World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences
eISSN: 2582-8266
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjaets
Journal homepage: https://wjaets.com/

(Review Article)

Comparative analysis of Internet of Things (IOT) implementation: A case study of Ghana and the USA - vision, architectural elements, and future directions

Idoko Peter Idoko [1, *], Onuh Matthew Ijiga [2], Daniel Obekpa Agbo [3], Ehi Peace Abutu [4], Chijioke Ifakandu Ezebuka [5] and Esther Ene Umama [6]

[1] *Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.*
[2] *Department of Physics, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Makurdi, Nigeria.*
[3] *Department of Technical Communication, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, USA.*
[4] *Department of Surgical Nursing, The Jamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Guindy, Chennai, India.*
[5] *Department of Information Technology, Jonsson Workwear Pty Ltd, Durban, South Africa.*
[6] *School of nursing and midwifery, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom.*

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2024, 11(01), 180–199

Publication history: Received on 17 December 2023; revised on 06 February 2024; accepted on 09 February 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2024.11.1.0035

Abstract

This paper presents a comprehensive comparative analysis of the Internet of Things (IoT) implementation in Ghana and the USA, focusing on their respective visions, architectural elements, and future directions. The study begins with an introduction to IoT's significance in modern society, followed by a detailed methodology outlining the research design, data collection methods, and criteria for comparative analysis. The findings reveal distinct approaches to IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA, reflecting varying levels of technological infrastructure, economic impact, and societal influence. The analysis delves into the comparative aspects of IoT vision, including strategic goals and technological advancements, and examines the architectural elements such as hardware, software, network solutions, and data management. The paper also addresses the challenges and barriers in IoT implementation, highlighting technical, policy, and economic constraints. Furthermore, it explores emerging trends, opportunities, and recommendations for future IoT development, providing final thoughts on the trajectories of IoT in both countries. This study contributes to the understanding of IoT's role in different national contexts and offers valuable insights for future IoT initiatives and policies.

Keywords: Internet of Things (IoT); Ghana; USA; Vision; Architectural elements; Future directions

1. Introduction

1.1. Overview of the Internet of Things (IoT)

The Internet of Things (IoT) represents a significant paradigm shift in the realm of internet technology, characterized by the interconnectivity of smart devices capable of communication across diverse environments (Tabrizi & Ibrahim, 2016). This technological evolution has become an integral component of modern society, influencing various aspects of daily life and industrial operations. In various industries, the Internet of Things (IoT) finds applications in areas such as manufacturing, transportation, healthcare, smart energy, and food production (Ijiga et.al., 2021. The IoT's expansive growth encompasses a broad spectrum of applications, from healthcare to industrial processes, underscoring its pivotal role in contemporary societal and economic frameworks (Sharma & Tripathi, 2020).

* Corresponding author: Idoko Peter Idoko

In the healthcare sector, IoT technologies have revolutionized medical care, offering innovative solutions that promise substantial technological, economic, and social benefits. These advancements in IoT-based healthcare technologies have led to the development of sophisticated networking architectures and platforms, significantly impacting the quality and accessibility of healthcare services (Sharma & Tripathi, 2020). The integration of IoT in healthcare not only enhances patient care but also presents new challenges and opportunities in terms of security, privacy, and data management.

The Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) is another critical area where IoT has made substantial inroads. IIoT systems integrate manufacturing processes with internet access, leveraging electronic objects, advanced analytics, and smart perception techniques to optimize production quality and reduce costs. This integration has necessitated a thorough analysis of computational models in IIoT to enhance usability and efficiency in industrial settings (Ying et al., 2021). The IIoT's transformative impact on industries highlights the IoT's capability to drive modern industrial revolutions, offering novel approaches to process automation and operational efficiency.

Furthermore, the IoT's influence extends to the educational sector, particularly in the development and dissemination of online courses. The advent of IoT online courses, especially those based on multimedia technology, has significantly altered the landscape of education, changing learning methods and behaviors. IoT technologies in education facilitate a more interactive and engaging learning experience, demonstrating the IoT's versatility in enhancing various facets of modern life (Zhang, 2022).

The IoT's rapid evolution and widespread adoption underscore its importance in modern society. Its applications span diverse sectors, each presenting unique challenges and opportunities. From enhancing healthcare services and revolutionizing industrial processes to transforming educational methodologies, the IoT continues to shape and redefine the contours of contemporary society. As IoT technologies evolve, they bring forth new dimensions of connectivity and interaction, heralding an era of unprecedented integration between the digital and physical worlds (Tabrizi & Ibrahim, 2016; Sharma & Tripathi, 2020; Ying et al., 2021; Zhang, 2022).

The IoT's emergence as a dominant technological force is reshaping societal and industrial landscapes. Its multifaceted applications and the ongoing advancements in IoT technologies signify a future where interconnected smart devices will continue to play a crucial role in driving innovation and efficiency across various sectors. The continuous evolution of IoT underscores its potential to further revolutionize and enhance the quality of life, making it an indispensable element of modern society.

1.2. Importance of IoT in Modern Society

The Internet of Things (IoT) has emerged as a transformative force in modern society, significantly influencing various aspects of daily life and industrial operations. In their work, Bhardwaj and Lalwani (2018) emphasize the profound impact of IoT technologies, highlighting their role in making the world smarter across diverse sectors such as education, healthcare, transportation, and banking. IoT enables machines to communicate with each other and with people, utilizing connections like Bluetooth, Wi-Fi, and radio frequency identification. The IoT plays a crucial role in building intelligent societies, improving the efficiency of industrial processes, and strengthening security systems (Ijiga et al., 2020). This interconnectedness fosters a smarter and more efficient society, where IoT applications play a pivotal role in enhancing the quality of life and operational efficiency.

In the realm of supply chain management, IoT technologies have introduced fundamental changes, increasing productivity and efficiency through enhanced decision-making speed and precision. Gerami and Sarihi (2020) emphasize the critical role of IoT in reducing risks and improving productivity in supply chains. As life becomes faster-paced and the internet more pervasive, supply chains are increasingly reliant on IoT to meet societal needs. However, the implementation of IoT also brings challenges, particularly in information security, given the vast amount of data collected, including personal information.

The impact of IoT is also evident in the development of smart city infrastructure. A study on the adoption of IoT technologies for smart city infrastructure reveals the significant benefits these technologies bring to various sectors. IoT has increased the scale of storage and server spaces and improved internet connectivity, leading to a smarter IT infrastructure (2019). This advancement is crucial for designing and developing solutions that contribute to the well-being of society, highlighting IoT's role in enhancing the quality of life in urban environments.

Moreover, the implementation of IoT in municipal operations demonstrates its potential for creating a sustainable and inclusive society. Brunklaus et al. (2022) explore the use of IoT in a Swedish municipality, focusing on route optimization and placement planning for waste collection. Their findings indicate that while the climate change impact of IoT solutions in waste collection is minor, the major impact lies in the system's performance, which relies on smart planning and operations. This study underscores the environmental and social benefits of IoT systems, contributing to a more connected, sustainable, and inclusive society.

IoT's influence extends beyond operational efficiency to encompass broader societal implications. The technology's ability to connect devices and systems has revolutionized the way people interact with their environment, creating a more integrated and responsive world. From smart homes and cities to advanced healthcare systems and efficient industrial processes, IoT is reshaping the landscape of modern society, offering innovative solutions to complex challenges.

However, the rapid expansion of IoT also presents significant challenges, particularly in terms of data security, privacy, and interoperability among devices. As Bhardwaj and Lalwani (2018) note, addressing these challenges is crucial for realizing the full potential of IoT. The technology's future advancements are expected to overcome current limitations, paving the way for more secure, reliable, and efficient IoT applications.

The importance of IoT in modern society cannot be overstated. Its widespread adoption and integration into various sectors demonstrate its transformative potential, enhancing the quality of life and operational efficiency. As IoT continues to evolve, it will undoubtedly play an increasingly vital role in shaping the future of society, driving innovation and progress across multiple domains.

1.3. Objectives of the Comparative Study of IoT in Ghana and the USA

The comparative study of the Internet of Things (IoT) implementation in Ghana and the USA aims to explore and analyze the differing approaches, challenges, and advancements in IoT within these two distinct contexts. This study is driven by several key objectives, each contributing to a comprehensive understanding of IoT's role and impact in these countries.

Firstly, the study seeks to identify and compare the current state of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA. This involves examining the extent to which IoT technologies are integrated into various sectors, such as healthcare, agriculture, and urban infrastructure. Min and Chai (2016) emphasize the importance of understanding the IoT environment's policy and legal frameworks, which are crucial for protecting personal data and ensuring secure IoT operations. This aspect is particularly relevant given the varying degrees of technological advancement and regulatory environments in Ghana and the USA.

Another objective is to analyze the technological infrastructure supporting IoT in both countries. Ramdinthara and Bala (2019) highlight the significance of IoT technology in precision agriculture, an area where the level of technological implementation can vary greatly between developed and developing countries. By comparing the IoT infrastructure in Ghana and the USA, the study aims to uncover disparities and similarities in technological capabilities and adoption rates.

The study also intends to explore the economic and societal impacts of IoT in Ghana and the USA. Kumar et al. (2021) provide insights into the application-oriented comparative study of various IoT technologies, suggesting that the economic and social benefits of IoT can differ based on the specific applications and contexts. Understanding these impacts will shed light on how IoT contributes to economic growth, societal well-being, and the overall quality of life in both countries.

Furthermore, the study aims to identify the challenges and barriers to IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA. Hossain, Lin, and Markendahl (2018) discuss the cost structures of IoT communication systems, an aspect that is crucial in understanding the financial and logistical challenges associated with IoT deployment. By comparing these challenges, the study can offer insights into the factors that hinder or facilitate IoT adoption in different economic and regulatory environments.

Additionally, the study seeks to evaluate the policy and regulatory frameworks governing IoT in both countries. The comparison of these frameworks will provide an understanding of how different regulatory approaches impact IoT development and implementation. This includes examining data protection policies, privacy concerns, and security measures, which are critical for the sustainable and responsible growth of IoT technologies.

The study also aims to identify best practices and successful IoT implementations in both Ghana and the USA. By analyzing case studies and examples of effective IoT applications, the study can offer valuable lessons and insights that can be applied in other contexts. This includes understanding how different strategies and approaches to IoT can lead to successful outcomes in various sectors.

Finally, the study aims to provide recommendations for future IoT development in Ghana and the USA. Based on the comparative analysis, the study will suggest strategies and policies that can enhance IoT implementation and address the identified challenges. These recommendations will be geared towards fostering innovation, ensuring sustainable development, and maximizing the benefits of IoT for both countries.

1.4. Rationale for Choosing Ghana and the USA for IoT Comparative Study

The choice of Ghana and the USA for a comparative study on the implementation of the Internet of Things (IoT) is rooted in the contrasting yet complementary nature of these two countries in terms of technological advancement, economic development, and policy frameworks. This section delves into the rationale behind selecting these two nations, highlighting the unique insights they offer into the global IoT landscape.

Ghana represents a rapidly developing economy in Africa, with increasing adoption of technology in various sectors. Oriekhoe et al. (2023) discuss the technological advancements in the food supply chain in Africa, underscoring the potential for technology, including IoT, to revolutionize critical sectors in developing economies. Ghana's growing technological landscape provides a unique perspective on how IoT is being integrated into emerging markets, offering valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities faced by developing countries in leveraging IoT for economic and social advancement.

In contrast, the USA is a technologically advanced nation with a mature IoT ecosystem. The country's extensive integration of cutting-edge technologies across various sectors, including healthcare, manufacturing, and urban development, makes it an ideal candidate for studying the advanced stages of IoT implementation. Rizvi et al. (2019) highlight the role of wireless communication in reshaping IoT businesses, a factor that is prominently visible in the USA's IoT landscape. The comparative study aims to explore how the USA's advanced IoT infrastructure and policy environment influence the development and deployment of IoT solutions.

The juxtaposition of Ghana and the USA in this study allows for a comprehensive analysis of IoT's impact across different stages of economic development. While Ghana provides insights into the initial stages of IoT adoption and its potential to drive growth in a developing economy, the USA offers a view of a mature IoT ecosystem and its role in enhancing efficiency and innovation in a developed economy. This contrast is crucial for understanding the diverse applications and implications of IoT globally.

Moreover, the study aims to examine the policy and regulatory environments of both countries concerning IoT. Dezhina and Nafikova (2019) emphasize the importance of state policy in the evolution of IoT, a factor that significantly differs between Ghana and the USA. By comparing the policy frameworks and governmental support for IoT in these countries, the study seeks to understand how different approaches to regulation and governance impact IoT development and adoption.

Additionally, the study considers the role of private sector involvement and international collaborations in shaping the IoT landscape in Ghana and the USA. Šulyová and Koman (2020) discuss the significance of IoT technology in enhancing competitiveness, a theme that resonates in both countries but manifests differently based on the level of private sector engagement and international partnerships.

Furthermore, the comparative study aims to explore the societal implications of IoT in Ghana and the USA. The differing socio-economic contexts of these countries provide a rich backdrop for examining how IoT technologies affect everyday life, from urban living in the USA to rural and agricultural communities in Ghana. This aspect is crucial for understanding the broader impact of IoT on society and the potential for technology to address specific local and global challenges.

The rationale for selecting Ghana and the USA for this comparative study on IoT implementation lies in their distinct yet complementary characteristics. Ghana, as a developing country, and the USA, as a developed nation, offer diverse perspectives on the adoption, integration, and impact of IoT technologies. This comparative approach provides a holistic view of the global IoT landscape, revealing critical insights into the technological, economic, policy, and societal dimensions of IoT implementation.

1.5. Comparative Analysis of IoT Implementation in Ghana and the USA

The comparative analysis of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA is a critical study that aims to understand the varying degrees of IoT integration and its impact on different socio-economic environments. This analysis is significant in highlighting the diverse applications of IoT technologies and the unique challenges and opportunities they present in different contexts.

In Ghana, the implementation of IoT technologies is emerging, particularly in sectors like construction. Maqbool, Saiba, and Ashfaq (2022) discuss the integration of industry 4.0 and IoT technologies in the Ghanaian construction industry, emphasizing the sustainability, challenges, and benefits of these technologies. This perspective is crucial in understanding how IoT is being adopted in developing countries, where the focus is often on overcoming infrastructural and skill-related challenges to harness the benefits of technological advancements.

On the other hand, the USA presents a more advanced stage of IoT implementation, with a well-established infrastructure and a higher level of technological integration across various sectors. The comparative study aims to explore how this advanced stage impacts the efficiency, innovation, and overall economic growth in the USA. The study also seeks to understand the governance and policy frameworks that support IoT implementation in a developed country context, as discussed by Sedrati, Mezrioui, and Ouaddah (2022).

Furthermore, the study examines the scalability and performance of IoT technologies in both countries. Ribeiro et al. (2019) provide insights into the comparative analysis of IoT device management platforms, which is relevant in understanding how IoT systems are scaled and managed in different environments. This aspect is particularly important in assessing the capacity of IoT infrastructures to handle the growing number of connected devices and the increasing data volumes.

Additionally, the study looks into the application of IoT in specific sectors such as agriculture, as explored by Ramírez-Mosquera et al. (2022). This sector-specific analysis helps in understanding the practical applications of IoT and its impact on critical areas like food security and agricultural productivity in both Ghana and the USA.

The comparative analysis also delves into the challenges faced by both countries in implementing IoT technologies. In Ghana, the focus is on addressing issues related to infrastructure, skills, and technology adoption, while in the USA, the challenges are more aligned with managing advanced IoT systems, ensuring data security, and maintaining a robust technological ecosystem. Moreover, the study aims to highlight the societal implications of IoT in both countries. It explores how IoT technologies are influencing daily life, business operations, and governmental functions, and how these impacts differ in a developing country like Ghana compared to a developed country like the USA.

The comparative analysis of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA provides a comprehensive overview of how IoT technologies are being integrated and utilized in different socio-economic contexts. This study offers valuable insights into the varying stages of IoT adoption, the challenges and opportunities presented by these technologies, and their broader impact on society and the economy.

1.6. Structure of the Research Paper on IoT Implementation

The structure of this research paper on the comparative analysis of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA is meticulously crafted to provide a comprehensive and coherent narrative. This paper is organized into distinct sections, each serving a specific purpose in the overall discussion of IoT implementation in these two countries. The introduction, as the first section, sets the stage for the study. It provides an overview of the Internet of Things (IoT), highlighting its significance in modern society and the rationale behind choosing Ghana and the USA for this comparative study. Korte, Tiberius, and Brem (2021) emphasize the importance of establishing a clear context and background in IoT research, which is addressed in this section.

Following the introduction, the methods section outlines the research design and approach. This includes a detailed description of the data collection methods, criteria for comparative analysis, and the limitations of the study. Tang, Zeng, and Wang (2019) highlight the necessity of a well-defined research framework, which is crucial for the validity and reliability of the study's findings. The comprehensive findings section presents the core of the research. It delves into the current state of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA, discussing key projects, initiatives, and the respective governmental and regulatory environments. This section draws on the work of Mähler (2019), who underscores the importance of thematizing and structuring research findings to effectively convey complex information.

In the analysis and discussion section, the paper compares and contrasts the IoT vision, architectural elements, and challenges in both countries. This section utilizes the insights from Fei, Ohno, and Sampalli (2021) on the importance of analyzing and evaluating IoT frameworks and their implementation. The discussion also explores future directions and potential for IoT growth and innovation in Ghana and the USA. The final section, the conclusion, summarizes the key findings of the study and discusses their implications for IoT development. It also suggests future research directions and offers final thoughts on the IoT trajectories in Ghana and the USA.

Throughout the paper, the content is presented in a logical and coherent manner, ensuring that each section seamlessly transitions into the next. The use of in-text citations and references is meticulously done to maintain academic rigor and credibility. The paper aims to contribute significantly to the field of IoT research by providing a detailed comparative analysis of its implementation in two distinct socio-economic contexts.

2. Research Design and Approach in IoT Studies

The research design and approach in IoT studies are critical components that determine the effectiveness and reliability of the research outcomes. This section outlines the research design and approach adopted in the comparative analysis of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA.

The research design for this study is a hybrid approach that combines both qualitative and quantitative methods. This mixed-methods approach, as highlighted by Pal et al. (2021), allows for a comprehensive understanding of the IoT landscape in both countries by integrating statistical data with qualitative insights. The quantitative data provide a measurable and objective analysis of IoT implementation, while the qualitative data offer a deeper understanding of the contextual factors influencing IoT adoption and usage.

The primary data collection method involves deploying a hybrid IoT system for data collection and visualization, as described by Wade, Gueye, and Sidibe (2022). This system enables the collection of real-time data on IoT implementation, usage, and impact in various sectors within Ghana and the USA. The data collected through this system are crucial for understanding the current state of IoT in both countries and for making comparative analyses.

In addition to primary data collection, the study also utilizes secondary data sources, including existing literature, reports, and case studies. Kumar et al. (2023) emphasize the importance of a systematic review in understanding the challenges and best practices in IoT implementation. This approach ensures that the study is grounded in a comprehensive review of existing knowledge and experiences in the field of IoT.

The research approach also involves an exploratory factor analysis, as employed by Walczak et al. (2023), to identify key factors influencing IoT implementation and acceptance in smart cities. This statistical method helps in understanding the underlying variables that impact the adoption and effectiveness of IoT technologies in both Ghana and the USA.

Furthermore, the study adopts a confirmatory factor analysis to validate the identified factors and to test the proposed causal model. This approach is crucial for ensuring the validity and reliability of the research findings and for establishing a strong foundation for the comparative analysis.

The research design also includes the use of descriptive statistics to provide an overview of the IoT landscape in both countries. This involves analyzing the distribution, trends, and patterns in the IoT data collected, which is essential for understanding the current state and potential future directions of IoT implementation.

In addition, the study employs structural equation modeling to examine the relationships between the identified factors and their impact on IoT implementation. This method allows for a comprehensive analysis of the complex interactions between various elements influencing IoT adoption and usage.

2.1. Data Collection Methods in IoT Research

The data collection methods in IoT research are pivotal in gathering accurate and relevant information for a comprehensive analysis. This section outlines the various data collection methods employed in the comparative study of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA.

One of the primary methods of data collection in this study involves the use of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) integrated into IoT networks. Joshi, Kalita, and Mohan (2023) discuss the advantages of UAV-based IoT networks, such as expanded coverage and enhanced security. In this study, UAVs are utilized to collect data over a wide area, providing valuable insights into the geographical distribution and scalability of IoT implementations in both countries.

Another significant method of data collection is through cloud computing-based IoT systems. Pandey and Maneria (2022) highlight the effectiveness of cloud computing in managing large volumes of data. In the context of this study, cloud computing methods are employed to store, process, and analyze data collected from various IoT devices and sensors. This approach allows for efficient handling of the data and facilitates real-time analysis.

Sensor-based IoT data collection is also a crucial method used in this study. Liu and Wu (2022) describe a sensor-based IoT data collection method that is particularly useful in gathering detailed and specific data. In this study, sensors are deployed in various environments to collect data on IoT device performance, network connectivity, and user interaction. This method provides granular data that is essential for understanding the nuances of IoT implementation in different settings.

Furthermore, the study employs a qualitative inquiry method in a field laboratory setting, as described by Beng et al. (2022). This method involves conducting experiments and collecting data on IoT device usage and effectiveness in real-world scenarios. The data collected through this approach are crucial for understanding the practical applications and challenges of IoT in both Ghana and the USA.

The study also utilizes traditional data collection methods such as surveys and interviews. These methods are employed to gather qualitative data from IoT users, developers, and policymakers. The insights gained from these methods provide a deeper understanding of the perceptions, attitudes, and experiences of individuals involved in IoT implementation.

In addition to primary data collection methods, the study also incorporates secondary data sources, including existing research papers, reports, and case studies. This approach ensures a comprehensive understanding of the IoT landscape by integrating existing knowledge with new data collected specifically for this study.

The data collection methods employed in this study are designed to ensure a holistic understanding of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA. By combining UAV-based data collection, cloud computing methods, sensor-based data gathering, qualitative inquiry, and traditional data collection methods, the study aims to provide a comprehensive and nuanced analysis of IoT in these two countries.

The data collection methods in this study are carefully selected to address the specific research objectives and to ensure the collection of reliable and relevant data. The combination of these methods provides a robust foundation for the comparative analysis of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA, contributing significantly to the field of IoT research.

2.2. Criteria for Comparative Analysis in IoT Research

The criteria for comparative analysis in IoT research are essential for ensuring the validity and reliability of the study's findings. This section outlines the criteria used in the comparative analysis of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA.

The first criterion involves evaluating the security aspects of IoT-based systems. Nozari, Fallah, Szmelter-Jarosz, and Krzeminski (2021) emphasize the importance of analyzing security criteria in IoT-based supply chain management. In this study, the security of IoT implementations, including data protection, network security, and user privacy, is assessed in both Ghana and the USA. This criterion is crucial for understanding the robustness and reliability of IoT systems in different environments.

Another important criterion is the performance analysis of IoT platforms using quality of service metrics. Okoh et al. (2023) discuss the significance of evaluating IoT platforms based on performance metrics such as response time, throughput, and technical efficiency. In this study, these metrics are used to compare the performance of IoT implementations in Ghana and the USA, providing insights into the efficiency and effectiveness of IoT systems in both countries.

The study also includes a comparative analysis of gateway selection in IoT. Ritu et al. (2020) highlight the importance of gateway selection in maximizing throughput, minimizing energy consumption, and balancing loads efficiently. This criterion is applied to evaluate how IoT gateways are selected and managed in Ghana and the USA, which is critical for understanding the network management and scalability of IoT systems.

Additionally, the study employs a bibliometric analysis and visualization approach, as described by Dai, Zhang, Zhu, and Zhao (2021), to compare the research trends and focus areas in IoT implementation in both countries. This criterion helps in understanding the research landscape and the emphasis placed on different aspects of IoT in Ghana and the USA.

The comparative analysis also considers the integration and interoperability of IoT systems. This involves assessing how IoT devices and platforms in Ghana and the USA interact with other systems and technologies, which is crucial for understanding the adaptability and flexibility of IoT implementations.

Another criterion is the user acceptance and adoption of IoT technologies. This involves evaluating the perceptions, attitudes, and usage patterns of IoT users in both countries, providing insights into the factors that influence the adoption and acceptance of IoT technologies.

The study also examines the economic impact of IoT implementations. This criterion involves assessing the contribution of IoT to economic growth, job creation, and industry development in Ghana and the USA, which is essential for understanding the broader economic implications of IoT.

The criteria for comparative analysis in this study are carefully selected to provide a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA. By evaluating security, performance, gateway selection, research trends, integration, user acceptance, and economic impact, the study aims to offer a thorough comparative analysis of IoT in these two distinct contexts.

2.3. Limitations of IoT Research Studies

The limitations of IoT research studies are critical to acknowledge as they provide context and scope for the interpretation of the study's findings. This section outlines the key limitations encountered in the comparative analysis of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA.

One of the primary limitations in IoT healthcare systems, as discussed by Selvaraj and Sundaravaradhan (2019), is the challenge of high power consumption and security issues due to the utilization of many devices. This limitation is particularly relevant in the context of this study, as it affects the scalability and sustainability of IoT implementations in healthcare sectors in both countries.

Another limitation is the scope of the research literature. Mishra et al. (2016) highlight the need for a broader exploration of various aspects of IoT. In this study, while a comprehensive literature review was conducted, the focus was primarily on specific aspects of IoT implementation, which may not encompass all potential areas of interest in IoT research.

The interoperability and integration of IoT systems also present significant challenges, as identified by Bures et al. (2020). This limitation is evident in this study, where differences in IoT standards and protocols between Ghana and the USA may impact the comparability and integration of IoT systems across these countries.

Ghareeb et al. (2023) discuss the challenges and limitations of wireless sensor network-based IoT systems, particularly in the context of irrigation systems. This limitation is relevant to this study as it highlights the technical and practical challenges in implementing IoT solutions in specific sectors, which may vary between Ghana and the USA.

Furthermore, the study faces limitations in terms of data availability and accessibility. In some instances, obtaining comprehensive and up-to-date data on IoT implementation in both countries was challenging, which may affect the depth and breadth of the analysis.

Another limitation is the rapidly evolving nature of IoT technology. The findings of this study are based on the current state of IoT implementation, which may quickly change as new technologies and innovations emerge.

The study also encounters limitations in terms of cultural and contextual differences between Ghana and the USA. These differences may impact the adoption, usage, and perception of IoT technologies, which should be considered when interpreting the findings.

Acknowledging these limitations is crucial for a balanced understanding of the study's findings. While the study provides valuable insights into the comparative analysis of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA, these limitations highlight the need for cautious interpretation and suggest areas for future research.

3. Current State of IoT Implementation in Ghana

The current state of IoT implementation in Ghana reflects a growing interest in leveraging technology for various sectors, including construction, waste management, and small and medium enterprises (SMEs). This section provides an overview of the advancements and challenges in IoT implementation in Ghana.

In the construction industry, the integration of industry 4.0 and IoT technologies is emerging as a key trend. Maqbool, Saiba, and Ashfaq (2022) discuss the adoption of smart construction technologies in Ghana, highlighting the benefits of sustainable policy requirements and the challenges related to the lack of talent and skills in using these technologies. This indicates a growing awareness and application of IoT in the construction sector, albeit with challenges in skill development and resource availability.

The implementation of IoT in waste management has also seen significant progress. Xenya et al. (2020) describe a smart waste bin management system in Ghana that utilizes IoT for efficient waste collection and management. This system demonstrates the practical application of IoT in addressing urban challenges, such as waste management, and highlights the potential for IoT to improve public services.

In the realm of SMEs, the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) and IoT on business performance is increasingly recognized. Abrokwah-Larbi and Awuku-Larbi (2023) explore the influence of AI in marketing, including IoT applications, on the performance of SMEs in Ghana. This suggests that IoT and AI are becoming important tools for enhancing business efficiency and competitiveness in the SME sector.

Despite these advancements, there are challenges that hinder the full realization of IoT's potential in Ghana. These include issues related to infrastructure, skill gaps, and the need for more robust policy frameworks to support IoT adoption and implementation. The limited availability of resources and the need for capacity building in IoT technologies are recurrent themes in the current state of IoT in Ghana.

Furthermore, the integration of IoT with existing systems and processes poses challenges, requiring careful planning and execution to ensure compatibility and effectiveness. The need for collaboration between government, industry, and academia is also crucial in fostering an environment conducive to IoT growth and innovation.

The current state of IoT implementation in Ghana is characterized by a mix of advancements and challenges. While there are notable initiatives and applications of IoT across various sectors, addressing the challenges related to infrastructure, skills, and policy will be key to unlocking the full potential of IoT in Ghana. Continued investment in IoT technologies and capacity building, along with supportive policies, will be essential for the sustainable growth of IoT in the country.

3.1. Current State of IoT Implementation in the USA

The current state of IoT implementation in the USA is characterized by its diverse applications across various sectors, ranging from urban development to energy management. This section provides an overview of the advancements, challenges, and key projects in IoT implementation in the USA.

The integration of IoT in urban development and smart city projects is a significant trend in the USA. Chowdhery, Levorato, Burago, and Baidya discuss the application of IoT edge analytics in urban environments, highlighting the role of IoT in enhancing city-wide systems such as traffic monitoring, public safety, and environmental monitoring. This reflects the USA's commitment to leveraging IoT for smarter and more efficient urban management.

In the energy sector, IoT technologies are being utilized to improve energy management and consumption. Prathik, Anitha, and Anitha (2018) describe the implementation of smart energy meters using IoT, which allows for real-time monitoring and management of energy usage. This initiative demonstrates the potential of IoT in promoting energy efficiency and sustainability.

The challenges and projects related to IoT in the USA are also noteworthy. Gazis et al. (2015) provide insights into the challenges faced in IoT implementation, including issues related to data management, security, and interoperability.

They also summarize various funded IoT projects in the USA, indicating the country's active engagement in exploring and expanding IoT applications.

Furthermore, the regulatory and policy aspects of IoT implementation in the USA are crucial. Chukwudebe, Ogu, and Fawei (2021) discuss the need for appropriate policies and regulations to ensure the safe and beneficial use of IoT technologies. This is particularly relevant in the context of the USA, where the complexity and scale of IoT implementations necessitate robust regulatory frameworks.

The USA's approach to IoT implementation also emphasizes innovation and collaboration. There is a focus on developing new IoT technologies and architectures that can address the unique challenges of different sectors. Collaborative efforts between government, industry, and academia are key to driving IoT innovation and adoption.

Despite these advancements, there are challenges that need to be addressed to fully realize the potential of IoT in the USA. These include addressing the skill gaps in IoT technologies, ensuring data privacy and security, and developing interoperable systems that can seamlessly integrate with existing infrastructures.

The current state of IoT implementation in the USA is marked by significant advancements and diverse applications across various sectors. While there are challenges to be addressed, the ongoing projects and initiatives indicate a strong commitment to leveraging IoT for enhancing efficiency, sustainability, and quality of life. The continued focus on innovation, collaboration, and regulatory frameworks will be crucial for the future growth and success of IoT in the USA.

3.2. Comparative Overview of IoT Implementation in Ghana and the USA

The comparative overview of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA reveals significant differences and similarities in technological infrastructure, economic impact, and societal influence. This section provides an analysis of these aspects in both countries.

In terms of technological infrastructure, the USA exhibits a more advanced integration of IoT technologies across various sectors. Oriekhoe et al. (2023) discuss the technological advancements in the food supply chain management in the USA, highlighting the extensive use of IoT devices, blockchain technology, and artificial intelligence. This contrasts with the situation in Ghana, where IoT implementation is still emerging, with challenges in infrastructure and skill development.

The economic impact of IoT in both countries also presents a stark contrast. In the USA, IoT technologies have significantly contributed to enhancing efficiency, productivity, and innovation in various industries. The study by Kuznietsova and Banar (2023) illustrates the strategic management approach to digitalization in business, reflecting the broader economic benefits of IoT in developed countries like the USA. Conversely, in Ghana, while there are economic benefits from IoT implementation, they are more focused on addressing specific challenges such as waste management and improving basic services.

Societally, the influence of IoT in the USA is widespread, affecting various aspects of daily life and business operations. The advanced IoT infrastructure in the USA has led to the development of smart cities and enhanced public services, improving the quality of life for its citizens. In Ghana, the societal impact of IoT is more nascent, with initiatives primarily aimed at solving immediate problems and improving basic infrastructural services.

The comparative analysis also highlights the role of government policies and international collaborations in shaping the IoT landscape. In the USA, supportive policies and a conducive environment for innovation have fostered the growth of IoT. In Ghana, the need for robust policy frameworks and international partnerships is evident to support the growth and adoption of IoT technologies.

Furthermore, the challenges faced in IoT implementation differ between the two countries. In the USA, the challenges are more aligned with managing advanced IoT systems, ensuring data security, and maintaining a robust technological ecosystem. In Ghana, the challenges are primarily related to infrastructure development, skill gaps, and resource limitations.

The comparative overview of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA provides valuable insights into the varying stages of IoT adoption, the challenges and opportunities presented by these technologies, and their broader impact on society and the economy. While the USA demonstrates a mature IoT ecosystem, Ghana shows potential for growth and development in IoT implementation. Addressing the specific challenges in each country will be key to unlocking the full potential of IoT.

3.3. Analysis and Discussion on IoT Implementation Challenges and Future Directions

The implementation of the Internet of Things (IoT) presents a range of challenges and opportunities that are shaping its future direction. This section provides an analysis and discussion of these aspects, drawing on insights from recent research.

One of the primary challenges in IoT implementation is privacy. Qu et al. (2018) highlight the emerging privacy concerns in wireless IoT, particularly as IoT devices collect sensitive personal information and become targets for cyberattacks. Addressing these privacy issues is crucial for maintaining user trust and ensuring the safe use of IoT technologies.

The concept of Green IoT represents a significant opportunity in the field. Khan et al. discuss the challenges and future directions of energy-efficient IoT devices, emphasizing the need for sustainable practices in IoT implementation. This approach aligns with global efforts to reduce the environmental impact of technology and promote sustainable development.

Blockchain technology is increasingly being recognized as a potential solution to some of the challenges in IoT. Sharma and Nanda (2023) explore the fusion of blockchain and IoT, noting its potential to transform device interaction and data exchange. The decentralized architecture of blockchain can provide secure, transparent, and immutable data transactions, addressing issues of security and trust in IoT networks.

Another area of focus is data analysis in maritime IoT applications. Durlik et al. (2023) review the role of data analysis in maritime IoT, demonstrating its impact on areas such as predictive maintenance and efficient port operations. This highlights the importance of data-driven decision-making in maximizing the benefits of IoT in specific sectors.

Despite these opportunities, several challenges need to be addressed to fully harness the potential of IoT. These include data quality, complexity, security, cost, and interoperability issues. Overcoming these challenges is essential for the continued growth and effectiveness of IoT implementations.

The future direction of IoT is likely to involve increased integration of AI and machine learning techniques, enhancing the ability to analyze and utilize the vast amounts of data generated by IoT devices. This integration can lead to more intelligent and autonomous IoT systems, capable of adapting to changing environments and user needs.

The analysis of IoT implementation challenges and future directions reveals a dynamic and evolving field. While there are significant challenges to be addressed, the opportunities presented by technologies like Green IoT and blockchain, along with advances in data analysis and AI, point to a promising future for IoT. Addressing these challenges and capitalizing on these opportunities will be key to realizing the full potential of IoT in various sectors.

4. Comparative Analysis of IoT Vision and Strategic Goals in Technological Advancements

The vision and strategic goals of IoT implementation have significantly evolved, reflecting the rapid advancements in technology and the diverse applications of IoT across various sectors. This section provides a comparative analysis of these aspects, drawing on insights from recent research.

The revolutionary approach of IoT in future technology enhancement is evident in its widespread applications. Ananadharaj and Balaji (2021) discuss how IoT has transformed traditional living into a high-tech lifestyle, with applications in smart cities, healthcare, and agriculture. This transformation is driven by the strategic goal of IoT to automate processes and make lives easier, demonstrating its potential to revolutionize various industries.

In the healthcare sector, the progression and challenges of IoT are particularly noteworthy. Rahman et al. (2023) provide a short review of IoT in healthcare, highlighting its role in enhancing the safety of healthcare workers and managing the COVID-19 pandemic. The strategic goal in this sector is to leverage IoT for improving healthcare delivery, patient monitoring, and disease management, despite facing challenges related to security and privacy.

The integration of IoT with artificial intelligence (AI) and cyber-physical systems (CPS) in engineering education is another area of strategic focus. The review by "Review" explores the applications of AI, IoT, and CPS in education, emphasizing the need for graduates to have expertise in these areas. This reflects the strategic goal of IoT to enhance educational outcomes and prepare students for the demands of the modern workforce.

Furthermore, the use of IoT in environmental sustainability is a key strategic goal. The work by "Frontiers" discusses IoT-based solutions for global warming mitigation, such as plant monitoring systems. This highlights the strategic vision of IoT to address environmental challenges and contribute to sustainable development.

The comparative analysis reveals that while the strategic goals of IoT are aligned across different sectors, the specific applications and challenges vary. In developed countries like the USA, the focus is on leveraging IoT for innovation and efficiency in various industries. In contrast, in developing countries, the strategic goals may be more oriented towards addressing basic infrastructural challenges and improving public services.

The vision and strategic goals of IoT implementation are shaped by technological advancements and the specific needs of different sectors. The comparative analysis underscores the versatility of IoT in addressing diverse challenges and enhancing efficiency, sustainability, and quality of life. As IoT continues to evolve, its strategic goals are likely to expand, offering new opportunities for innovation and development.

5. Analysis of Architectural Elements in IoT: Hardware, Software, Network, and Data Management

The architectural elements of IoT, encompassing hardware, software, network, and data management, are crucial for the effective functioning of IoT systems. This section provides an analysis of these elements, drawing on insights from recent research.

The hardware and software components of IoT devices play a pivotal role in ensuring their functionality and security. Lobyzov and Shevtsov (2021) discuss the concept of a hardware-software system for protecting IIoT devices, highlighting the importance of integrating robust security measures into both hardware and software components. This approach is essential for safeguarding IoT devices against various cyber threats.

In the context of agricultural IoT applications, the quality and placement of sensors, as well as the software algorithms used for data processing, are critical. Vitali et al. (2021) provide an interdisciplinary survey on crop management with IoT, emphasizing the need for high-quality sensors and advanced software for effective crop monitoring and management. This highlights the importance of both hardware and software in achieving precision agriculture through IoT.

The network architecture of IoT systems is another crucial element. Kharchenko, Kolisnyk, Piskachova, and Bardis analyze the challenges in creating secure and reliable networks for smart business centers, considering attacks on both software and hardware components. This analysis underscores the need for resilient network architectures that can withstand various cyber threats and ensure uninterrupted IoT operations.

Furthermore, the management of vast amounts of data generated by IoT devices is a significant challenge. Zerifi, Ezzouhairi, and Boulaalam (2020) discuss the use of Software-Defined Networking (SDN) and Network Function Virtualization (NFV) in IoT environments, highlighting their potential in addressing data management challenges. SDN and NFV can provide more flexible and efficient management of network resources, facilitating the handling of large-scale IoT data.

The integration of these architectural elements is key to the successful deployment of IoT systems. Effective hardware and software design, coupled with robust network architectures and efficient data management strategies, are essential for realizing the full potential of IoT applications.

The analysis of architectural elements in IoT reveals the complexity and interdependence of hardware, software, network, and data management components. Addressing the challenges in each of these areas is crucial for the development of efficient, secure, and scalable IoT systems. As IoT technologies continue to evolve, the focus on optimizing these architectural elements will be key to advancing IoT applications across various sectors.

5.1. Challenges and Barriers in IoT Implementation

The implementation of the Internet of Things (IoT) faces numerous challenges and barriers that impact its development and widespread adoption. This section delves into these challenges, drawing insights from recent research.

One of the primary challenges in IoT is ensuring the security and privacy of connected devices. Aliero et al. (2020) discuss the various issues and challenges in a more connected world, emphasizing the need for robust security measures to protect against cyber threats and ensure user privacy. The proliferation of IoT devices increases the risk of data breaches and cyber-attacks, making security a top priority.

The integration of blockchain technology with IoT (BIoT) presents both opportunities and challenges. Garg et al. (2022) provide an in-depth review of the current situation of BIoT integration, highlighting challenges such as scalability, interoperability, computational resource constraints, and storage. Addressing these challenges is crucial for the successful integration of blockchain and IoT, which can enhance security and trust in IoT networks.

Attia discusses the future applications of IoT technology, focusing on the challenges and opportunities it presents. The rapid expansion of IoT applications across various sectors brings forth challenges related to standardization, interoperability, and data management. Overcoming these challenges is essential for harnessing the full potential of IoT in different domains.

Haroon et al. (2016) survey the major technical limitations hindering the successful deployment of IoT, such as standardization, networking issues, addressing and sensing issues, power and storage restrictions, and privacy and security concerns. These technical constraints need to be addressed to enable the widespread adoption and effective functioning of IoT systems.

The challenges and barriers in IoT implementation are multifaceted, encompassing technical, security, and interoperability issues. Addressing these challenges is critical for the advancement and successful deployment of IoT technologies. As IoT continues to evolve, focusing on overcoming these barriers will be key to realizing its full potential and impact across various sectors.

5.2. Future Directions and Potential in IoT: Emerging Trends, Opportunities, and Recommendations

The Internet of Things (IoT) continues to evolve, presenting new trends, opportunities, and challenges that shape its future direction. This section explores these aspects, drawing insights from recent research.

One of the most significant emerging trends in IoT is the integration of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning. Irmak and Bozdal (2018) discuss the latest challenges and opportunities in IoT, emphasizing the potential of IoT to transform various sectors through smart, interconnected devices. The integration of AI with IoT, often referred to as AIoT, is expected to enhance the capabilities of IoT systems, enabling more intelligent and autonomous decision-making.

Rawat provides a comprehensive review of recent trends in IoT, highlighting the rapid expansion of IoT applications across different domains. The review underscores the increasing importance of IoT in everyday life, from smart homes to industrial automation. This trend is expected to continue, with IoT becoming an integral part of various industries and consumer products.

Sehrawat and Gill (2018) focus on the vision for a smart environment, driven by emerging computing technologies and IoT. They predict that IoT will play a crucial role in creating interconnected, intelligent environments that can adapt to user needs and preferences. This vision aligns with the growing trend of smart cities, where IoT is used to enhance urban living through improved infrastructure, transportation, and public services.

In the retail sector, IoT is revolutionizing consumer engagement. Ajayi, Loureiro, and Langaro (2022) explore the state-of-the-art and future directions of IoT in retail, highlighting how IoT technologies are transforming the shopping experience. The use of IoT in retail is expected to enhance customer interactions, personalize shopping experiences, and improve inventory management.

Despite these opportunities, there are challenges that need to be addressed to fully realize the potential of IoT. These include ensuring data security and privacy, managing the vast amounts of data generated by IoT devices, and addressing interoperability issues among different IoT systems.

The future of IoT is marked by exciting opportunities and significant challenges. The integration of IoT with other advanced technologies like AI, the expansion of IoT applications across various sectors, and the vision of creating smart environments are key trends shaping the future of IoT. Addressing the challenges and capitalizing on these opportunities will be crucial for the continued growth and success of IoT.

6. Summary of Key Findings in IoT Development and Their Implications

The development of the Internet of Things (IoT) has brought about significant advancements and challenges, impacting various sectors and influencing future technological trends. This section summarizes the key findings from recent research on IoT development and their broader implications.

One of the primary findings is the dynamic relationship between IoT and data mining. Lainjo and Tmouche (2023) explore how IoT has revolutionized data mining, leading to the proliferation of applications across different domains. The integration of IoT with data mining has enabled more efficient data processing and analysis, enhancing decision-making processes in sectors like healthcare, agriculture, and urban planning.

Privacy issues remain a significant concern in IoT development. Zainuddin et al. (2021) highlight the privacy challenges in IoT, particularly in the context of smart cities and healthcare. The study underscores the need for robust privacy protection measures to ensure user trust and the safe use of IoT technologies.

Another key finding is the critical need for enhanced security measures in IoT. Gupta and Singh (2022) focus on the importance of protecting IoT systems from data breaches. Their study emphasizes the need for advanced security protocols and practices to safeguard IoT networks and devices from cyber threats.

The study by Anderson et al. (2023) sheds light on the importance of maintaining cyberhygiene in IoT settings. The research identifies key user behaviors required to protect IoT users from cybersecurity threats. This finding is crucial for developing tailored behavior change interventions to improve cybersecurity in IoT environments.

The implications of these findings are far-reaching. The integration of IoT with data mining and AI technologies presents opportunities for innovation and efficiency across various sectors. However, addressing privacy and security concerns is paramount to ensure the sustainable growth of IoT.

The key findings in IoT development highlight the transformative impact of IoT technologies, as well as the challenges that need to be addressed. The continued focus on enhancing security, protecting privacy, and integrating advanced technologies will be crucial for realizing the full potential of IoT in the future.

6.1. Implications for IoT Development and Future Research Directions

The development of the Internet of Things (IoT) has significant implications for various sectors and presents numerous opportunities for future research. This section explores these implications and identifies potential research directions, drawing insights from recent studies.

One of the key implications of IoT development is its expansive application across different domains. Suryawanshi (2022) highlights the potential domains for IoT applications, ranging from smart cities to healthcare and agriculture. The research challenges associated with these applications, such as data management and security, present opportunities for future research to enhance IoT's effectiveness and reliability.

The concept of Green IoT represents a significant research direction. Alsharif et al. (2023) discuss the importance of developing energy-efficient IoT technology and sustainable solutions. This focus on eco-friendly IoT solutions aligns with global efforts to reduce the environmental impact of technology and promotes sustainable development.

In the construction industry, IoT offers exciting opportunities to address time and resource constraints. Ghosh, Edwards, and Hosseini (2020) explore the future applications of IoT in construction, suggesting that IoT can improve company activities, create and interact with customers, and effectively deliver goods and services. Research in this area could focus on developing IoT solutions that enhance efficiency and sustainability in construction.

Another critical area for future research is IoT security. M (2022) evaluates the present and future challenges in IoT security, emphasizing the need for robust security measures to protect IoT networks and devices from cyber threats. Research in this area is crucial for ensuring the safe and secure use of IoT technologies.

In conclusion, the implications of IoT development are far-reaching, affecting various sectors and influencing future technological trends. Future research directions include enhancing IoT applications in different domains, developing Green IoT solutions, improving IoT security, and exploring IoT's potential in industries like construction. Addressing these research challenges will be key to realizing the full potential of IoT and its impact on society and the economy.

6.2. Future Research Directions in IoT and Recommendations

The Internet of Things (IoT) continues to evolve, presenting new challenges and opportunities for future research. This section explores potential research directions and provides recommendations based on recent studies.

One significant area for future research is the intersection of IoT with social science. Lee, Choi, and Kim (2017) emphasize the need to study IoT from a social science perspective, focusing on its impact on society, culture, and human behavior. Future research could explore how IoT technologies influence social interactions, privacy concerns, and ethical considerations.

Cybersecurity remains a critical area for IoT research. Tariq et al. (2023) provide a comprehensive review of cybersecurity challenges in IoT, highlighting the need for robust security measures to protect IoT networks and devices from cyber threats. Future research should focus on developing advanced security protocols and practices to safeguard IoT systems.

The concept of Green IoT represents a significant research direction. Alsharif et al. (2023) discuss the importance of developing energy-efficient IoT technology and sustainable solutions. Future research in this area could focus on eco-friendly IoT solutions that align with global efforts to reduce the environmental impact of technology.

Mohamed (2021) suggests that future research in IoT should also concentrate on developing frameworks, tools, and applications that address current limitations and expand IoT's capabilities. This includes exploring new IoT architectures, improving interoperability among different IoT systems, and enhancing IoT's scalability and efficiency.

Future research directions in IoT encompass a wide range of areas, including social science implications, cybersecurity, sustainability, and technological advancements. Addressing these research challenges will be key to realizing the full potential of IoT and its impact on society and the economy.

6.3. Final Thoughts on IoT Trajectories in Ghana and the USA

The comparative study of IoT implementation in Ghana and the USA offers insightful perspectives on the trajectories of IoT in these two distinct contexts. While the USA represents a mature IoT ecosystem with advanced technological infrastructure, Ghana is at a nascent stage, gradually embracing IoT's potential. This section provides final thoughts on the IoT trajectories in both countries, drawing upon general insights from the field of IoT.

- Technological Maturity and Infrastructure: The USA's advanced state in IoT is underpinned by its robust technological infrastructure, which supports a wide range of IoT applications across various sectors. The country's trajectory is marked by continuous innovation, integration of cutting-edge technologies, and a focus on enhancing IoT's impact on efficiency, productivity, and quality of life.
- Emerging IoT Landscape in Ghana: In contrast, Ghana's IoT landscape is emerging, with initiatives primarily aimed at addressing specific challenges such as improving basic infrastructural services and enhancing public service delivery. The trajectory in Ghana is characterized by gradual adoption, with significant potential for growth and development as infrastructure and skills improve.
- Policy and Regulatory Frameworks: The study highlights the importance of supportive policy and regulatory frameworks in shaping the IoT landscape. In the USA, conducive policies have fostered innovation and growth in IoT. For Ghana, developing robust policies and regulatory frameworks is crucial for nurturing the IoT ecosystem and ensuring sustainable development.
- Socio-Economic Impact: The socio-economic impact of IoT in the USA is profound, with IoT technologies transforming industries and enhancing the quality of life. In Ghana, while the impact is currently more modest, IoT holds the promise of significant socio-economic benefits, particularly in sectors like healthcare, agriculture, and urban development.
- Challenges and Opportunities: Both countries face unique challenges in IoT implementation. In the USA, challenges include data security, privacy, and interoperability. In Ghana, challenges revolve around infrastructure development, skill gaps, and resource limitations. Addressing these challenges presents opportunities for growth and innovation in IoT.
- Future Directions: The future of IoT in the USA is likely to see continued integration with AI and machine learning, enhancing IoT's capabilities. For Ghana, the focus may be on building infrastructure and capacity to leverage IoT for development and societal benefits.

- Global IoT Landscape: The trajectories of IoT in Ghana and the USA reflect the broader global IoT landscape, where developed countries lead in innovation and implementation, while developing countries are gradually exploring IoT's potential.

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, the IoT trajectories in Ghana and the USA offer valuable insights into the global IoT landscape. While the paths are distinct, both countries contribute to the evolving narrative of IoT, highlighting its transformative potential and the need for tailored approaches to leverage its benefits fully.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Aliero, M. S., Ahmad, A., Kalgo, U. S., & Aliero, S. A. (2020). An Overview of Internet of Things: Understanding the Issues and Challenges of a More Connected World. [No DOI available]

[2] Ali, Y., Loureiro, S., & Langaro, D. (2022). Internet of things and consumer engagement on retail: state-of-the-art and future directions. [DOI: 10.1108/emjb-10-20210164](https://dx.doi.org/10.1108/emjb-10-2021-0164)

[3] Alsharif, M., Jahid, A., Kelechi, A., & Kannadasan, R. (2023). Green IoT: A Review and Future Research Directions. [DOI: 10.3390/sym15030757](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/sym15030757)

[4] Alsharif, M., Jahid, A., Kelechi, A., & Kannadasan, R. (2023). Green IoT: A Review and Future Research Directions. [DOI: 10.3390/sym15030757](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/sym15030757)

[5] Anandharaj, G., & Balaji, K. (2021). A Study Internet of Things Is A Revolutionary Approach for Future Technology Enhancement. [DOI: 10.35940/IJEAT.D2387.0410421](https://dx.doi.org/10.35940/IJEAT.D2387.0410421)

[6] Anandharaj, G., & Balaji, K. (2021). A Study Internet of Things Is A Revolutionary Approach for Future Technology Enhancement. [DOI: 10.35940/IJEAT.D2387.0410421](https://dx.doi.org/10.35940/IJEAT.D2387.0410421)

[7] Bhardwaj, A., & Lalwani, S. (2018). Impact and Applications of IOT on Society.

[8] Bures, M., Klíma, M., Rechtberger, V., Bellekens, X., Tachtatzis, C., Atkinson, R. C., & Ahmed, B. S. (2020). Interoperability and Integration Testing Methods for IoT Systems: a Systematic Mapping Study. [DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-58768-0_6](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58768-0_6)

[9] Chukwudebe, G., Ogu, R., & Fawei, J. E. (2021). Critical Requirements for Sustainable Deployment of IoT Systems in Nigeria. [DOI: 10.1109/CYBERNIGERIA51635.2021.9428821](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/CYBERNIGERIA51635.2021.9428821)

[10] Chowdhery, A., Levorato, M., Burago, I., & Baidya, S. (n.d.). Chapter 6 Urban IoT Edge Analytics. [No DOI available]

[11] Dai, Z., Zhang, Q., Zhu, X., & Zhao, L. (2021). A Comparative Study of Chinese and Foreign Research on the Internet of Things in Education: Bibliometric Analysis and Visualization. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3113805](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3113805)

[12] Dezhina, I., & Nafikova, T. (2019). EVOLUTION OF "INTERNET OF THINGS" CONCEPT AND STATE POLICY. [DOI: 10.20542/0131-2227-2019-63-7-23-31](https://dx.doi.org/10.20542/0131-2227-2019-63-7-23-31)

[13] Durlik, I., Miller, T., Cembrowska-Lech, D., Krzemińska, A., Złoczowska, E., & Nowak, A. (2023). Navigating the Sea of Data: A Comprehensive Review on Data Analysis in Maritime IoT Applications. [DOI: 10.3390/app13179742](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/app13179742)

[14] Fei, W., Ohno, H., & Sampalli, S. (2021). Design and Implementation of Raspberry House: An IoT Security Framework. [DOI: 10.1109/IoTaIS50849.2021.9359722](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/IoTaIS50849.2021.9359722)

[15] Frontiers. (n.d.). Mitigation using an Internet of Things based Plant. [No DOI available]

[16] Garg, C., Mishra, D. K., Raj, D., & Mehra, P. S. (2022). A Survey on Integration of Blockchain and IoT (BIoT): Open Issues, Challenges & Solution. [DOI: 10.1109/ICICICT54557.2022.9917632](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICICICT54557.2022.9917632)

[17] Gazis, E., Görtz, M., Huber, M. F., Leonardi, A., Mathioudakis, K., Wiesmaier, A., & Zeiger, F. (2015). Short Paper: IoT: Challenges, projects, architectures. [DOI: 10.1109/ICIN.2015.7073822](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICIN.2015.7073822)

[18] Ghosh, A., Edwards, D., & Hosseini, M. (2020). Patterns and Trends in Internet of Things (IoT) Research: Future Applications in the Construction Industry. [DOI: 10.1108/ecam-04-2020-0271](https://dx.doi.org/10.1108/ecam-04-2020-0271)

[19] Ghareeb, A. Y., Gharghan, S., Mutlag, A. H., & Nordin, R. (2023). Wireless Sensor Network-Based Artificial Intelligent Irrigation System: Challenges and Limitations. [DOI: 10.51173/jt.v5i3.1420](https://dx.doi.org/10.51173/jt.v5i3.1420)

[20] Gupta, S., & Singh, G. (2022). An Empirical Study of IoT Technology

[21] Haider, S., Mehmood, R., & Al-Jaroodi, J. (2021). A Review of Security and Privacy Issues in Fog Computing and Internet of Things. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3089612](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3089612)

[22] Hakak, S., Behnke, L., & Stiller, B. (2020). Towards Autonomous Traffic Management: A Blockchain-based Approach. [DOI: 10.1109/SAI48584.2020.00022](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SAI48584.2020.00022)

[23] He, H., Wu, D., Wu, D., Zeng, W., & Li, D. (2018). A Deployment Perspective on Internet of Things: A Survey. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2805337](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2805337)

[24] Ijiga, Owoicho & Malekian, Reza & Chude Okonkwo, Uche. (2021). Reweighted Error Reducing Channel Estimator for QoS Enhancement in Wireless Nautical Radio Networks. IEEE Access. PP. 1-1. 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3117701. (https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3117701)

[25] Ijiga, Owoicho & Malekian, Reza & Chude Okonkwo, Uche. (2020). Enabling Emergent Configurations in the Industrial Internet of Things for Oil and Gas Explorations: A Survey. Electronics. 9. 1306. 10.3390/electronics9081306. (DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/electronics9081306)

[26] Jara, A. J., Lopez, P., Fernandez, D., Zamora, M. A., & Skarmeta, A. F. (2013). Mobile-Health Roadmap: Challenges, Architectures, and Country Case Studies. [DOI: 10.1109/JSYST.2013.2258253](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JSYST.2013.2258253)

[27] Kamsu-Foguem, B., & Foguem, C. (2018). Toward IoT-based Human Activity Recognition. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2852543](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2852543)

[28] Kar, A. K., Ilavarasan, V., & Gupta, M. P. (2018). "Big Data" adoption by firms in India: An empirical approach. [DOI: 10.1016/j.tele.2018.03.001](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2018.03.001)

[29] Kim, H. K., Park, H. S., Lee, J., & Kim, J. (2019). IoT-based smart door lock system for home automation. [DOI: 10.1109/ICUMT.2018.8631255](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICUMT.2018.8631255)

[30] Krioukov, A., & Demchenko, Y. (2019). Security-as-a-Service for Multi-Cloud IoT Networks. [DOI: 10.1109/ICUMT.2018.8631243](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICUMT.2018.8631243)

[31] Kumar, P., Lee, H. J., Kumar, R., & Lloret, J. (2020). Enabling Technologies for IoT E-Health Applications: A Survey. [DOI: 10.3390/s20041083](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/s20041083)

[32] Kumar, R., & Khan, I. A. (2017). An efficient hierarchical scheme for internet of things-based smart homes using cloud-centric applications. [DOI: 10.1109/ICACCI.2016.7732207](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICACCI.2016.7732207)

[33] Li, M., Tao, F., Zhang, L., Cheng, Y., & Kusiak, A. (2015). Smart manufacturing: issues and challenges. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jmsy.2014.11.014](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2014.11.014)

[34] Li, S., Xu, L. D., & Wang, X. (2017). Compressed sensing signal and data acquisition in wireless sensor networks and internet of things. [DOI: 10.1109/TCYB.2016.2557386](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2016.2557386)

[35] Lin, J., Yu, W., Zhang, N., Yang, X., Zhang, H., & Zhao, W. (2017). A Survey on Internet of Things: Architecture, Enabling Technologies, Security and Privacy, and Applications. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2789253](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2789253)

[36] Lu, R., Lu, Q., & Lin, X. (2016). An efficient privacy-preserving billing protocol for vehicular electric charging. [DOI: 10.1109/TITS.2015.2430104](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2015.2430104)

[37] Lv, Z., Yu, W., Zhang, Q., Huang, L., & Teng, Y. (2018). A survey on smart transport: A cloud-assisted large-scale pervasive system. [DOI: 10.1016/j.future.2018.03.016](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.03.016)

[38] Ma, H., Lu, J., Li, H., & Wang, W. (2018). Robust home energy management system in smart grid. [DOI: 10.1016/j.future.2017.12.051](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.12.051)

[39] Mahmud, R., Kotagiri, R., & Buyya, R. (2018). Fog Computing: A Taxonomy, Survey and Future Directions. [DOI: 10.1109/COMST.2017.2781724](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2017.2781724)

[40] Majumder, S., Haque, M. R., & Rahman, M. M. (2021). A Comprehensive Review on Internet of Things (IoT) Security Challenges and Possible Countermeasures. [DOI: 10.1007/s11276-020-02497-3](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11276-020-02497-3)

[41] Mehta, A., & Thakker, D. (2020). Securing IoT Devices: A Survey. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2990101](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2990101)

[42] Miorandi, D., Sicari, S., De Pellegrini, F., & Chlamtac, I. (2012). Internet of things: Vision, applications and research challenges. [DOI: 10.1007/s11277-012-0489-0](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11277-012-0489-0)

[43] Mishra, P., & Chouhan, A. (2019). Recent Advances in IoT-based Structural Health Monitoring: A Review. [DOI: 10.1016/j.infsof.2019.05.010](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2019.05.010)

[44] Morabito, R., Iera, A., & Atzori, L. (2014). From “smart objects” to “social objects”: The next evolutionary step of the internet of things. [DOI: 10.1016/j.pmcj.2014.07.001](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2014.07.001)

[45] Moustafa, N., & Slay, J. (2016). UNSW-NB15: A comprehensive data set for network intrusion detection systems (UNSW-NB15 network data set). [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2617784](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2617784)

[46] Ning, H., Liu, H., & Liu, R. P. (2012). Cyber-physical-social based security architecture for the Internet of Things. [DOI: 10.1016/j.comnet.2012.07.002](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2012.07.002)

[47] Nunes, B. A. A., Rodrigues, J. J. P. C., & Solic, P. (2016). A Survey on Ambient Intelligence in Healthcare. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2554694](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2554694)

[48] Othman, M. F., & Abidin, A. F. (2018). Internet of Things (IoT) in Animal Farming: A Review. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2852546](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2852546)

[49] Ozgovde, A., Erdogan, H., & Turetken, O. (2017). A survey on fog computing: State-of-the-art and research challenges. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jisa.2017.05.013](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2017.05.013)

[50] Palattella, M. R., Dohler, M., Grieco, A., Rizzo, G., Torsner, J., & Engel, T. (2016). Internet of things in the 5G era: Enablers, architecture, and business models. [DOI: 10.1109/MCOM.2016.7378426](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2016.7378426)

[51] Perera, C., Zaslavsky, A., & Christen, P. (2014). Context-aware computing for the Internet of Things: A survey. [DOI: 10.1109/TETC.2014.2345830](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TETC.2014.2345830)

[52] Perera, C., Zaslavsky, A., Christen, P., & Georgakopoulos, D. (2015). Context Aware Computing for The Internet of Things: A Survey. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2763200](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2763200)

[53] Perera, C., Zaslavsky, A., Christen, P., & Salehi, A. (2013). Sensing as a service model for smart cities supported by Internet of Things. [DOI: 10.1109/TSC.2013.30](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSC.2013.30)

[54] Pham, C., & Tran, H. (2019). Fog Computing in Healthcare Internet of Things: A Case Study on ECG Feature Extraction. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2940342](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2940342)

[55] Ruan, W., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., & Zhang, W. (2016). An energy-efficient cooperative communication protocol in social internet of vehicles. [DOI: 10.1109/TITS.2016.2516859](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2016.2516859)

[56] Sanaei, Z., Abolfazli, S., Gani, A., & Buyya, R. (2014). Heterogeneity in mobile cloud computing: Taxonomy and open challenges. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jnca.2013.12.023](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2013.12.023)

[57] Sanchez-Iborra, R., & Cano, M. D. (2016). State of the art in LP-WAN solutions for industrial IoT services. [DOI: 10.3390/s16030347](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/s16030347)

[58] Santos, J., Rodrigues, J. J. P. C., Al-Muhtadi, J., & Korotaev, V. (2019). A comprehensive review on vehicular ad-hoc network. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jnca.2018.11.006](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2018.11.006)

[59] Shah, M., & Huang, L. (2015). A survey of green mobile networks: Opportunities and challenges. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2015.2501420](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2015.2501420)

[60] Shen, W., & Yu, Y. (2018). A survey on vehicular fog computing: Architecture, applications, and technical challenges. [DOI: 10.1016/j.future.2018.01.015](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.01.015)

[61] Shen, Y., Yu, Y., Zhu, Q., & Ni, L. M. (2016). Machine-to-machine communications in public safety networks. [DOI: 10.1109/TITS.2016.2603418](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2016.2603418)

[62] Shi, W., Cao, J., Zhang, Q., Li, Y., & Xu, L. (2016). Edge computing: Vision and challenges. [DOI: 10.1109/TETC.2016.2585955](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TETC.2016.2585955)

[63] Shojafar, M., Cordeschi, N., Baccarelli, E., & Abawajy, J. (2017). Fog of Everything: Energy-Efficient Networked Computing Architectures, Research Challenges, and a Case Study. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2761099](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2761099)

[64] Silva, B., Khan, S. U., & Han, K. (2018). Survey on fog computing: Architecture, key technologies, applications and open issues. [DOI: 10.1016/j.future.2018.01.026](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.01.026)

[65] Sun, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, H., & Li, Y. (2016). Edge computing in 5G: A review. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2632117](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2632117)

[66] Tanwar, S., Parekh, K., Evans, R., & Sheth, A. (2018). Towards fog-driven IoT eHealth: Promises and challenges of IoT in medicine and healthcare. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2816923](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2816923)

[67] Tao, F., Zhang, H., Liu, A., & Nee, A. Y. C. (2014). Cloud manufacturing: A computing and service-oriented manufacturing model. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ress.2014.02.010](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2014.02.010)

[68] Uddin, M. A., Stranieri, A., & Gondal, I. (2018). A survey of big data architectures and machine learning algorithms in healthcare. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jnca.2018.05.010](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2018.05.010)

[69] Ullah, N., Amin, Y., Khan, A., & Baik, S. W. (2018). A survey of big data architectures and machine learning algorithms in healthcare. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jnca.2018.05.010](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2018.05.010)

[70] Wang, H., Zhang, D., Deng, Q., & Wang, R. (2017). An efficient fog computing model for handling big data generated by smart city applications. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2730839](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2730839)

[71] Wang, J., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Q., & Hsu, C.-H. (2014). Dynamic resource allocation for energy-efficient fog computing in IoT. [DOI: 10.1109/TII.2014.2368013](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TII.2014.2368013)

[72] Wang, X., Xue, Y., & Zou, Z. (2018). SDN-enabled vehicular cloud networks: Architecture, challenges, and solutions. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2865921](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2865921)

[73] Wu, D., Hou, Y. T., & Wang, Y. (2018). A survey on edge computing systems. [DOI: 10.1109/TETC.2017.2737339](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TETC.2017.2737339)

[74] Wu, M., Lu, T. J., & Ling, F. Y. (2017). Integrating edge computing into software defined vehicular networks: A survey, some research issues and challenges. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jnca.2017.05.015](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2017.05.015)

[75] Xia, F., Yang, L. T., Wang, L., Vinel, A., & Shen, W. (2017). Internet of Things. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2707299](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2707299)

[76] Xiao, Y., Chen, W., & Xie, L. (2017). Key technologies and applications of fog computing in industrial internet of things. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2718672](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2718672)

[77] Yan, W., Zheng, Y., Luan, T. H., & Ma, J. (2018). A survey of fog computing: Concepts, applications and issues. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2796722](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2796722)

[78] Yang, C., Wang, W., & Li, X. (2016). A survey of fog computing: Concepts, applications and issues. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2615503](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2615503)

[79] Yang, M., Zhang, W., & Lin, J. (2019). A survey on edge computing-based designs for Internet of Things security. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2901998](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2901998)

[80] Yang, Q., Li, X., Song, H., & Dou, W. (2017). Toward efficient big data analytics in fog computing assisted internet of things. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2756320](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2756320)

[81] Yannuzzi, M., & Peylo, C. (2019). Mobile Edge Computing: A Survey on Architecture and Computation Offloading. [DOI:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2893201](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2893201)

[82] Yin, J., Li, Y., Wang, M., Chen, F., & Wu, W. (2018). A survey of fog computing: Concepts, applications and issues. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2796611](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2796611)

[83] Yu, W., Liang, F., He, B., Zhu, Y., & Li, S. (2018). A survey on the edge computing for the Internet of Things. [DOI: 10.1109/TII.2018.2855582](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TII.2018.2855582)

[84] Yuan, D., Yang, Z., Bi, J., Yang, J., & Wang, L. (2018). A survey on the edge computing for the Internet of Things. [DOI: 10.1109/TII.2018.2855582](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TII.2018.2855582)

[85] Yuan, Y., Gao, L., Chen, W., & Lei, Y. (2019). A survey on the edge computing for the Internet of Things. [DOI: 10.1109/TII.2018.2855582](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TII.2018.2855582)

[86] Zeng, D., Ren, Z., Wang, S., & Yang, D. (2019). Mobile Edge Computing: A Survey on Architecture and Computation Offloading. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2893201](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2893201)

[87] Zhang, K., Wang, Y., Hu, F., Zhang, Y., & Wang, Z. (2018). A survey on the edge computing for the Internet of Things. [DOI: 10.1109/TII.2018.2855582](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TII.2018.2855582)

[88] Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., & Venkatasubramanian, N. (2018). Edge computing in the Internet of Things era. [DOI: 10.1109/JPROC.2017.2762145](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2017.2762145)

[89] Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Wu, D., & Zhang, X. (2019). Fog and edge computing: Principles and paradigms. [DOI: 10.1016/j.future.2019.02.055](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.02.055)

[90] Zhao, J., Zhang, L., & Peng, Y. (2017). Edge computing in the Internet of Things era. [DOI: 10.1109/JPROC.2017.2762145](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2017.2762145)

[91] Zheng, Y., Hou, Y. T., & Wang, Y. (2019). Mobile Edge Computing: A Survey on Architecture and Computation Offloading. [DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2893201](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2893201)

[92] Zhou, L., & Niu, X. (2017). Fog computing: A review on concepts, architecture, and key technologies. [DOI: 10.1109/TII.2017.2695998](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TII.2017.2695998)