j}_a, \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{\sigma})} - (\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}^N|_{t=0}, \underline{\eta}|_{t=0})_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} - (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi}^N, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{\sigma})}.
$$

Next, we take the limit $N \to \infty$. Since $\underline{\eta}, \partial_t \underline{\eta}$ and $\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta}$ are in $L^2(Q_\sigma; \mathbb{R}^d)$ we get with the weak convergence that

$$
a_{Q_{\sigma}}(\underline{A}_0, \underline{\eta}) = (\underline{j}_a, \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{\sigma})} - (\varepsilon \underline{\psi}, \underline{\eta}(0,.))_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} - (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{\sigma})}.
$$

This equation holds for all η with the representation $\sum_{n=1}^{M}$ $\sum_{l=-M} d_l(t) \underline{\varphi}_l(x)$. Let \mathcal{M}_M be the space of such functions. For every $M \in \mathbb{N}$ we can repeat this argumentation. The space $\bigcup_{M=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_M$ is dense in $L^2(0,T;H_0(\text{curl};\Omega_\sigma))$ because we can approximate every element with such a sum, see [\[21,](#page-39-7) Prop. 23.2d]. Hence the equation above holds true for every $\eta \in H_{0,0}^{\text{curl};1}$ $_{0;,0}^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q_{\sigma})$ and therefore <u>A</u> is the weak solution of our differential equation in $H_{0:0}^{\text{curl},1}$ $_{0,0,}^{\mathrm{curl},1}(Q_{\sigma}).$

2. Let us now consider the spatial domain $\Omega_0 := \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_{\sigma}}$ and space-time domain $Q_0 := (0, T) \times$ Ω_0 where σ is zero. Again we consider the ansatz [\(22\)](#page-13-2) and the equation

$$
(\varepsilon \partial_{tt} \underline{A}^N(t), \underline{\varphi}_l)_{L^2(\Omega_0)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}^N(t), \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\varphi}_l)_{L^2(\Omega_0)} = (\underline{j}_a(t), \underline{\varphi}_l)_{L^2(\Omega_0)},
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt} a_k^N(t) = (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)},
$$

$$
a_k^N(0) = \alpha_k^N
$$
(26)

for $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Then we follow the same steps as before and we consider instead of the equations [\(24\)](#page-13-3) and [\(25\)](#page-13-4) the equations

$$
a_k''^N(t) + \lambda_k a_k^N(t) = f_k(t),
$$

\n
$$
a_k'^N(0) = (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_0)},
$$

\n
$$
a_k^N(0) = \alpha_k^N
$$
\n(27)

for $t \in (0, T)$ and $\lambda_k > 0$ for $k = 0, \ldots, N$, i.e. $\underline{\varphi}_k$ is an eigenfunction of $\operatorname{curl}_x \mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x$ in Ω_0 , and $\lambda_k = 0$ for $k = -N, \ldots, -1$ where $\underline{\varphi}_k$ is part of the kernel of $\operatorname{curl}_x \mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x$ in Ω_0 . The ordinary equation [\(27\)](#page-15-0) is uniquely solvable for $f_k \in L^1(0,T)$ and can be solved using standard techniques such as [\[32,](#page-40-4) L. 20, L. 21]. By multiplying [\(26\)](#page-15-1) with $c_l^N(t)$ and summing up over $l = -N, \ldots, N$, we compute using [\(21\)](#page-13-1)

$$
(\varepsilon \partial_{tt} \underline{A}^N(t), \underline{A}^N(t))_{L^2(\Omega_0)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}^N(t), \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}^N(t))_{L^2(\Omega_0)} = (\underline{j}_a(t), \underline{A}^N(t))_{L^2(\Omega_0)}.
$$

This satisfies the conditions to apply Lem. [2.2](#page-11-1) with which we arrive at

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega_0} \left|\underline{A}^N(t)\right|^2 + \left|\partial_t \underline{A}^N(t)\right|^2 + \left|\mathrm{curl}_x \,\underline{A}^N(t)\right|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/2} \le c_2(t)(z^N)^{1/2}(0) + c_3(t)\|\underline{j}_a\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega_0))}
$$

for every $t \in (0, T)$ and $z^N(0) = ||\underline{\phi}^N||^2_{L^2(\Omega_0)} + ||\underline{\psi}^N||$ 2 $\frac{d^2}{L^2(\Omega_0)} + ||\text{curl}_x \underline{\phi}^N||$ 2 $\frac{Z}{L^2(\Omega_0)} \leq c \|\underline{\phi}\|_{H(\text{curl};\Omega_0)}^2 +$ $c\|\underline{\psi}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_0)}$. Therefore we have weak convergence of $(\underline{A}^N)_N$, $(\text{curl}_x \underline{A}^N)_N$ and $(\partial_t \underline{A}^N)_N$ in $L^2(Q)$. By going through the same argumentation as above, we see that the limit $\underline{A} \in$ $H_{0:0}^{\text{curl};1}$ ${}_{0;0,}^{\text{curl};1}(Q_0)$ indeed is the weak solution of

$$
-(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}, \partial_t \underline{v})_{L^2(Q_0)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}^N, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{v})_{L^2(Q_0)} = (\underline{j}_a(t), \underline{v})_{L^2(Q_0)} - (\varepsilon \underline{\psi}, \underline{v}(0, \cdot))_{L^2(Q_0)},
$$

 \Box

with $\underline{A}(0,.) = \underline{\phi}$. Adding both solutions on the domains Q_0 and Q_{σ} yields existence.

2.2 Uniqueness

Next, we take a look at the uniqueness of the solution to the variational formulation [\(16\)](#page-10-0) with the bilinear form [\(17\)](#page-10-1) and right-hand side [\(18\)](#page-10-2).

Proposition 2 (Uniqueness). Let Assumption [1](#page-4-0) hold true. Then there exists a unique solution for the variational formulation [\(16\)](#page-10-0).

Proof. In Proposition [1](#page-12-0) we have already shown existence. What is left to be proven is the uniqueness. Assume that there are two solutions \underline{A}' and \underline{A}'' , then $\underline{w} := \underline{A}' - \underline{A}''$ satisfies the variational formulation

$$
-(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{w}, \partial_t \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} + (\sigma \partial_t \underline{w}, \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{w}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} = 0
$$

for all $\underline{v} \in H^{\text{curl};1}_{0,0}$ $\lim_{(0,0)}$ Curi,1(Q). Additionally $\underline{w}(0,x) = 0$ holds true for $x \in \Omega$. Choose $b \in [0,T]$ arbitrary and consider

$$
\underline{\eta}(t,x) := \begin{cases} \int_b^t \underline{w}(\tau,x) \, \mathrm{d}\tau, & \text{for } 0 \le t \le b, \\ 0, & \text{for } b \le t \le T. \end{cases}
$$

Then $\underline{\eta} \in H^{\text{curl};1}_{0;,0}$ $C_{0,0}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ with $\underline{\eta}(t,x) = 0$ for $t \geq b$ and we get for $\underline{v} = \underline{\eta}$:

$$
-(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{w}, \partial_t \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{(0,b)})} + (\sigma \partial_t \underline{w}, \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{(0,b)})} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{w}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{(0,b)})} = 0,
$$

where $Q_{(0,b)}$ is the intersection of Q with the half space $t < b$. Since $\partial_t \underline{\eta}(t,x) = w(t,x)$ for $(t, x) \in Q_{(0,b)}$, we compute

$$
(\varepsilon \partial_{tt} \underline{\eta}, \partial_t \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{(0,b)})} - (\sigma \partial_{tt} \underline{\eta}, \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{(0,b)})} - (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t \underline{\eta}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{(0,b)})} = 0.
$$

Through integration by parts we get

$$
-(\sigma \partial_{tt} \underline{\eta}, \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{(0,b)})} = (\sigma \partial_t \underline{\eta}, \partial_t \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{(0,b)})}
$$

$$
\geq 0,
$$

because $\partial_t \eta(0, x) = \underline{w}(0, x) = 0$ for $x \in \Omega$, $\eta(b, x) = 0$ by definition and σ is positive semidefinite. Therefore

$$
(\varepsilon \partial_{tt} \underline{\eta}, \partial_t \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{(0,b)})} - (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t \underline{\eta}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta})_{L^2(Q_{(0,b)})} \le 0
$$

holds true. Hence

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{Q_{(0,b)}} \partial_t (\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{\eta} \cdot \partial_t \underline{\eta}) \, dx \, dt - \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{Q_{(0,b)}} \partial_t (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta} \cdot \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta}) \, dx \, dt \le 0.
$$

holds true because ε and μ^{-1} are symmetric. From the definition of η we compute $\eta(b, x) = 0$ and therefore $(\text{curl}_x \eta)(b, x) = 0$ for $x \in \Omega$. Additionally, $\partial_t \eta(0, x) = w(0, x) = 0$ for $x \in \Omega$. and therefore we arrive at

$$
\int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{\eta} \cdot \partial_t \underline{\eta})(b, x) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta} \cdot \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\eta})(0, x) dx \le 0.
$$

From this we derive by $\partial_t \eta(b, x) = \underline{w}(b, x)$ that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \underline{w}^2(b, x) dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^b \text{curl}_x \, \underline{w} \right)^2 (x) dx = 0
$$

holds true for any $b \in (0, T)$, since $\partial_t \underline{\eta}(t, x) = \underline{w}(t, x)$. With this, we can deduce that $\underline{w}(t, x)$ vanishes almost everywhere. vanishes almost everywhere.

2.3 Norm estimate

Now that we know that the variational formulation [\(16\)](#page-10-0) is uniquely solvable, we take a look at the norm estimate. In this part of the section, we consider $\underline{j}_a \in L^2(Q;\mathbb{R}^d)$. We will derive the norm estimate of Theorem [2.1](#page-10-3) and take a closer look at the dependencies of the coefficients $c_{\phi}, c_{\phi}^c, c_{\psi}, \text{ and } c_f.$

Lemma 2.4. Let Assumption [1](#page-4-0) hold, $\underline{j}_a \in L^2((0,T) \times \Omega_\sigma;\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\{\lambda_k, \varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ the pair of nonzero eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the curl_x μ^{-1} curl_x-operator in Ω_{σ} from Lemma [1.5,](#page-8-2) $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_k := (\sigma \underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Additionally, let a_k be the solution of the ordinary differential $\overline{equation}$

$$
a_k''(t) + \beta_k a_k'(t) + \lambda_k a_k(t) = f_k(t),
$$

\n
$$
a_k(0) = \alpha_k,
$$

\n
$$
a_k'(0) = (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})}
$$
\n(28)

for $t \in (0,T)$, and $f_k(t) := (\underline{j}_a(t), \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)}$. Then there exist positive constants c_α^{λ} , c_α , c_ψ and c_f such that

$$
\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\int\limits_0^T\lambda_k(a_k)^2(t)\,\mathrm{d} t+\int\limits_0^T(a_k')^2(t)\,\mathrm{d} t\leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}c_\psi(\underline{\psi},\underline{\varphi}_k)^2_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)}+(c_\alpha^\lambda\lambda_k+c_\alpha)(\alpha_k)^2+c_fT\|f_k\|^2_{L^2(0,T)}.
$$

Proof. For these estimates we need to consider three cases, namely $\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k > 0$, $\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k < 0$ and $\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k = 0$.

1. Let $\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k > 0$. We define $\kappa_1 := \frac{-\beta_k + \sqrt{\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k}}{2}$, $\kappa_2 := \frac{-\beta_k - \sqrt{\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k}}{2}$, $\gamma_k = \sqrt{\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k}$. Then the solution of [\(28\)](#page-17-0) is given by

$$
a_k(t) = (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)} \frac{e^{\kappa_1 t} - e^{\kappa_2 t}}{\gamma_k} + \alpha_k \frac{\kappa_1 e^{\kappa_2 t} - \kappa_2 e^{\kappa_1 t}}{\gamma_k}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{\gamma_k} \int_0^t (e^{\kappa_1 (t-s)} - e^{\kappa_2 (t-s)}) f_k(s) ds.
$$

We know that $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 < 0$, because $\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k > 0$ and so $(-\beta_k - \sqrt{\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k}) < 0$. Additionally we get $-(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) = \beta_k$ as well as $\kappa_1 \kappa_2 = \lambda_k$. With these facts and using $(a+b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ we compute

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \left(e^{\kappa_1 t} - e^{\kappa_2 t}\right)^2 dt \le \frac{1}{\kappa_1} (e^{2\kappa_1 T} - 1) + \frac{1}{\kappa_2} (e^{2\kappa_2 T} - 1) \le \frac{1}{-\kappa_1} + \frac{1}{-\kappa_2} = \frac{\beta_k}{\lambda_k}.
$$

In the same way, we estimate

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \left(\kappa_1 e^{\kappa_1 t} - \kappa_2 e^{\kappa_2 t}\right)^2 dt \le \kappa_1 (e^{2\kappa_1 T} - 1) + \kappa_2 (e^{2\kappa_2 T} - 1) \le \beta_k.
$$

With this, we can compute an estimate for the desired norms using again $(a+b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \lambda_{k}(a_{k})^{2}(t) dt + \int_{0}^{T} (a_{k}')^{2}(t) dt \leq 2(\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_{k})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2} \frac{4\beta_{k}}{\beta_{k}^{2} - 4\lambda_{k}} + 2(\alpha_{k})^{2} \lambda_{k} \frac{4\beta_{k}}{\beta_{k}^{2} - 4\lambda_{k}}
$$

$$
+ 2 \frac{2\beta_{k}}{\beta_{k}^{2} - 4\lambda_{k}} T \int_{0}^{T} f_{k}^{2}(s) ds.
$$

From the estimate $\sqrt{2ab} \leq (a - b)$ for $a, b \leq 0$ and $a > b$ we derive $\frac{1}{(\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}b_k^2}$ $\frac{1}{2b_k 2\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \leq$ 1 $\frac{1}{2b_k\sqrt{\lambda_k}}$. Using this estimate we arrive at

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \lambda_{k}(a_{k})^{2}(t) dt + \int_{0}^{T} (a_{k})^{2}(t) dt \leq (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_{k})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2} \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_{0}}} + (\alpha_{k})^{2} \lambda_{k} \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_{0}}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{0}}} T \|f_{k}\|_{L^{2}(0,T)}^{2}
$$

where λ_0 is the smallest eigenvalue of $\{\lambda_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ from Lemma [1.5](#page-8-2) for $\Omega = \Omega_{\sigma}$. 2. Let $\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k < 0$ and define $\gamma_k = \sqrt{4\lambda_k - \beta_k^2}$. Then we write the solution of [\(28\)](#page-17-0) as

$$
a_k(t) = \alpha_k e^{-\frac{\beta_k}{2}t} \left(\cos(\gamma_k t) + \frac{\beta_k}{2\gamma_k} \sin(\gamma_k t) \right) + \frac{1}{\gamma_k} (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)} e^{-\frac{\beta_k}{2}t} \sin(\gamma_k t)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{\gamma_k} \int_0^t e^{\frac{\beta_k}{2}(s-t)} \sin(\gamma_k (t-s)) f(s) ds
$$

Note that the parameter $\beta_k = (\sigma \underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})}$ is positive. Hence we can estimate

$$
\int\limits_0^T e^{-\beta_k t} \,{\rm d} t \leq \frac{1}{\beta_k}.
$$

Next, we use the fact that $\sin^2(\gamma_k t) \leq 1$ and $\cos^2(\gamma_k t) \leq 1$. Then we derive by using $(a+b)^2 \leq$ $2a^2 + 2b^2$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yet again the following estimate

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \lambda_k(a_k)^2(t) dt \leq \lambda_k(\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)^2_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} \frac{4}{\beta_k \gamma_k^2} + 4(\alpha_k)^2 \lambda_k \left(\frac{1}{\beta_k} + \frac{\beta_k}{4\gamma_k^2}\right) + \frac{2\lambda_k}{\beta_k \gamma_k^2} T \int_{0}^{T} f_k^2(s) ds.
$$

In the same way, we estimate

$$
\int_{0}^{T} (a_{k})^{2}(t) dt \leq \left(\frac{\psi}{2}, \frac{\varphi}{2}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2} \frac{4}{\beta_{k} \gamma_{k}^{2}} \left(\frac{\beta_{k}^{2}}{2} + 2\gamma_{k}^{2}\right) + 4(\alpha_{k})^{2} \frac{1}{\beta_{k}} \left(\gamma_{k}^{2} + \frac{\beta_{k}^{4}}{16\gamma_{k}^{2}}\right) + \frac{2}{\beta_{k} \gamma_{k}^{2}} \left(2\frac{\beta_{k}^{2}}{4} + 2\gamma_{k}^{2}\right) T \int_{0}^{T} f_{k}^{2}(s) ds.
$$

By inserting $\gamma_k^2 = 4\lambda_k - \beta_k^2$ we get

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \lambda_{k}(a_{k})^{2}(t) dt + \int_{0}^{T} (a_{k}^{\prime})^{2}(t) dt \leq (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_{k})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2} \left(\frac{36\lambda_{k}}{\beta_{k}(4\lambda_{k} - \beta_{k}^{2})} + \frac{6\beta_{k}}{4\lambda_{k} - \beta_{k}^{2}} \right) + 4(\alpha_{k})^{2} \left(\frac{5\lambda_{k}}{\beta_{k}} + \frac{\lambda_{k}\beta_{k}}{4(4\lambda_{k} - \beta_{k}^{2})} + \frac{\beta_{k}^{3}}{16(4\lambda_{k} - \beta_{k}^{2})} \right) + \left(\frac{2\lambda_{k}}{\beta_{k}(4\lambda_{k} - \beta_{k}^{2})} + \frac{\beta_{k}}{4\lambda_{k} - \beta_{k}^{2}} + \frac{4}{\beta_{k}} \right) T \int_{0}^{T} f_{k}^{2}(s) ds.
$$

Note that the term $\frac{\lambda_k}{4\lambda_k-\beta_k^2}$ only shows up when $\beta_k^2 < 4\lambda_k$. It is bounded since β_k is bounded by $\beta_{\max} := \max_k \beta_k$, which is bounded by $\sup_{x \in \Omega_{\sigma}} \sigma(x)$, but λ_k is increasing monotonically. Therefore the maximum will be reached for smaller k .

Let us define $\beta_{\min} := \min_k \beta_k > 0$. Then we use the estimate $\frac{1}{(\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k)} \leq \frac{1}{2b_k\sqrt{k}}$ $\frac{1}{2b_k\sqrt{\lambda_k}}$ to derive

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \lambda_{k}(a_{k})^{2}(t) dt + \int_{0}^{T} (a_{k}^{\prime})^{2}(t) dt \leq (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_{k})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2} \left(\frac{36\lambda_{k}}{\beta_{\min}(4\lambda_{k} - \beta_{k}^{2})} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{\lambda_{0}}} \right) + 4(\alpha_{k})^{2} \left(\frac{5\lambda_{k}}{\beta_{\min}} + \frac{\lambda_{k}\beta_{\max}}{4(4\lambda_{k} - \beta_{k}^{2})} + \frac{\beta_{\max}^{2}}{32\sqrt{\lambda_{0}}} \right) + \left(\frac{2\lambda_{k}}{\beta_{k}(4\lambda_{k} - \beta_{k}^{2})} + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{\lambda_{0}}} + \frac{4}{\beta_{\min}} \right) T \|f_{k}\|_{L^{2}(0,T)}^{2}.
$$

3. Let us consider the last case $\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k = 0$. Then the solution to [\(28\)](#page-17-0) is given by

$$
a_k(t) = \alpha_k (1 + \frac{\beta_k}{2}t) e^{-\frac{\beta_k}{2}t} + (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} t e^{-\frac{\beta_k}{2}t} + \int_0^t (t - s) e^{\frac{\beta_k}{2}(s - t)} f(s) ds.
$$

With the estimate

$$
\int_0^t t^2 e^{-\beta_k t} dt = \frac{1}{\beta_k^3} (2 - e^{-\beta_k t} (\beta_k^2 t^2 + 2\beta_k t + 2)) \le \frac{2}{\beta_k^3}
$$

we then compute by using $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \lambda_k(a_k)^2(t) dt + \int_{0}^{T} (a_k')^2(t) dt \leq (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})}^2 \left(\lambda_k \frac{8}{\beta_k^3} + \frac{12}{\beta_k}\right) + 4(\alpha_k)^2 \left(\lambda_k \frac{3}{\beta_k} + \frac{1}{2\beta_k}\right)
$$

$$
+ \left(\frac{2\lambda_k}{\beta_k^3} + \frac{3}{\beta_k}\right) 2T \int_{0}^{T} f_k^2(s) ds.
$$

At last, we use $4\lambda_k = \beta_k^2$ to derive

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \lambda_{k}(a_{k})^{2}(t) dt + \int_{0}^{T} (a_{k}')^{2}(t) dt \leq (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_{k})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2} \frac{14}{\beta_{\min}} + (\alpha_{k})^{2} \lambda_{k} \frac{5}{4\beta_{\min}^{3}} + \frac{14}{\beta_{\min}^{3}} T \|f_{k}\|_{L^{2}(0,T)}^{2}.
$$

 \Box

Adding all three cases will give the desired estimate.

Using the above lemma we can finally prove the last statement of Theorem [2.1.](#page-10-3)

Proposition 3. Let the Assumption [1](#page-4-0) hold true, $\underline{j}_a \in L^2(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and \underline{A} be the unique solu-tion of [\(16\)](#page-10-0). Then there exists positive constants \vec{c}_{ϕ} , c_{ϕ} , c_{ψ} , and c_f such that the following inequality holds true

$$
|\underline{A}|_{H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)}^2 \le c_\phi \|\underline{\phi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + c_\phi^c T \|\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + c_\psi T \|\underline{\psi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + c_f \max\{T, T^2\} \|\underline{j}_a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{29}
$$

The constants c_{ϕ} , c_{ϕ}^c , c_{ψ} , and c_f depend on sup σ , σ_{\min}^{-1} , $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ and max $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$
 $\beta_k^2 - 4\lambda_k < 0$ $(4-\frac{\beta_k^2}{\lambda_k})^{-1}$ which

is bounded since β_k is bounded by sup σ and the non-zero eigenvalues λ_k of $\operatorname{curl}_x \mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x$ increase monotonically for $k \to \infty$. The $\beta_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are defined by σ such that

$$
(\sigma \underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{\varphi}_l)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} = \beta_k \delta_{kl}
$$

for the fundamental system $\{\underline{\varphi}_k\}$ of Lem. [1.5.](#page-8-2)

Proof. Again we split the domain Ω into the support $\Omega_{\sigma} = \Omega \cap \text{supp}(\sigma)$ of the conductivity σ and its complement $\Omega_0 := \Omega \backslash \Omega_\sigma$. First we take a look at Ω_σ and $Q_\sigma := (0, T) \times \Omega_\sigma$. To show the inequality we consider the basis representation [\(15\)](#page-9-1) for the unique solution \underline{A} of [\(16\)](#page-10-0)

$$
\underline{A}(t,x)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}a_{k}(t)\underline{\varphi}_{k}(x),
$$

 $(t, x) \in Q_{\sigma}$, where $\underline{\varphi}_k$ are the basis functions of $H(\text{curl}; \Omega_{\sigma})$ from Lemma [1.5](#page-8-2). If we insert the representation into the energy norm , we get

$$
\begin{split}\n(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}, \partial_t \underline{A})_{L^2(Q_\sigma)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A})_{L^2(Q_\sigma)} \\
&= \sum_{k,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\partial_t a_k, \partial_t c_j \right)_{(0,T)} \left(\varepsilon \underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{\varphi}_j \right)_{\Omega_\sigma} + (a_k, c_j)_{(0,T)} (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\varphi}_k, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\varphi}_j)_{\Omega_\sigma} \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\| a_k' \right\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \left\| \lambda_k^{1/2} a_k \right\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{N}_0} \left\| a_k' \right\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2.\n\end{split} \tag{30}
$$

In the proof of Prop. [1](#page-12-0) we saw that a_k satisfies one of two ordinary differential equations depending on the case of $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{N}_0$. The first is

$$
a_k''(t) + \beta_k a_k'(t) = f_k(t),
$$

\n
$$
a_k'(0) = (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)},
$$

\n
$$
a_k(0) = \alpha_k,
$$
\n(31)

for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{N}_0$ and the second is

$$
a_k''(t) + \beta_k a_k'(t) + \lambda_k a_k(t) = f_k(t),
$$

\n
$$
a_k'(0) = (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)},
$$

\n
$$
a_k(0) = \alpha_k,
$$
\n(32)

for $t \in (0, T)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $f_k(t) = (\underline{j}_a, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)}$ and λ_k are the non-zero eigenvalues of the curl_x μ^{-1} curl_x operator.

Let us first estimate a_k in the case that $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{N}_0$. Then we consider the first equation [\(31\)](#page-21-0), and compute the solution

$$
a_k(t) = \alpha_k + \frac{1}{\beta_k} (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})} (1 - e^{-\beta_k t}) + \frac{1}{\beta_k} \int_{0}^{t} (1 - e^{\beta_k (s-t)}) f_k(s) ds.
$$

For this solution, we need to estimate the L^2 -norm of a_k' . Using $(a + b)^2 \le 2a^2 + 2b^2$, we arrive with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality at

$$
\int_{0}^{T} (a_{k}')^{2} dt \le 2(\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_{k})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} (e^{-2\beta_{k}t}) dt + 2 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\beta_{k}(s-t)} ds \int_{0}^{t} f_{k}^{2}(s) ds dt
$$
\n
$$
\le (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_{k})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2} \frac{1 - e^{-2\beta_{k}T}}{\beta_{k}} + 2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\beta_{k}T}}{2\beta_{k}} T \|f_{k}\|_{L^{2}(0,T)}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\le (\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_{k})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\sigma})}^{2} \frac{1}{\beta_{\min}} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\min}} T \|f_{k}\|_{L^{2}(0,T)}^{2},
$$
\n(33)

where $\beta_{\min} := \min_k \beta_k \ge \sigma_{\min} \varepsilon_{\max}^{-1} > 0.$

Second, we want to estimate a_k in the second case $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In this case, we can apply Lem. [2.4](#page-17-1) for the second equation [\(32\)](#page-21-1). Hence we get the estimate

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|a_k'\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \left\|\lambda_k^{1/2} a_k\right\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \le \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} c_\psi(\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)^2_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)} + (c_\alpha^\lambda \lambda_k + c_\alpha)(\alpha_k)^2 + c_f T \|f_k\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2.
$$
\n(34)

Since $\frac{1}{\beta_{\min}} < c_{\psi}$ and $\frac{1}{\beta_{\min}} < c_f$ we can combine [\(34\)](#page-21-2) and [\(33\)](#page-21-3) in [\(30\)](#page-21-4) to arrive at \sum $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ $\|a_k'\|$ 2 $\frac{2}{L^2(0,T)} + ||\lambda_k^{1/2}$ $_{k}^{1/2}a_{k}$ 2 $\sum_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \leq \sum_{L \subset \mathbb{N}^1}$ $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ $\left(c_{\phi}^1 + c_{\phi}^2 \lambda_k\right) \alpha_k^2 + \sum$ ^k∈^Z $[c_{\psi}(\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_{\sigma})}^2 + c_f T ||f_k||_{L^2(0,T)}^2].$ (35)

As λ_k were eigenvalues of the curl_x μ^{-1} curl_x-opertor, we want to eliminate the λ_k from our right hand side. Considering $\underline{\phi} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k \underline{\varphi}_k$ and $\text{curl}_x \underline{\phi} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \alpha_k \text{curl}_x \underline{\varphi}_k$ we compute

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k(\alpha_k)^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \alpha_l \lambda_k (\varepsilon \underline{\varphi}_k, \underline{\varphi}_l)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \alpha_l (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\varphi}_k, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\varphi}_l)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)}
$$

=
$$
(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi})_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)}.
$$

Using the basis representation for $\underline{\phi}$ and \underline{j}_a , where $f_k = (\underline{j}_a, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_\sigma)}$, respectively in [\(35\)](#page-22-0) we then arrive at

$$
\begin{split} (\varepsilon\partial_t \underline{A}, \partial_t \underline{A})_{L^2(Q_\sigma)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A})_{L^2(Q_\sigma)} \\ \leq c_\psi \|\underline{\psi}\|_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega_\sigma)}^2 + c_\phi \|\underline{\phi}\|_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega_\sigma)}^2 + c_\phi^c \|\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi}\|_{L^2_{\mu}(\Omega_\sigma)}^2 + c_f T \|\underline{j}_a\|_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(Q_\sigma)}^2. \end{split}
$$

For the solution over $\Omega_0 = \Omega \backslash \overline{\Omega_{\sigma}}$ and $Q_0 := (0, T) \times \Omega_0$, where $\sigma \equiv 0$, we get the ordinary equation given by [\(27\)](#page-15-0) for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The equation [\(27\)](#page-15-0) for $\lambda_k = 0$ yields the estimate

$$
||a_k'||_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \le T^2 ||f_k||_{L^2(0,T)}^2
$$

On the other hand, if $\lambda_k > 0$, then the equation [\(27\)](#page-15-0) has the solution

$$
a_k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}(\underline{\psi}, \underline{\varphi}_k)_{L^2(\Omega_0)} \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_k}t) + \alpha_k \cos(\sqrt{\lambda_k}t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}(f_k(.), \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_k}(t-.)))_{L^2(0,t)}.
$$

To estimate the $L^2(0,T)$ norm of a_k and a'_k , we follow the same steps as above and derive

$$
\begin{split} (\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}, \partial_t \underline{A})_{L^2(Q_0)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A})_{L^2(Q_0)} \\ \leq & 4T \|\underline{\psi}\|_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega_0)}^2 + 4T \|\operatorname{curl}_x \phi\|_{L^2_{\mu}(\Omega_0)}^2 + T^2 \|j_a\|_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(Q_0)}^2. \end{split} \tag{36}
$$

.

Note that we get a T in our estimates by estimating \int_0^T 0 $\sin^2(\sqrt{\lambda_k}t) dt \leq T$ and the same integral with cosines. Adding the inequality [\(36\)](#page-22-1) brings us to the desired inequality [\(29\)](#page-20-1)

$$
\label{eq:4.13} \begin{aligned} |\underline{A}|_{H^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q)} \leq \tilde{c}_{\psi}T\|\underline{\psi}\|^2_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} + c_{\phi}\|\underline{\phi}\|^2_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} + \tilde{c}_{\phi}^cT\|\operatorname{curl}_x\underline{\phi}\|^2_{L^2_{\mu}(\Omega)} + c_f\max\{T,T^2\}\|\underline{j}_a\|^2_{L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.5. Note that with the tools of Ladyzhenskaya [\[35,](#page-40-3) Thm. 3.2, p. 160] one can translate the results of the scalar wave equation to the vectorial wave equation using the same technique as the above theorem, see [\[20\]](#page-39-12) for more details. Then we would derive the estimate

$$
\begin{split} (\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}, \partial_t \underline{A})_{L^2(Q)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A})_{L^2(Q)} \\ \leq & 4T \|\underline{\psi}\|_{[L^2(\Omega)]^d}^2 + 4T \|\operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\phi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + T^2 \|\underline{j}_a\|_{[L^2(Q)]^d}^2. \end{split} \tag{37}
$$

for the solution of the variational formulation: Find $\underline{A} \in H_0^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ with $\underline{A}(0,.) = \underline{\phi}$ such that

$$
-(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}, \partial_t \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} = (\underline{j}_a, \underline{v})_{L^2(Q)} - (\varepsilon \underline{\psi}, \underline{v}(0, \cdot))_{L^2(\Omega)}
$$

for all $\underline{v} \in H^{\text{curl};1}_{0;,0}$ $_{0;,0}^{\operatorname{curr};1}(Q).$

3 A space-time finite element method in a tensor product

In Theorem [2.1](#page-10-3) we have proven the unique solvability of the variational formulation [\(16\)](#page-10-0). Now we take a look at the discrete equivalent of the variational formulation for the vectorial wave equation. First, we define the finite element spaces that we will be using. Then we derive the finite element discretization and analyze the resulting linear equation. Afterward, we derive a CFL condition that indeed seems to be necessary for stable computations of the numerical examples.

3.1 Space-time finite element spaces

Before we compute any examples, we recap the main ideas of the space-time discretization of the vectorial wave equation as was presented in [\[16\]](#page-39-10). We will use similar nomenclature to ease the comparison of the results of both papers. The main difference to [\[16\]](#page-39-10) is the addition of the conductivity σ in our equation and that we consider second-order elements in time instead of first-order elements as in [\[16\]](#page-39-10).

For the discretization in time we decompose the time interval $(0,T)$ with $N_t^2 + 1$ points

$$
0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{N_t^2 - 1} < t_{N_t^2} = T
$$

into N_t^2 subintervals $\tau_\ell = (t_{\ell-1}, t_\ell) \subset \mathbb{R}, \ell = 1, \ldots, N_t^2$. We define the local mesh size of each element τ_l as $h_{t,\ell} = t_{\ell} - t_{\ell-1}, \ell = 1, \ldots, N_t^2$, with the maximal mesh size $h_t := \max_{\ell=1,\ldots,N_t^2} h_{t,\ell}$.

For the discretization in space we decompose the spatial domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ into N^x elements $\omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N^x$, satisfying

$$
\overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N^x} \overline{\omega_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_i \cap \omega_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.
$$

We define the local mesh size in ω_i as $h_{x,i} := (\int_{\omega_i} 1 dx)^{1/d}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N^x$, the maximal mesh size as $h_x := h_{x,\max}(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x) := \max_{i=1,\dots,N^x} h_{x,i}$ and the minimal mesh size as $h_{x,\min}(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x) :=$ $\min_{i=1,\dots,N^x} h_{x,i}$. The parameter $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ is the level of refinement and the spatial mesh is the set $\mathcal{T}^x := \mathcal{T}^x_\nu = {\{\omega_i\}}_{i=1}^{\bar{N}^x}$ at level ν . For simplicity, we only consider triangles for $d=2$ and tetrahedra for $d = 3$ as the spatial elements $\omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $i = 1, \ldots, N^x$.

Assumption 2. We assume that the sequence $(T_{\nu}^x)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ consists of shape-regular, globally quasi-uniform and admissible spatial meshes \mathcal{T}_{ν}^{x} .

By the shape-regularity of the mesh sequence $(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^{x})_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ there is a constant $c_F > 0$ such that

$$
\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}: \forall \omega \in \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x: \quad \sup_{x,y \in \overline{\omega}} \|x - y\|_2 \leq c_{\mathrm{F}} r_{\omega}, \tag{38}
$$

where $\lVert \cdot \rVert_2$ is the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^d , and $r_\omega > 0$ is the radius of the largest ball that can be inscribed in the element ω . By the global quasi-uniformity of the mesh sequence $(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^{x})_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ there is a constant $c_{\rm G} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}: \quad \frac{h_{x,\max}(\mathcal{T}^x_{\nu})}{h_{x,\min}(\mathcal{T}^x_{\nu})} \leq c_{\mathrm{G}}.
$$

On the other hand, a mesh is admissible if for each two elements $\tau_i, \tau_j \in \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x$ the intersection $S = \tau_i \cap \tau_j$ is either empty or a simplex of the same type belonging to both elements.

With the discretization of the time interval and spatial domain in mind, we now define finite element spaces. For the test and ansatz space in time, we define the space of piecewise quadratic, continuous functions

$$
S^{2}(\mathcal{T}^{t}) := \left\{ v_{h_{t}} \in C[0, T] \, | \, \forall \ell \in \{1, ..., N_{t}^{2}\} : v_{h_{t}|\overline{\tau_{\ell}}} \in P^{2}(\overline{\tau_{\ell}}) \right\} = \text{span}\{\varphi_{\ell}^{2}\}_{\ell=0}^{N_{t}^{2}}
$$

with the usual nodal basis functions φ_{ℓ}^2 , satisfying $\varphi_{\ell}^2(t_{\kappa}) = \delta_{\ell \kappa}$ for $\ell, \kappa = 0, \ldots, N_t^2$. The space $P^p(B)$ is the space of polynomials on a subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ of global degree at most $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\delta_{\ell\kappa}$ is the Kronecker delta. Then, we introduce the subspaces which include initial and end conditions

$$
S_0^2(\mathcal{T}^t) := S^2(\mathcal{T}^t) \cap H_0^1(0,T) = \text{span}\{\varphi_\ell^2\}_{\ell=1}^{N_t^2},
$$

$$
S_0^2(\mathcal{T}^t) := S^2(\mathcal{T}^t) \cap H_0^1(0,T) = \text{span}\{\varphi_\ell^2\}_{\ell=0}^{N_t^2-1}.
$$

In space, we introduce the vector-valued finite element spaces of Nédélec and Raviart– Thomas finite elements. For a spatial element $\omega \in \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x$, we define the polynomial spaces

$$
\mathcal{RT}^0(\omega) := \left\{ \underline{v} \in [P^1(\omega)]^d \, | \, \forall \underline{x} \in \omega : v(\underline{x}) = \underline{a} + b\underline{x} \text{ with } \underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{N}_I^0(\omega) := \left\{ \underline{v} \in [P^1(\omega)]^2 \, | \, \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \omega : v(x_1, x_2) = \underline{a} + b \cdot (-x_2, x_1)^\top \text{ with } \underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}
$$

for $d = 2$, and

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}^0(\omega) := \left\{ \underline{v} \in [P^1(\omega)]^3 \, | \, \forall \underline{x} \in \omega : v(\underline{x}) = \underline{a} + \underline{b} \times \underline{x} \text{ with } \underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\}
$$

for $d = 3$, see [\[4,](#page-38-10) Section 14.1, Section 15.1] for a more thorough introduction. With this notation, we define the space of the lowest-order Raviart–Thomas finite element space and the lowest-order Nédélec finite element space of the first kind

$$
\mathcal{RT}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x) := \left\{ \underline{v}_{h_x} \in H(\text{div}; \Omega) \, | \, \forall \omega \in \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x : \underline{v}_{h_x|\omega} \in \mathcal{RT}^0(\omega) \right\} = \text{span}\{\underline{\psi}_{k}^{\mathcal{RT}}\}_{k=1}^{N_x^{\mathcal{RT}}},
$$

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\text{I}}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x) := \left\{ \underline{v}_{h_x} \in H(\text{curl}; \Omega) \, | \, \forall \omega \in \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x : \underline{v}_{h_x|\omega} \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{I}}^0(\omega) \right\},
$$

see [\[4,](#page-38-10) [6\]](#page-38-0) for more details on these spaces. Further, we consider the subspace of $\mathcal{N}_I^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x)$ with zero tangential trace

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{I},0}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x) := \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{I}}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x) \cap H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) = \mathrm{span}\{\underline{\psi}_{k}^{\mathcal{N}}\}_{k=1}^{N_x^{\mathcal{N}}}.
$$

Let us consider examples of shape functions for both $\mathcal{N}_{I}^{0}(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^{x})$ which associates with the edges and $\mathcal{RT}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x)$ which associates with the the faces. For an edge e_{ij} going from point p_i to p_j the corresponding shape function would look like $\psi_{e_{ij}}^N = \lambda_i \nabla \lambda_j + \lambda_j \nabla \lambda_i$, where λ_i and λ_j are the corresponding barycentric function to p_i and p_j . On the other hand, an example for the lowest order shape functions associated to a face F_{ijk} consisting of the three points p_i , p_j and p_k would look like $\psi_{F_{ijk}}^{\chi\chi} = \lambda_i \nabla \lambda_j \times \nabla \lambda_k + \lambda_j \nabla \lambda_k \times \nabla \lambda_i + \lambda_k \nabla \lambda_i \times \nabla \lambda_j$, see [\[4,](#page-38-10) Section 14.1, Section 15.1] for more details.

Last, the temporal and spatial meshes $\mathcal{T}^t = {\{\tau_\ell\}}_{\ell=1}^{N_t^2}$ and $\mathcal{T}^x_\nu = {\{\omega_i\}}_{i=1}^{N^x}$ lead to a decomposition

$$
\overline{Q} = [0, T] \times \overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N_t^2} \overline{\tau_{\ell}} \times \bigcup_{i=1}^{N^x} \overline{\omega_i}
$$

of the space-time cylinder $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ with $N_t^2 \cdot N_x$ space-time elements. Therefore $\mathcal{T}^t \times \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x$ is a space-time tensor product mesh. To this space-time mesh, we relate space-time finite element spaces of tensor-product type using $\hat{\otimes}$ as the Hilbert tensor-product. These spaces are

$$
S_0^2(\mathcal{T}^t)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{I},0}^0(\mathcal{T}_\nu^x) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{,0}^2(\mathcal{T}^t)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{I},0}^0(\mathcal{T}_\nu^x). \tag{39}
$$

Then, any function $\underline{A}_h \in S^2_{0,}(\mathcal{T}^t) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{N}_{I,0}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x)$ admits the representation

$$
\underline{A}_h(t,x) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{N_t^2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_x^N} \mathcal{A}_k^{\kappa} \varphi_\kappa^2(t) \underline{\psi}_k^{\mathcal{N}}(x)
$$
\n(40)

for $(t, x) \in \overline{Q}$ with coefficients $\mathcal{A}_k^{\kappa} \in \mathbb{R}$. Further, for a given function $\underline{f} \in L^2(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we introduce the $L^2(Q)$ projection $\Pi_h^{\mathcal{RT},1}$: $L^2(Q;\mathbb{R}^d) \to S^1(\mathcal{T}^t) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{RT}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x)$ to find $\Pi_h^{\mathcal{RT},1} \underline{f} \in$ $S^1(\mathcal{T}^t)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{RT}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x)$ such that

$$
(\Pi_h^{\mathcal{RT},1} \underline{f}, \underline{w}_h)_{L^2(Q)} = (\underline{f}, \underline{w}_h)_{L^2(Q)}.
$$
\n(41)

for all $\underline{w}_h \in S^1(\mathcal{T}^t) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{RT}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x)$. Since we need enough regularity of the right-hand side such that the continuity equation [\(6\)](#page-3-4) is included in the vectorial wave equation, we require that the divergence of the right-hand side j_a is well defined. Therefore we are interested in the projection $\Pi_h^{\mathcal{RT},1}$. On the other side, we see in [\[16\]](#page-39-10) what kind of effect another projection can have, namely the production of so-called 'spurious modes'.

With these definitions in mind, we can now formulate a conforming finite element ap-proach for the variational formulation [\(16\)](#page-10-0). We use $S^2(\mathcal{T}^t)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{N}_{I,0}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x)$ as trial space and $S^2_{,0}(\mathcal{T}^t)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{N}_{I,0}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x)$ as test space. Then we end up with the discrete variational formulation: Find $\underline{A}_h \in S^2(\mathcal{T}^t) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{N}_{I,0}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x)$, with $\underline{A}_h(0,x) = \underline{\phi}$, such that

$$
-(\varepsilon \partial_t \underline{A}_h, \partial_t \underline{v}_h)_{L^2(Q)} + (\sigma \partial_t \underline{A}_h, \underline{v}_h)_{L^2(Q)} + (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{A}_h, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{v}_h)_{L^2(Q)} \qquad (42)
$$

$$
= \left(\Pi_h^{\mathcal{RT},1} \underline{j}_a, \underline{v}_h\right)_{L^2(Q)} - \left(\varepsilon \underline{\psi}, \underline{v}_h(0,.)\right)_{L^2(Q)} \qquad (43)
$$

for all $\underline{v}_h \in S^2_{,0}(\mathcal{T}^t) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{N}_{I,0}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}^x)$.

3.2 The finite element discretization

Next, we follow the same steps as [\[16\]](#page-39-10) to derive the finite element discretization, but include additional terms involving the conductivity σ . Let, for a moment, the initial condition ϕ be zero. Then we insert [\(40\)](#page-25-0) into the discrete variational formulation [\(42\)](#page-25-1). Afterward, the integrals split into temporal and spatial matrices. Hence, the discrete variational formulation [\(42\)](#page-25-1) is equivalent to the linear system

$$
(-A_{tt} \otimes M_x + A_t \otimes M_x^{\sigma} + M_t \otimes A_{xx})\underline{\mathcal{A}} = \underline{\mathcal{J}} \tag{43}
$$

with the temporal matrices

$$
A_{tt}[\ell,\kappa] = (\partial_t \varphi_\kappa^2, \partial_t \varphi_\ell^2)_{L^2(0,T)}, \quad M_t[\ell,\kappa] = (\varphi_\kappa^2, \varphi_\ell^2)_{L^2(0,T)}, \tag{44a}
$$

$$
A_t[\ell,\kappa] = (\partial_t \varphi_\kappa^2, \varphi_\ell^2)_{L^2(0,T)}\tag{44b}
$$

for $\ell = 0, \ldots, N_t^2 - 1, \kappa = 1, \ldots, N_t^2$ and the spatial matrices

$$
A_{xx}[l,k] = (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\psi}_k^{\mathcal{N}}, \operatorname{curl}_x \underline{\psi}_l^{\mathcal{N}})_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad M_x[l,k] = (\varepsilon \underline{\psi}_k^{\mathcal{N}}, \underline{\psi}_l^{\mathcal{N}})_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{45a}
$$

$$
M_x^{\sigma}[l,k] = (\sigma \underline{\psi}_k^{\mathcal{N}}, \underline{\psi}_l^{\mathcal{N}})_{L^2(\Omega)}
$$
\n(45b)

for $k, l = 1, \ldots, N_x^N$. The degrees of freedom are ordered such that the vector of coefficients in [\(40\)](#page-25-0) reads as

$$
\underline{A} = (\underline{A}^1, \underline{A}^2, \dots, \underline{A}^{N_t^2})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{N_t^2 N_x^{\mathcal{N}}} \tag{46}
$$

with

$$
\underline{A}^{\kappa} = (\mathcal{A}_1^{\kappa}, \mathcal{A}_2^{\kappa}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{N_x}^{\kappa})^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x^{\mathcal{N}}} \text{ for } \kappa \in \{1, \dots, N_t^2\}.
$$

The right-hand side in [\(43\)](#page-26-0) is given in the same way by

$$
\underline{\mathcal{J}} = (\underline{f}^0, \underline{f}^1, \dots, \underline{f}^{N_t^2 - 1})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{N_t^2 N_x^{\mathcal{N}}},
$$

where we define

$$
\underline{f}^{\ell} = (f_1^{\ell}, f_2^{\ell}, \dots, f_{N_x^{\mathcal{N}}}^{\ell})^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x^{\mathcal{N}}}
$$

with

$$
f_l^{\ell} = (\Pi_h^{\mathcal{RT},1} \underline{j}, \varphi_\ell^2 \underline{\psi}_l^{\mathcal{N}})_{L^2(Q)} - \varphi_\ell^2(0) \left(\varepsilon \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}_l^{\mathcal{N}}\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{47}
$$

for $\ell = 0, ..., N_t^2 - 1$ and $l = 1, ..., N_x^N$.

Remark If we have non-zero initial condition ϕ , then we consider a continuous extension in time $\tilde{\phi}$ that is zero on all other degrees of freedom in time. Hence, for our application we use the representation [\(40\)](#page-25-0) to derive for $t = 0$

$$
\tilde{\phi}_h(0,x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_x^{\mathcal{N}}} A_k^0 \underline{\psi}_k^{\mathcal{N}}(x) \approx \tilde{\phi}(0,x).
$$

Then, using the ordering of the degrees of freedom from Section [3.1,](#page-23-0) we end up with the system

$$
(-A_{tt} \otimes M_x + A_t \otimes M_x^{\sigma} + M_t \otimes A_{xx})\underline{\mathcal{A}} = \underline{\mathcal{J}} - \underline{A}_{Ini},
$$
\n(48)

where

$$
\underline{A}_{Ini} := (\underline{A}_{Ini}^0, \dots, \underline{A}_{Ini}^{N_t^2 - 1}), \quad \underline{A}_{Ini}^\ell := (A_{Ini;1}^\ell, \dots, A_{Ini;N_x^N}^\ell),
$$
\n
$$
A_{Ini;l}^\ell := \sum_{k=1}^{N_x^N} (-A_{tt}[\ell, 0]M_x[l, k] + A_t[\ell, 0]M_x^\sigma[l, k] + M_t[\ell, 0]A_{xx}[l, k])A_k^0
$$

for $\ell = 0, \ldots, N_t^2 - 1$ and $l = 1, \ldots, N_x^{\mathcal{N}}$ with the matrices defined in [\(45\)](#page-26-1), [\(44\)](#page-26-2) and

$$
A_{tt}[\ell,0] := (\partial_t \varphi_0^2, \partial_t \varphi_\ell^2)_{L^2(0,T)}, \quad M_t[\ell,0] := (\varphi_0^2, \varphi_\ell^2)_{L^2(0,T)},
$$

$$
A_t[\ell,0] := (\partial_t \varphi_0^2, \varphi_\ell^2)_{L^2(0,T)}.
$$

3.3 The CFL condition

If we solve the equation [\(43\)](#page-26-0) for simple examples, we see conditional stability in our results which hints at a CFL condition. To compute this CFL condition for functions with secondorder elements in time we use a similar tactic as [\[30\]](#page-39-13) did for linear elements. To simplify the calculation we assume that we have an equidistant discretization in time with step size h_t .

First let $\sigma = 0$. Note that, since σ acts like a stabilizer to our system, the case $\sigma \equiv 0$ is the hardest case. Let λ_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, be the eigenvalues of the curl μ^{-1} curl operator in the weighted $L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ -norm from Lemma [1.5.](#page-8-2) To analyze the stability of the discrete system we choose initial data $\underline{\phi} = 0$, $\underline{\psi} = 0$ as well as the right-hand side $\underline{j}_a = 0$. Now, we consider the basis ansatz from Section [1.3.1](#page-8-3) for $\underline{A} \in H_{0;0,}^{\text{curl};1}$ $_{0;0,}^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ and write

$$
\underline{A}^N=\sum_{k=-N}^N \tilde{A}_k(t)\underline{\varphi}_k(x)
$$

Then we can rewrite the variational formulation [\(16\)](#page-10-0) into a variational formulation in the time domain only by using the properties of the eigenvalues λ_i of the spatial operator curl μ^{-1} curl. We end up with the set of variational formulations: Find $\tilde{A}_k \in H^1_{0,}(0,T)$ such that

$$
-(\partial_t \tilde{A}_k, \partial_t v)_{(0,T)} + \lambda_k (\tilde{A}_k, v)_{(0,T)} = 0
$$
\n(49)

for all $v \in H^1_{,0}(0,T)$ and all non-zero eigenvalues $\lambda_k > 0$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. On the other hand, for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{N}_0$, we end up with the formulation: Find $\tilde{A}_k \in H^1_{0,}(0,T)$ such that

$$
(\partial_t \tilde{A}_k, \partial_t v)_{(0,T)} = 0
$$

for all $v \in H^1_{,0}(0,T)$. This is equivalent to the Laplace equation and does not add to the numerical instabilities that can be observed in section $\mathbf{\textcolor{red}{?7}.}$

To derive the appropriate CFL condition, we need to analyze the stability of [\(49\)](#page-27-0) in the discrete case. Using again the ansatz and test spaces $S_0^2(\mathcal{T}^t)$ and $S_0^2(\mathcal{T}^t)$ we get the discrete formulation:

Find $\tilde{A}_k^h \in S_0^2(\mathcal{T}^t)$ such that

$$
-(\partial_t \tilde{A}_k^h, \partial_t v_h)_{(0,T)} + \lambda_k (\tilde{A}_k^h, v_h)_{(0,T)} = 0
$$

for all $v_h \in S^2_{0}(\mathcal{T}^t)$ and all non-zero eigenvalues $\lambda_k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This is equivalent to analyzing the linear system

$$
(-A_{tt} + \lambda_k M_t)\underline{\tilde{A}} = 0
$$
\n(50)

for its stability. The temporal matrices A_{tt} and M_t are defined in [\(44\)](#page-26-2) and the solution $\underline{\tilde{A}} = (\tilde{A}_k(t_1), \ldots, \tilde{A}_k(T))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N_t^2}$ is the coefficient vector of the basis representation $\tilde{A}_k^h(t) =$ $\sum_{\kappa=1}^{N_t^2} \tilde{A}_k(t_\kappa)\varphi_\kappa^2(t)$. For a moment let us write $\lambda = \lambda_k$ and $h = h_t$. Then we compute the element matrices

$$
M_t^e = \frac{h}{30} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 16 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_{tt}^e = \frac{1}{3h} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & -8 & 1 \\ -8 & 16 & -8 \\ 1 & -8 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

By using the element matrices to assemble the system matrix we compute

Ksys = 8 ³^h + λh 15 − 1 3h − λh 30 −16 ³^h + 8λh 15 8 ³^h + λh 15 8 ³^h ⁺ λh ¹⁵ [−]¹⁴ ³^h ⁺ ⁴λh 15 8 ³^h ⁺ λh ¹⁵ [−] ¹ ³^h [−] λh 30 8 ³^h + λh 15 −16 ³^h + 8λh 15 8 ³^h + λh 15 − 1 3h − λh 30 8 ³^h + λh 15 − 14 ³^h + 4λh 15 8 ³^h + λh 15 − 1 ³^h + λh ³⁰ 8 ³^h + λh 15 −16 ³^h + 8λh 15 8 ³^h + λh 15 .

By multiplying with $3h$ we get the following recursive formula

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 8 + \frac{\lambda h^2}{5} & -1 - \frac{\lambda h^2}{10} \\ -16 + \frac{8\lambda h^2}{5} & 8 + \frac{\lambda h^2}{5} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{2\kappa - 1} \\ u_{2\kappa} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -8 - \frac{\lambda h^2}{5} & 14 - \frac{4\lambda h^2}{5} \\ 0 & -8 - \frac{\lambda h^2}{5} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{2\kappa - 3} \\ u_{2\kappa - 2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + \frac{\lambda h^3}{10} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{2\kappa - 5} \\ u_{2\kappa - 4} \end{pmatrix}
$$

for $\kappa = 2, \ldots, N_t^2/2$. Note, that $N_t^2/2 \in \mathbb{N}$. This recursive formula can be understood as a two-step method

$$
A\underline{z}_{\kappa} = B_1 z_{\kappa - 1} + B_2 z_{\kappa - 2}
$$

for the vector $\underline{z}_{\kappa} = (u_{2\kappa-1}, u_{2\kappa})^T$ with

$$
A := \begin{pmatrix} 8 + \frac{\lambda h^2}{5} & -1 - \frac{\lambda h^2}{10} \\ -16 + \frac{8\lambda h^2}{5} & 8 + \frac{\lambda h^2}{5} \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
B_1 := \begin{pmatrix} -8 - \frac{\lambda h^2}{5} & 14 - \frac{4\lambda h^2}{5} \\ 0 & -8 - \frac{\lambda h^2}{5} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + \frac{\lambda h^3}{10} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Further, we can rewrite the two-step method as the system

$$
Y_{\kappa} = A_{\text{sys}} Y_{\kappa - 1} \tag{52}
$$

with $Y_{\kappa} = (u_{2\kappa-3}, u_{2\kappa-2}, u_{2\kappa-1}, u_{2\kappa})^T$ and

$$
A_{\rm sys}:=\begin{pmatrix}0&I\\A^{-1}B_2&A^{-1}B_1\end{pmatrix}
$$

for $\kappa = 2, \ldots, N_t^2/2$. Next, we solve [\(52\)](#page-28-0) by iterate in κ and arrive at the formula

$$
Y_{\kappa} = A_{\rm sys}^{\kappa - 1} Y_1
$$

for the solution Y_{κ} with the initial values

$$
\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 7 - \frac{2\lambda h^2}{5} \\ -8 - \frac{\lambda h^2}{5} \end{pmatrix} u_0,
$$

where $u_{-1} = 0$ and $u_0 = \tilde{A}_k(0)$. Therefore, if we want our system to be zero-stable, we need the real parts of the eigenvalues of A_{sys} to be less than one. By analyzing the eigenvalues of A_{sys} we see that two of the eigenvalues λ_1^A and λ_2^A are zero. The other two are given by the formula

$$
\lambda_{3,4}^A = \frac{-2a^2 - bd \pm \sqrt{b(2c+d)(4a^2 - 2bc + bd)}}{2(a^2 - bc)}
$$

where

$$
a = 8 + \frac{\lambda h^2}{5},
$$

\n
$$
b = -16 + \frac{8\lambda h^2}{5},
$$

\n
$$
c = -1 - \frac{\lambda h^2}{10},
$$

\n
$$
d = 14 - \frac{4\lambda h^2}{5}.
$$

The absolute value of real part of $\lambda_{3,4}^A$, namely $|\Re(\lambda_{3,4}^A)|$ is smaller than one if $\lambda_k h_t^2 \leq 60$ and $\lambda_k h_t^2 \notin [10, 12]$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If we introduce $\sigma(x) > 0$, $x \in \Omega$, large enough, the small instability region $\lambda_k h_t^2 \in [10, 12]$ would vanish and we are left with the condition $\lambda_k h_t^2 \leq 60$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

In computational examples, we often observe only the bound $\lambda_k h_t^2 \leq 60$ for stability. However, there might be unstable examples where $\lambda_k h_t^2 \in [10, 12]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Note that these estimates can also be used for the scalar wave equation when we use $S_h^2(\mathcal{T}^t)$ for the discretization since this bound applies to the ratio of the spatial eigenvalue and the time step size.

To use these insights in computational examples, we need to estimate the eigenvalues λ_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For this purpose, we use an inverse inequality for the $\text{curl}_x \mu^{-1} \text{curl}_x$ operator in the weighted $L^2_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ -norm. For lowest order Nédélec elements, we have the inverse inequality

$$
\|\operatorname{curl}_x u_h\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}^x)}^2 \le c_I h_{\max}^{-2} \|u_h\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}^x)}^2 \tag{53}
$$

for all $u_h \in \text{span}\{\phi_{E}^{\mathcal{N}_0^I}\}_{E \in \mathcal{E}}$, where

$$
c_I := \begin{cases} \frac{18c_F^2}{\pi} & n = 2, \\ 80c_F^4(\frac{9}{16\pi^2})^{2/3} & n = 3, \\ m_{\text{max}} := \max_{\omega_l \in \mathcal{T}^x} (|\tau_l|^{-d}). \end{cases}
$$

For the proof consider [\[20,](#page-39-12) Lem. A.2]. The proof is done by computing each norm on each element and showing the inequality there, then summing everything up. For completeness, we quickly state where the constants come from. The constant c_F is the shape regularity constant defined in [\(38\)](#page-23-1). Additionally, the coefficient $c_I h_{\text{max}}^{-2}$ comes from estimating $18 \frac{\lambda_{\text{max}}(J_l J_l^T)}{2\Delta_l}$ $rac{1}{2\Delta_l}h_l^{-2}$ for $d = 2$ and for $d = 3$ estimating the term $160 \frac{4 \lambda_{\max}(J_l J_l^T)^2}{3(6\Delta_l)^2}$ $\frac{\partial \max (J_l J_l^T)^2}{\partial (\delta \Delta_l)^2}$, where $J_l = \left(\frac{\partial F_{l,i}}{\partial \hat{x}_j}\right)$ $\frac{\partial F_{l,i}}{\partial \hat{x}_j}(\hat{x}_j)\Big)$ is the derivative of transformation $F_l : \hat{\omega} \to \omega_l$ which maps the reference element $\hat{\omega}$ to the current element ω_l .

Now, we apply the inverse inequality to estimate the eigenvalues $\lambda_k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, from Lem. [1.5.](#page-8-2) We rewrite the inverse inequality [\(53\)](#page-29-0) in the weighted norms to get

$$
(\mu^{-1}\operatorname{curl}_x u_h, \operatorname{curl}_x u_h)_{L^2(\mathcal{T}^x)} \leq c_I(\mu_{\min}\varepsilon_{\min})^{-1}h_{\max}^{-2}||u_h||_{L^2(\mathcal{T}^x)}^2.
$$

Then we derive the following CFL condition for the vectorial wave equation

$$
c_I(\mu_{\min} \varepsilon_{\min})^{-1} h_x^{-2} \le 10 h_t^{-2},
$$

and therefore

$$
h_t \le \sqrt{\mu_{\min} \varepsilon_{\min}} \sqrt{\frac{10}{c_I}} h_x,\tag{54}
$$

where h_t and h_x are the respective maximal temporal and spatial step sizes from Section [3.1.](#page-23-0) In case σ is big enough, e.g. $\sigma \geq 1$ everywhere, we even arrive at the CFL condition

$$
h_t \le \sqrt{\mu_{\min} \varepsilon_{\min}} \sqrt{\frac{60}{c_I}} h_x,\tag{55}
$$

Let us consider an example for $\mu = 1$ and $\varepsilon = I$. If we consider $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$ and use a shape regular triangulation with isosceles rectangular triangles then we compute, by estimating the eigenvalues of $J_l J_l^T$ directly, that $c_I = 18$ as in [\[16\]](#page-39-10). Hence, in this case, we arrive at the CFL conditions

$$
h_t < \sqrt{\frac{60}{18}} h_x \approx 1.825741858 \ h_x \tag{56}
$$

and the stricter condition

$$
h_t < \sqrt{\frac{10}{18}} h_x \approx 0.74535599 \ h_x. \tag{57}
$$

Note that the computation of the CFL condition in this section can be done for an arbitrary polynomial degree in time. With a higher polynomial degree the system matrix $A_{\rm sys}$ will enlarge, but we still end up with conditional stability. Additionally, if we use a non-constant step size in time, simply the element matrices in our computation change and we will get a condition depending on the largest time step size. Hence, by using a tensor product approach we will always end up with a CFL condition.

3.4 Expected convergence rates

Let us take a look at the convergence rates we might expect in our examples if the CFL condition is satisfied. We will divide the analysis in two. First, we will analyze the convergence in time. Then we will discuss the convergence in space. We can split the analysis in two since we consider a tensor product structure. For this discussion we will use the concepts of the continuous solution from the proofs of Thm. [2.1.](#page-10-3) Again we consider $\sigma = 0$ to analyze the critical case.

Let us take a look at the convergence in time and consider the semi-discrete function

$$
\underline{A}_{h_x}(t,x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\mathcal{N}_x} a_k(t) \underline{\psi}_k^{\mathcal{N}}(x).
$$

We will follow the steps of [\[30,](#page-39-13) Sec. 5] and transfer the results from the scalar to the vectorial wave equation. After inserting this ansatz into the vectorial wave equation, we derive the system

$$
M_x \partial_{tt} \underline{a}(t) + A_{xx} \underline{a}(t) = \underline{f}(t) \quad \text{in (0, T)},
$$

$$
\underline{a}(0) = \partial_t \underline{a}(0) = 0
$$

with $\underline{a}(t) := (a_1(t), ..., a_{N_x}(t))^T$, where M_x denotes the mass matrix and A_{xx} the stiffness matrix from [\(45\)](#page-26-1). The right hand side $\underline{f}(t) := (f_1(t),...,f_{\mathcal{N}_x}(t))$ is defined by $f_k(t) :=$ $(\underline{j}_a(t,.) , \underline{\psi_k^N})_{L^2(\Omega)}$ just as in the proof of Prop. [1.](#page-12-0) For this differential equation we derive the following variational formulation: Find $\underline{a} \in [H_0^1(0,T)]^{\mathcal{N}_x}$ such that

$$
- (M_x \partial_t \underline{a}, \partial_t \underline{W})_{L^2(0,T)} + (A_{xx} \underline{a}, \underline{W})_{L^2(0,T)} = (\underline{f}, \underline{W})_{L^2(0,T)}
$$
(58)

for all $\underline{W} \in [H,10(0,T)]^{\mathcal{N}_x}$. Next, we apply decompositions such as [\[5,](#page-38-13) Prop. 47.6]. We use Eigendecomposition of the real symmetric matrix A_{xx} and Cholesky decomposition for the real, positive-definite, symmetric mass matrix M_x to arrive at the decompositions

$$
A_{xx} = Q\Lambda Q^T \quad \text{and} \quad M_x = LL^T.
$$

Here, Q is an orthogonal matrix whose columns are the eigenvectors of A_{xx} and Λ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of A_{xx} . On the other hand, L is a real lower triangular matrix with positive diagonal entries. Next, we consider

$$
\underline{z} := Q^T L^T \underline{a} \in [H_0^1(0, T)]^{\mathcal{N}_x}.
$$
\n(59)

If we apply L^T and then Q^T to the equation [\(58\)](#page-31-0), we derive

$$
-\langle \partial_t z_j, \partial_t w \rangle_{L^2(0,T)} + \kappa_j \langle z_j, w \rangle_{L^2(0,T)} = \langle g_j, w \rangle_{L^2(0,T)} \tag{60}
$$

for all $w \in H_{,0}^1(0,T)$ and every $j = 1, ..., \mathcal{N}_x$ with z_j as the j-th entry of \underline{z} . The right hand side g_j is the j-th entry of the vector $g = Q^T L^T \underline{f}$. Until now we were able to follow the same steps as [\[30,](#page-39-13) Sec. 5]. However, in our case we have to consider $\kappa_j = 0$ for $j < 0$ as well. Therefore, the equation [\(60\)](#page-31-1) represents two cases: The wave equation and the Poisson equation. Next, let us take a look at the convergence rate of each equation.

If we consider $\kappa_i = 0$, we look at the Poisson problem

$$
-\langle \partial_t z_j, \partial_t w \rangle_{L^2(0,T)} = \langle f, w \rangle_{(0,T)} \quad \forall w \in H^1_{,0}(0,T).
$$

For this Poisson problem we know from [\[5,](#page-38-13) Thm. 32.2, Lem. 32.11] that there exists $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for all $z \in H^s(0,T)$

$$
||z_j - z_{h_t,j}||_{L^2(0,T)} \le c_1 h_t |z_j|_{H^1(0,T)},
$$

$$
||z_j - z_{h_t,j}||_{H^1(0,T)} \le c_2 h_t^{s-1} |z_j|_{H^s(0,T)},
$$

for $\frac{d}{2} < s \leq k+1$, where $k \geq 1$ is the degree of the Lagrange finite element. Hence, for first order elements, $k = 2$, the highest expected convergence rate in the L^2 -norm is two and in the H^1 -norm it is one.

On the other hand, if $\kappa_i > 0$, we can use existing results for the wave equation [\[31,](#page-39-14) Thm. 1]. In this theorem we consider $f \in [H_0^1(0,T)]'$ and $z_j \in H_0^1(0,T)$ as the unique solution of

$$
-\langle \partial_t z_j, \partial_t w \rangle_{L^2(0,T)} + \kappa_j \langle z_j, w \rangle_{L^2(0,T)} = \langle f, w \rangle_{(0,T)} \quad \forall w \in H^1_{,0}(0,T)
$$

satisfying $z_j \in H^1_{0,}(0,T) \cap H^s(0,T)$, for some $s \in [1,2]$. Then the unique solution $z_{h_t,j} \in$ $S^1_{h_t,0}(0,T)$ of the Petrov-Galerkin variational formulation

$$
-\langle \partial_t z_{h_t,j}, \partial_t w_{h_t} \rangle_{L^2(0,T)} + \kappa_j \langle z_{h_t,j}, w_{h_t} \rangle_{L^2(0,T)} = \langle f, w_{h_t} \rangle_{(0,T)} \quad \forall w_{h_t} \in S^1_{h_t,0}(0,T)
$$

satisfies

$$
||z_j - z_{h_t,j}||_{L^2(0,T)} \le c\left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi}T^2\kappa_j\right)h_t^s||z_j||_{H^s(0,T)} + \frac{\mu Th_t^2}{6}|z_j|_{H^1(0,T)}
$$

with a constant $c > 0$ independent of κ_j and h_t .

Next, we take a look at the convergence in space and recall convergence results for the Nédélec elements as stated in [\[6,](#page-38-0) Ch. 5.5]. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_x$. By the construction of $(a_k(t))_k$ satisfying [\(58\)](#page-31-0) and since the vectorial wave equation is uniquely solvable, we see that \underline{A}_h is in fact the projection of \underline{A} in $\mathcal{N}_I(\mathcal{T}_x)$. Moreover, we know from [\[6,](#page-38-0) Thm. 5.41] that

$$
\left\| \underline{A}(t,.) - \underline{A}_{h_x}(t,.) \right\|_{L^2(K)} + \left\| \mathrm{curl}(\underline{A}(t,.) - \underline{A}_{h_x}(t,.)) \right\|_{L^2(K)} \le c h_x^s(\left| \underline{A}(t,.) \right|_{H^s(K)^3} + \left| \mathrm{curl} \,\underline{A}(t,.) \right|_{H^s(K)^3})
$$

for fixed $t \in (0,T)$ and $k \in \mathcal{T}_x$ if $\psi_i \in H^s(K)^3$ and $1/2 + \delta \leq s \leq k$, where k is the degree of the Nédélec space. Following [\[6,](#page-38-0) Rmk. 7.30] we know that for linear edge elements on a cube we can expect all eigenfunctions $\underline{\psi}_i$ to be in $H^2(\Omega)$ with which we can construct \underline{A} . Therefore, we can expect first order convergence in the H (curl)-seminorm.

Putting all results together, we can expect for the $L^2(Q)$ norm second order convergence in time and first order in space. The second order convergence can also be shown by following the same steps as [\[31,](#page-39-14) Thm. 2]. For the $H^{1;curl}(Q)$ -seminorm we can expect first order convergence. This we will also see in the numerical results of the next section.

3.5 Numerical Results

In this section, we will take a look at the numerical results and apply the theoretical results from above. We will first take a look at the results for $\sigma = 0$ to have a baseline from which we can judge other results.

To compute the linear system [\(43\)](#page-26-0), we first have to assemble the right-hand side. The projection $\Pi_h^{\mathcal{RT},1}$ \underline{j}_a of the right-hand side j_a in [\(41\)](#page-25-2) are calculated by using-high-order quadrature rules for the integrals, see [\[16\]](#page-39-10) for more details. The calculation of all spatial and temporal matrices [\(45\)](#page-26-1) is done with the help of the finite element library NGSolve, see www.ngsolve.org and [\[26\]](#page-39-15). Finally, the linear system [\(43\)](#page-26-0) is solved by the sparse direct solver UMFPACK 5.7.1 [\[12\]](#page-38-14).

3.5.1 Testing the convergence for $\sigma \equiv 0$

We will first take a look at examples for $\sigma \equiv 0$ to investigate the CFL condition. For our examples in this section we consider the domain $Q = (0, 2) \times (0, 1)^2$, with $T = 2$ and $\Omega =$ $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, and $\varepsilon \equiv$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mu \equiv 1$. We will consider two constructed solutions. The first is given by

$$
\underline{A}_1(t, x_1, x_2) = t^2 x_1 (1 - x_1) x_2 (1 - x_2) \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (61)

for $(t, x_1, x_2) \in Q$. The function \underline{A}_1 has homogeneous tangential trace $\gamma_t \underline{A}_1 = 0$ and homogeneous initial conditions. If we insert \underline{A}_1 into the vectorial wave equation, we compute

$$
j_1(t, x_1, x_2) := \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1(1-x_1)x_2(1-x_2)x_2 \\ (2x_1(1-x_1)x_2(1-x_2)(-x_1) \end{pmatrix} + t^2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1(x_1(5-12x_2) + 10x_2 - 4) \\ -x_2(-2x_1(6x_2 - 5) + 5x_2 - 4) \end{pmatrix}.
$$

To take a look at the convergence rates, we compute the experimental order of convergence (EOC) with

$$
EOC = \frac{\ln(err_{L-1}) - \ln(err_{L})}{\ln(h_{L-1}) - \ln(h_{L})},
$$

where err_L is the $L^2(Q)$ -error, or the error in the $H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ -seminorm respectively, at level L. Additionally we use bisection in the refinement throughout the section ??, hence $\ln(h_{L-1})$ – $\ln(h_L) = \ln(2)$.

To compute Table [1,](#page-33-0) we solve the discrete linear system described in [\(43\)](#page-26-0) for secondorder elements in time and lowest order Nédélec elements in space. Here we see second order convergence in the $\|.\|_{L^2(Q)}$ -norm and first order convergence in the $|.|_{H^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q)}$ -halfnorm. This is the same result as we would get for linear elements in time and $\Pi_h^{\mathcal{RT},1}$ as the projection of the right-hand side, see [\[16\]](#page-39-10).

	h_r	h_t	$#f$ dofs	$ A - A_h _{L^2(Q)}$	EOC	$\left \underline{A} - \underline{A}_h \right _{H^{\operatorname{curl};1}(Q)}$	EOC
	0.5000	0.5000	80	$3.25e-02$		1.76e-01	
	0.2500	0.2500	896	$1.27e-02$	1.36	$1.15e-01$	0.62
2	0.1250	0.1250	8192	$3.24e-03$	1.97	5.90e-02	0.97
3	${0.0625}$	0.0625	69632	7.87e-04	2.04	2.97e-02	0.99
		$\hphantom{-}0.0312$	573440	$1.92e-04$	2.03	1.48e-02	1.00

Table 1: Error table for the Galerkin–Petrov FEM [\(42\)](#page-25-1) for the unit square Ω and $T = 2$ and the solution \underline{A}_1 in [\(61\)](#page-33-1) using a uniform refinement strategy.

Next, we want to test the sharpness of the CFL condition [\(56\)](#page-30-0). To that purpose, we use a more complicated artificial solution \underline{A}_2 , which was also used in [\[16\]](#page-39-10). We set $T = \sqrt{2}$ to test the example of [\[16\]](#page-39-10) where the solution

$$
\underline{A}_2(t, x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -5t^2 x_2 (1 - x_2) \\ t^2 x_1 (1 - x_1) \end{pmatrix} + t^3 \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\pi x_1) x_2 (1 - x_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
(62)

was used for $(t, x_1, x_2) \in Q$. The function \underline{A}_2 fulfills the homogeneous boundary condition $\gamma_t \underline{A}_2 = 0$ and has homogeneous initial conditions. The related right-hand side j_2 is given by

$$
\underline{j}_2(t, x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -10(t^2 - x_2^2 + x_2) \\ 2(t^2 - x_1^2 + x_1) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2t^3 \sin(\pi x_1) + 6t \sin(\pi x_1) x_2 (1 - x_2) \\ \pi t^3 (1 - 2x_2) \cos(\pi x_1) \end{pmatrix}
$$

for $(t, x_1, x_2) \in Q$ and $\text{div}_{x, \underline{j}_2} \neq 0$.

Table 2: Errors in $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(Q)}$ for the Galerkin–Petrov FEM [\(42\)](#page-25-1) over $(0, \sqrt{2}) \times (0, 1)^2$ and the solution \underline{A}_2 in [\(62\)](#page-33-2).

n_t	0.2828		0.1414 0.0707 0.0354 0.0177		
			0.1768 4.19e-02 4.19e-02 4.19e-02 4.19e-02 4.19e-02		
0.0884		$1.04e-02$ $1.04e-02$ $1.04e-02$		$1.04e-02$ $1.04e-02$	
0.0442		$2.60e-03$ $2.08e-02$ $2.59e-03$		$2.59e-03$ $2.59e-03$	
			0.0221 6.59e-04 1.61e-02 1.72e+03 6.47e-04 6.47e-04		

Table 3: Errors in $\lvert \cdot \rvert_{H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)}$ for the Galerkin–Petrov FEM [\(42\)](#page-25-1) over $(0, \sqrt{2}) \times (0, 1)^2$ and the solution \underline{A}_2 in [\(62\)](#page-33-2).

First let us take $T = \sqrt{2}$ and look at the $L^2(Q)$ -norm error table Tab. [2](#page-34-0) and the $H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ seminorm error table Tab. [3.](#page-34-1) This is the same example as the numerical example in the paper [\[16\]](#page-39-10) which showcased the sharpness of the CFL condition for piecewise linear finite elements in time and lowest order Nédélec elements in space. In the paper [\[16\]](#page-39-10) we observe first-order convergence in the $H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ -seminorm and second-order convergence in the $L^2(Q)$ -norm in the case of stability. We see this behavior as well in the interpolation errors in Table [4](#page-35-0) and [5.](#page-35-1) Here we see first-order convergence in Tab. [5](#page-35-1) and second-order convergence in Tab. [4.](#page-35-0) When we compare these results to the results we find in the error tables [2](#page-34-0) and [3](#page-34-1) we see firstorder convergence in the $H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ -seminorm and second order $L^2(Q)$ -norm after stability is achieved.

On the other hand, when we take a look at the stability of the results in table [2](#page-34-0) and [3](#page-34-1) we clearly see the influence of the CFL condition. Following the last line we see in the third column, where $h_t/h_x \approx 0.0707/0.0221 \approx 3.1991$ that our solution does not converge. On the other hand in the fourth column, we have $h_t/h_x \approx 0.0354/0.0221 \approx 1.6018$ which satisfies our CFL condition and we achieve convergence. In comparison with the results for piecewise linear elements in time in [\[16\]](#page-39-10) we see that the second-order elements in time result in a smaller error in total. On the other hand, we see a quicker convergence in the time refinement when we fix the spatial discretization.

Table 4: Interpolation errors in $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(Q)}$ in $(0,\sqrt{2}) \times (0,1)^2$ and the solution \underline{A}_2 in [\(62\)](#page-33-2).

	$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\nh_t & 0.2828 & 0.1414 & 0.0707 & 0.0354 & 0.0177\n\end{array}$	
	0.1768 7.49e-02 7.49e-02 7.49e-02 7.49e-02 7.49e-02	
	$0.0884 \;\; \;\; 1.93 \text{e-}02 \quad \ 1.93 \text{e-}02 \quad \ 1.93 \text{e-}02 \quad \ 1.93 \text{e-}02 \quad \ 1.93 \text{e-}02$	
	0.0442 $4.84e-03$ $4.81e-03$ $4.81e-03$ $4.81e-03$ $4.81e-03$	
	0.0221 1.24e-03 1.21e-03 1.20e-03 1.20e-03 1.20e-03	

Table 5: Interpolation errors in $|\cdot|_{H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)}$ in $(0,\sqrt{2}) \times (0,1)^2$ and the solution \underline{A}_2 in [\(62\)](#page-33-2).

To showcase the behavior of the CFL condition [\(56\)](#page-30-0) we take a look at the same example, but take the final time $T = \sqrt{10.4}$. We use the same number of elements in time and space as in the simulation for Tab. [2](#page-34-0) and [3.](#page-34-1) By taking the final time $T = \sqrt{10.4}$ we see a ratio of the time and spatial mesh size which is close to the CFL condition of section [3.3.](#page-27-1) For these values, we see in both tables [6](#page-35-2) and [7,](#page-36-0) e.g., in the third row, second to last column or last row, last column that the ratio equals

$$
\frac{h_t}{h_x} \approx \frac{0.0806}{0.0442} = \frac{0.0403}{0.0221} \approx 1.82352941.
$$

This ratio is below the CFL condition $h_t < 1.825741858 h_x$. On the other hand in row three, column three and row four, column four we we do not satisfy the CFL condition with the ratio

$$
\frac{h_t}{h_x} \approx \frac{0.1612}{0.0442} = \frac{0.0806}{0.0221} \approx 3.64705.
$$

Therefore we see no convergence in our results for these cases.

Table 6: Errors in $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(Q)}$ for the Galerkin–Petrov FEM [\(42\)](#page-25-1) in $(0, \sqrt{10.4}) \times (0, 1)^2$ and the solution \underline{A}_2 in [\(62\)](#page-33-2).

n_t	0.6450	0.3225	0.1612	0.0806	0.0403
0.1768	$13.54e-01$ $3.54e-01$		$3.54e-01$	$3.54e-01$ $3.54e-01$	
0.0884		$8.90e-02 \quad 1.04e+00$	8.85e-02	8.85e-02	8.85e-02
0.0442	$2.29e-02$	4.87e-01	$1.95e+0.5$	$2.21e-02$	$2.21e-02$
0.0221			7.88e-03 1.83e-01 9.99e+05 1.04e+19 5.52e-03		

Table 7: Errors in $\lvert \cdot \rvert_{H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)}$ for the Galerkin–Petrov FEM [\(42\)](#page-25-1) in $(0, \sqrt{10.4}) \times (0, 1)^2$ and the solution \underline{A}_2 in [\(62\)](#page-33-2).

n_t	0.6450	0.3225	0.1612	- 0.0806	0.0403
			0.1768 $4.29e+00$ $4.28e+00$ $4.28e+00$ $4.28e+00$ $4.28e+00$		
0.0884			2.15e+00 5.25e+01 2.14e+00 2.14e+00 2.14e+00		
0.0442			$1.08e+00$ $4.57e+01$ $2.00e+07$ $1.07e+00$		$1.07e + 00$
			0.0221 5.57e-01 $1.66e+01$ $1.77e+08$ $1.93e+21$ 5.36e-01		

3.5.2 The convergence for $\sigma \neq 0$

As a last case, we want to take a look at the convergence if we set $\sigma \neq 0$ on a subdomain of the spatial domain Ω . For that purpose we consider again $Q = (0, 2) \times [0, 1]^2$ with $\varepsilon \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mu \equiv 1$. We take the constructed solution \underline{A}_1 of [\(61\)](#page-33-1). However, we choose $\sigma = 1$ over conv $\{(0.5, 0.35), (0.65, 0.5), (0.5, 0.65), (0.35, 0.5)\}$ as shown in Fig. [1](#page-36-1) and zero elsewhere. Then we compute the constructed right hand side $\underline{j}_a = \partial_{tt} \underline{A}_1 + \sigma \partial_t \underline{A}_1 + \text{curl}_x \text{ curl}_x \underline{A}_1$.

Figure 1: The domain Ω and the support $\Omega_{\sigma} = \text{supp}(\sigma)$ of the conductivity σ .

When we solve [\(43\)](#page-26-0) for this example we get the $L^2(Q)$ -norm error as shown in Table [8](#page-37-0) and the $H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ -seminorm error shown in Table [9](#page-37-1) for piecewise quadratic functions in time. In both tables, Tab. [9](#page-37-1) and Tab. [8,](#page-37-0) we see the CFL condition [\(56\)](#page-30-0), $h_t < 1.825741858 h_x$, as in the case of $\sigma \equiv 0$. Indeed, in each table, we see in the last row and the second column where $h_t/h_x = 4.7348$ to the third column where $h_t/h_x = 2.3674$ an increase in the error which stops after the CFL condition is satisfied in the fourth column where $h_t/h_x = 1.18$. After the CFL condition is met we again see linear convergence in the $H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)$ -seminorm but no second-order convergence in the $L^2(Q)$ -norm, however. Note, that the stricter CFL condition [\(57\)](#page-30-1) does not apply here.

Remark 3.1. In the case of $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$, $\mu^{-1} = \mu_0^{-1}$ we get $h_t < \sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0} \sqrt{\frac{60}{18}} h_x \approx 6.09 \; 10^{-9} \; h_x$ since $\mu_0 = 1.256637 \; 10^{-6}$ and $\varepsilon_0 = 8.854188 \; 10^{-12}$. In this case, since μ^{-1} and σ are at least of order 10^{12} greater, it might be reasonable to think about the application of this problem. Either, we consider a very fine resolution where we are interested in the resolution on the atomic level or it might be reasonable to consider the Eddy Current problem instead of solving [\(42\)](#page-25-1). This will be a topic of future work.

Table 8: Errors in $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(Q)}$ for the Galerkin–Petrov FEM [\(42\)](#page-25-1) in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)^2$ and the solution \underline{A}_1 in [\(61\)](#page-33-1).

h_t		$0.2500 \qquad 0.1250 \qquad \quad 0.0625 \qquad \quad 0.0312 \qquad \quad 0.0156$	
		0.2111 $1.06e-02$ $1.06e-02$ $1.06e-02$ $1.06e-02$ $1.06e-02$	
		0.1055 $\begin{array}{ l} 3.72e-03 \quad 3.70e-03 \quad 3.70e-03 \quad 3.70e-03 \end{array}$ 3.70e-03	
	0.0528 2.23e-03 1.31e+00	$2.14e-03$ $2.14e-03$ $2.14e-03$	
		0.0264 1.49e-03 1.77e+01 6.57e+10 1.48e-03 1.48e-03	

Table 9: Errors in $|\cdot|_{H^{\text{curl};1}(Q)}$ for the Galerkin–Petrov FEM [\(42\)](#page-25-1) in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)^2$ and the solution \underline{A}_1 in [\(61\)](#page-33-1).

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the unique solvability of the variational formulation of the vectorial wave equation considering Ohm's law. First, we have taken a look at the trial and test spaces and showed properties of the functional spaces that we needed to prove the solvability. Then we proved unique solvability for the variational formula [\(16\)](#page-10-0), where $j_a \in$ $L^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d))$. For electromagnetic problems, the variational formula [\(16\)](#page-10-0) applies to a variety of electromagnetic examples since it is posed for Ohm's law and anisotropic material.

Having proven the unique solvability, we turned to computational examples in the tensor product. We used piecewise quadratic ansatz functions in time and lowest order Nédélec elements in space. Here, as in the case of linear ansatz functions in time, [\[16\]](#page-39-10), we realized that there is a CFL condition. We calculated the CFL condition and gave examples. Using the reasoning from Section 3.3, it is also possible to derive a CFL condition for other higher-order elements in time. We thus learn that simply increasing the order of finite elements in time does not lead to an unconditionally stable method. In the case of the tensor product structure, we can always expect a CFL condition for the space-time discretization of the vectorial wave equation. A possible solution is the use of the modified Hilbert transform as performed in [\[16\]](#page-39-10).

The results of this work form the basis for more complicated electromagnetic problems. We observed the main difficulties of the vectorial wave equation and what they imply. This will be a starting point for future work on the calculation of eddy current problems and further applied calculations such as unstructured space-time meshes.

References

- [1] Jin, J. The finite element method in electromagnetics, second ed. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 2002.
- [2] Bai, X., and Rui, H. A second-order space-time accurate scheme for Maxwell's equations in a Cole–Cole dispersive medium. Engineering with Computers 38, 6 (2022), 5153– 5172.
- [3] Xie, J., Liang, D., and Zhang, Z. Energy-preserving local mesh-refined splitting FDTD schemes for two dimensional Maxwell's equations. J. Comput. Phys. 425 (2021), Paper No. 109896, 29.
- [4] ERN, A., AND GUERMOND, J.-L. Finite elements I—Approximation and interpolation, vol. 72 of Texts in Applied Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [5] Ern, A., and Guermond, J.-L. Finite elements II—Galerkin Approximation, Elliptic and Mixed PDEs, vol. 73 of Texts in Applied Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [6] Monk, P. Finite element methods for Maxwell's equations. Numer. Math. Sci. Comput. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.
- [7] Lang, S. Differential and Riemannian manifolds, third ed., vol. 160 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [8] Post, E. J. Formal Structure of Electromagnetics: General Covariance and Electromagnetics. Series in Physics. Dover Publications, 1962.
- [9] STERN, A., TONG, Y., DESBRUN, M., AND MARSDEN, J. E. Geometric computational electrodynamics with variational integrators and discrete differential forms. In Geometry, mechanics, and dynamics, vol. 73 of Fields Inst. Commun. Springer, New York, 2015, pp. 437–475.
- [10] Pauly, D., and Schomburg, M. Hilbert complexes with mixed boundary conditions part 1: de Rham complex. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 45, 5 (2022), 2465–2507.
- [11] Aubin, J.-P. Applied functional analysis, second ed. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000. With exercises by Bernard Cornet and Jean-Michel Lasry, Translated from the French by Carole Labrousse.
- [12] Davis, T. A. Algorithm 832: UMFPACK V4.3 – an unsymmetric-pattern multifrontal method. ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 30, 2 (2004), 196–199.
- [13] COLTON, D., AND KRESS, R. Integral Equation Methods in Scattering Theory. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 1983.
- [14] COLTON, D., AND KRESS, R. *Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory*, vol. 93 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer, Cham, [2019] ©2019. Fourth edition of [MR1183732].
- [15] Assous, F., CIARLET, P., AND LABRUNIE, S. *Mathematical foundations of compu*tational electromagnetism, vol. 198 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [16] Hauser, J. I., and Zank, M. Numerical study of conforming space-time methods for maxwell's equations. Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations 40, 2 (2024), e23070.
- [17] Arnold, D. N., Falk, R. S., and Winther, R. Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications. Acta Numer. 15 (2006), 1–155.
- [18] POGGIO, A. J., AND MILLER, E. K. CHAPTER 4 Integral Equation Solutions of Three-dimensional Scattering Problems. International Series of Monographs in Electrical Engineering. Pergamon, 1973.
- [19] Landau, L. D., Pitaevskii, L. P., and Lifshitz, E. M. Electrodynamics of Continuous Media, second edition ed., vol. 8. Elsevier, 1984.
- [20] Hauser, J. I. M. Space-Time Methods for Maxwell's Equations - Solving the Vectorial Wave Equation. PhD thesis, Graz University of Technology, 2021.
- [21] ZEIDLER, E. Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. II/A . Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990. Linear monotone operators, Translated from the German by the author and Leo F. Boron.
- [22] Bennett, C., and Sharpley, R. Interpolation of operators, vol. 129 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [23] FORTNEY, J. P. A visual introduction to differential forms and calculus on manifolds. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [24] Jackson, J. D. Classical Electrodynamics, third edition ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1998.
- [25] Jackson, J. D., and Okun, L. B. Historical roots of gauge invariance. Rev. Modern Phys. 73, 3 (2001), 663–680.
- [26] Schöberl, J. NETGEN: An advancing front 2d/3d-mesh generator based on abstract rules. Comput. Vis. Sci. 1, 1 (1997), 41–52.
- [27] WEIDMANN, J. Lineare Operatoren in Hilberträumen. Teil 1. Mathematische Leitfäden. [Mathematical Textbooks]. B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 2000. Grundlagen. [Foundations].
- [28] Cessenat, M. Mathematical Methods in Electromagnetism. WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 1996.
- [29] Crawford, Z. D., Li, J., Christlieb, A., and Shanker, B. Unconditionally stable time stepping method for mixed finite element Maxwell solvers. Progress In Electromagnetics Research C 103 (2020), 17 – 30.
- [30] Steinbach, O., and Zank, M. Coercive space-time finite element methods for initial boundary value problems. Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal. 52 (2020), 154–194.
- [31] STEINBACH, O., AND ZANK, M. A stabilized space–time finite element method for the wave equation. Advanced Finite Element Methods with Applications: Selected Papers from the 30th Chemnitz Finite Element Symposium 2017 (2019), 341–370.
- [32] TENENBAUM, M., AND POLLARD, H. Ordinary differential equations: An elementary textbook for students of mathematics, engineering, and the sciences. Dover Publication, 1985.
- [33] SERDYUKOV, A., SEMCHENKO, I., TRETYAKOV, S., AND SIHVOLA, A. Electromagnetics of bi-anisotropic materials: Theory and applications. Electrocomponent science monographs. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 2001.
- [34] UMASHANKAR, K. Introduction to Engineering Electromagnetic Fields. WORLD SCI-ENTIFIC, 1989.
- [35] LADYZHENSKAYA, O. A. The boundary value problems of mathematical physics, vol. 49 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [36] GOPALAKRISHNAN, J., NEUMÜLLER, M., AND VASSILEVSKI, P. S. The auxiliary space preconditioner for the de Rham complex. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 56, 6 (2018), 3196–3218.