

# From Regular to Strictly Locally Testable Languages \*

Stefano Crespi Reghizzi

Dipartimento di Elettronica e Informazione  
Politecnico di Milano  
crespi@elet.polimi.it

Pierluigi San Pietro

Dipartimento di Elettronica e Informazione  
Politecnico di Milano  
sanpietro@elet.polimi.it

A classical result (often credited to Y. Medvedev) states that every language recognized by a finite automaton is the homomorphic image of a local language, over a much larger so-called local alphabet, namely the alphabet of the edges of the transition graph. Local languages are characterized by the value  $k = 2$  of the sliding window width in the McNaughton and Papert's infinite hierarchy of strictly locally testable languages ( $k$ -slt). We generalize Medvedev's result in a new direction, studying the relationship between the width and the alphabetic ratio telling how much larger the local alphabet is. We prove that every regular language is the image of a  $k$ -slt language on an alphabet of doubled size, where the width logarithmically depends on the automaton size, and we exhibit regular languages for which any smaller alphabetic ratio is insufficient. More generally, we express the trade-off between alphabetic ratio and width as a mathematical relation derived from a careful encoding of the states. At last we mention some directions for theoretical development and application.

## 1 Introduction

A classical result [13], often credited to Y. Medvedev [12], states that every regular language is the homomorphic image of a local language over a larger alphabet called *local*. In a local language the sentences are characterized by three sets: the initial letters, the final letters and the set of factors of length  $k = 2$ . Parameter  $k$  is the *width* of the simplest sliding window device introduced by McNaughton and Papert [11]. The result simply derives from the fact that the set of paths in an edge-labelled graph is a local language over the alphabet of the edges. Considering a finite automaton for the regular language, the local language of accepting paths can be naturally projected on the original language.

Our work originates from two observations. First, in the classic result the alphabet of the local language is larger than the source alphabet, by a multiplicative factor, to be called the *alphabetic ratio*, in the order of the square of the number of states. The simplicity of sliding window machines and languages is very attractive, but the huge size of the local alphabet in Medvedev theorem makes their application impractical.

Then a natural question concerns the local alphabet in the classical result: how small can the alphabetic ratio be? A small alphabet may, for instance, allow to encode messages from a regular language into an slt language, to be transmitted over a communication channel, so that a more economical sliding window receiver can be used instead of a general finite state machine.

Second, the local languages are a member of McNaughton and Papert's [11] infinite hierarchy of *k*-strictly locally testable, for short  $k$ -slt, languages. Then, by considering  $k$ -slt, instead of just 2-slt i.e., local languages, we raise a more general question: what is the minimum alphabetic ratio such that, for some finite parameter  $k$ , every regular language is the alphabetic homomorphism of a  $k$ -slt language? In that case, how big does the width parameter  $k$  need to be? More precisely, our main result, which

---

\*Extended Abstract.

generalizes Medvedev theorem, expresses the trade-off between two parameters: the alphabetic ratio and the width.

We spend a few lines to show the early but enduring interest for subfamilies of regular languages characterized by some form of local testability, without entering into details.

At the basis of formal language theory, the classical theorem of N. Chomsky and M.P. Schutzenberger characterizes context-free languages by a homomorphism applied to the intersection of a Dyck language and a 2-slt one. Several similar characterizations for other language families have later been proved. In mathematics, the slt languages have been applied in the theory of semigroups by A. De Luca and A. Restivo [1]. In linguistics, a persistent idea is that natural languages can be modeled, at various levels, by locally testable properties. For instance, the psychologist W. Wickelgren [14] made the observation that the set of English words are essentially a 3-slt (finite) language, and several brain scientists (in particular V. Braitenberg [3]) have suggested that sequences of finite length, such as the factors occurring in a locally testable language, can be easily stored and recognized by certain neural circuits (in particular the synfire chains of M. Abeles) that have been observed in the cortex. In computational linguistics locally testable definitions have proved to be useful at various levels of finite-state models. Many persons (e.g. [7]) working on language learning models have been attracted by the efficiency of learning algorithms for various types of locally testable languages. Contemporary comparative work on the aural pattern recognition capabilities of humans and animals [10] have called attention to the subregular hierarchies induced by local testability. In mathematical biology, in his seminal article on language theory and DNA [9], T. Head shows that certain splicing languages are precisely the slt languages.

The paper is organized as follows. After the basic definitions in Section 2, we introduce in Section 3 a new classification of regular languages based on their homomorphic characterization via a  $k$ -slt language over an alphabet of size  $m$ . In Section 3 we prove a lower bound on the alphabetic ratio. In Section 4 we state and demonstrate a generalization of Medvedev theorem, including a mathematical analysis of the relationship between language complexity, alphabetic ratio, and width. The Conclusion presents an open problem and mentions conceivable developments and applications of the main result.

## 2 Preliminaries

The empty word is denoted by  $\varepsilon$ . The terminal alphabet of the source language is denoted by  $A$ . For simplicity we deal only with languages in  $A^+$ , which do not contain the empty word. The cardinality of an alphabet will be called the *arity*; the arity of a language is the arity of its alphabet.

A nondeterministic finite automaton (NFA)  $M$  is a quintuple  $M = (Q, A, E, q_0, F)$  where  $Q$  is a finite set of states,  $A$  is a finite alphabet, the transition relation (or graph) is  $E \subseteq Q \times A \times Q$ ,  $q_0 \in Q$  is the initial state;  $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of final states, which does not contain  $q_0$  (since only  $\varepsilon$ -free languages are considered).

Two transitions  $(p, a, q)$  and  $(p', a', q')$  are *consecutive* if  $q = p'$ . A *path*  $\eta = e_0 e_1 \dots e_{n-1}$  is a finite sequence of  $n > 0$  consecutive transitions  $e_0 = (p_0, a_0, p_1)$ ,  $e_1 = (p_1, a_1, p_2)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $e_{n-1} = (p_{n-1}, a_{n-1}, p_n)$ . The *origin* of  $\eta$  is  $o(\eta) = p_0$ , its *end* is  $e(\eta) = p_n$ , and its *label* is  $l(\eta) = a_0 a_1 \dots a_{n-1}$ . A *successful* path is a path with origin  $q_0$  and end in  $F$ . The language recognized by  $M$ , denoted  $L(M)$ , is the set of labels of all successful paths of  $M$ .

We assume, without loss of generality, that the transition relation is *total*, i.e., for every  $q \in Q, a \in A$ , set  $\{p \in Q \mid (q, a, p) \in E\} \neq \emptyset$  (if  $E$  is not total, just add a new sink state to  $Q$ ).

Given another finite alphabet  $B$ , an (*alphabetic*) *homomorphism* is a mapping  $\pi : B \rightarrow A$ . For a language  $L' \subseteq B^+$ , its (*homomorphic*) *image* under  $\pi$  is the language  $L = \{\pi(x) \mid x \in L'\}$ .

For every word  $w \in A^+$ , for every  $k \geq 2$ , let  $i_k(w)$  and  $t_k(w)$  denote the prefix and, respectively, the suffix of  $w$  of length  $k$  if  $|w| \geq k$ , or  $w$  itself if  $|w| < k$ . Let  $f_k(w)$  denote the set of factors of  $w$  of length  $k$ . Extend  $i_k, t_k, f_k$  to languages as usual, i.e.,  $i_k(L) = \{i_k(w) \mid w \in L\}$ ,  $t_k(L) = \{t_k(w) \mid w \in L\}$ , and  $f_k(L) = \bigcup_{w \in L} f_k(w)$ . A factor of a word  $w$  starting at position  $k$  and ending at position  $h$ , with  $1 \leq h, k \leq |w|$ , is defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} s_{k,h}(w) = \varepsilon & \text{if } h < k \\ s_{k,h}(w) = i_{h-k+1}(t_{|w|-k+1}(w)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence, for  $h \geq k$ ,  $|s_{k,h}(w)| = h - k + 1$ .

**Definition 1.** A language  $L$  is  $k$ -strictly locally testable<sup>1</sup>, shortly  $k$ -slt, if there exist finite sets  $I_{k-1}, T_{k-1} \subseteq A^{k-1}$  and  $F_k \subseteq A^k$  such that, for every  $x \in A^k A^*$ , the following condition holds:

$$x \in L \iff i_{k-1}(x) \in I_{k-1} \wedge t_{k-1}(x) \in T_{k-1} \wedge f_k(x) \subseteq F_k$$

A language is strictly locally testable (slt) if it is  $k$ -slt for some  $k$  to be called the width.

This definition ignores words shorter than  $k - 1$ , which however can be checked directly against a finite set, if needed. The case  $k = 2$  corresponds to the very well known family of *local languages* (see for instance [13] or [2]). The following example will be referred to later.

**Example 1.** The language  $L' = (a'a)^+ \cup (b'b)^+$  is 2-slt, i.e., local, since it can be defined by the sets  $I_1 = \{a', b'\}$ ,  $T_1 = \{a, b\}$ ,  $F_2 = \{a'a, b'b, aa', bb'\}$ .

It is known and straightforward to prove that the family of slt languages is strictly included in the family of regular languages, and it is an infinite strict hierarchy ordered by the width value. For instance, the language  $L_h = (ab^h)^+$  on  $A = \{a, b\}$ , with  $h > 1$  a constant, is  $(h + 1)$ -slt, but it is not  $h$ -slt. In fact,  $L_h$  is defined by the sets:  $I_h = \{ab^{h-1}\}$ ,  $T_h = \{b^h\}$ ,  $F_{h+1} = \{b^i ab^{h-i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq h\}$ . However,  $L_h$  is not  $h$ -slt: consider the words  $ab^h \in L_h$  and  $ab^{h+1} \notin L_h$ :  $i_{h-1}(ab^h) = i_{h-1}(ab^{h+1}) = ab^{h-2}$ ,  $t_{h-1}(ab^h) = t_{h-1}(ab^{h+1}) = b^{h-1}$ ,  $f_h(ab^h) = \{ab^{h-1}, b^h\} = f_h(ab^{h+1})$ . Hence, the two words above cannot be distinguished by using width  $h$ .

### 3 Lower Bounds

As said, every regular language, to be referred to as *source*, is the image of a 2-slt language whose arity may be much larger than the arity of the source. To talk precisely about the width of the slt language and of the ratio of the arities of the slt and source languages, we introduce a definition.

**Definition 2.** For  $k \geq 2, m \geq 1$ , a language  $L \subseteq A^+$  is  $(m, k)$ -homomorphic if there exist an alphabet  $B$  (called local) of arity  $m$ , a  $k$ -slt language  $L' \subseteq B^+$ , and a homomorphism  $\pi : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $L = \pi(L')$ .

Clearly, if  $L \subseteq A^+$  is  $k$ -slt then  $L$  is trivially  $(|A|, k)$ -homomorphic. Otherwise, a local alphabet larger than  $A$  is needed. For instance, the language  $L = (aa)^+ \cup (bb)^+$  is not slt but the language  $L' = (a'a)^+ \cup (b'b)^+$  of Ex. 1 is 2-slt. By defining  $\pi : \{a, a', b, b'\} \rightarrow \{a, b\}$  as  $\pi(a) = \pi(a') = a$ ,  $\pi(b) = \pi(b') = b$ , then  $L = \pi(L')$  and hence  $L$  is  $(4, 2)$ -homomorphic. The alphabetic ratio of  $L'$  and  $L$  is  $4/2 = 2$ .

The traditional construction (e.g. in [13]) of a 2-slt language  $L'$  considers an NFA  $(Q, A, E, I, F)$  of size  $n = |Q|$  for  $L$ , and uses set  $E$  as local alphabet, i.e., up to  $n^2 \cdot |A|$  elements. Hence we can restate Medvedev's property saying that every regular language on  $A$  is  $(n^2 \cdot |A|, 2)$ -homomorphic (the alphabetic ratio is  $n^2$ ). However, it is straightforward to show that the arity of the local alphabet can be reduced to  $n \cdot |A|$ .

<sup>1</sup>The original name in [11] is “ $k$ -testable in the strict sense”. This concept should not be confused with other language families based on local tests, see [4] for a recent account.

**Proposition 1.** *Every regular language, accepted by an NFA with  $n$  states, is  $(n \cdot |A|, 2)$ -homomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $M = (Q, A, E, q_0, F)$  be an NFA. Define two mappings  $\pi : Q \times A \rightarrow A$  and  $\rho : Q \times A \times Q \rightarrow Q \times A$  such that  $\pi(\langle q, a \rangle) = a$ , for every  $a \in A$ ,  $q \in Q$  and  $\rho(p, a, q) = \langle p, a \rangle$  for every  $p, q \in Q, a \in A$ . The following sets define a 2-slt language  $L' \subseteq (Q \times A)^+$ :

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \{\langle q_0, a \rangle \mid a \in A\}; \\ F_2 &= \{\langle q, a \rangle \langle q', b \rangle \mid a, b \in A, q, q' \in Q, (q, a, q') \in E\}; \\ T_1 &= \{\langle q, a \rangle \mid a \in A, \exists q' \in F : (q, a, q') \in E\}. \end{aligned}$$

We show first that  $\pi(L') \subseteq L$ . Let  $w \in \pi(L')$ . Hence, there exists  $x \in L'$  such that  $\pi(x) = w$ . We claim that there exists a successful path  $\eta$  of  $M$  such that  $x = \rho(\eta)$ . Let  $n = |w|$ . Since  $x \in L'$ , there exist  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{n-1} \in Q$ ,  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$  such that  $x = \langle q_0, a_0 \rangle \langle q_1, a_1 \rangle \dots \langle q_{n-1}, a_n \rangle$ , and  $w = a_0 a_1 \dots a_{n-1}$ . Since  $\langle q_{n-1}, a_n \rangle \in T_1$ , there exists  $q \in F$  such that  $(q_{n-1}, a_{n-1}, q) \in E$ . Let  $\eta$  be  $(q_0, a_0, q_1) (q_1, a_1, q_2) \dots (q_{n-1}, a_{n-1}, q)$ :  $\eta$  has label  $w$ , origin in  $q_0$  and end in a final state; moreover,  $\rho(\eta) = x$ . By definition of  $F_2$ , every factor  $\langle q_{i-1}, a_i \rangle \langle q_i, a_{i+1} \rangle$  of  $x$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , must be such that  $(q_{i-1}, a_i, q_i) \in E$ , hence all transitions of  $\eta$  are consecutive, i.e.,  $\eta$  is a successful path of label  $w$ .

We show that  $L \subseteq \pi(L')$ . Let  $w \in L$  be accepted by a successful path  $\eta$  of  $M$  of the form

$$(q_0, a_0, q_1)(q_1, a_1, q_2) \dots (q_{n-1}, a_{n-1}, q_n),$$

with  $q_n \in F$  and  $a_0 \dots a_{n-1} = w$ . We claim that  $\rho(\eta) \in L'$ . In fact,  $i_1(\rho(\eta)) = \langle q_0, a_0 \rangle \in I_1$ ,  $t_1(\rho(\eta)) = \langle q_{n-1}, a_n \rangle \in T_1$  and  $f_2(\rho(\eta)) = \{\langle q_{i-1}, a_i \rangle \langle q_i, a_{i+1} \rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . Since each  $(q_{i-1}, a_i, q_i) \in E$  (being a transition of  $\eta$ ),  $f_2(\rho(\eta)) \subseteq F_2$ .  $\square$

A natural question to be later addressed, is whether, by allowing the width  $k$  to be larger than 2, it is possible to reduce the arity of the local alphabet to less than  $n \cdot |A|$ . Next we prove the simple, but perhaps unexpected result, that the local alphabet cannot be smaller than twice the size of the source one.

**Theorem 1.** *For every alphabet  $A$ , there exists a regular language  $L \subseteq A^+$  that is not  $(2 \cdot |A| - 1, k)$ -homomorphic, for every  $k \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be defined by the regular expression  $\bigcup_{a \in A} (aa)^*$ . By contradiction, assume that there exist  $k \geq 2$  and a local alphabet  $B$  of arity  $2|A| - 1$ , a mapping  $\pi : B \rightarrow A$  and a  $k$ -slt language  $L' \subseteq B^+$  such that  $\pi(L') = L$ . Since  $|B| = 2 \cdot |A| - 1$ , there exists at least one symbol of  $A$ , say,  $a$ , such that there is only one symbol  $b \in B$  such that  $\pi(b) = a$ . Since the word  $a^{2k} \in L$ , there exists  $x \in L'$  such that  $\pi(x) = a^{2k}$ . By definition of  $\pi$  and of  $B$ ,  $x = b^{2k}$ . Consider the word  $xb = b^{2k+1}$ . Clearly,  $\pi(xb) = a^{2k+1}$ , which is not in  $L$ , since all words in  $L$  have even length. Hence,  $xb \notin L'$ . But  $i_{k-1}(x) = i_{k-1}(xb) = b^{k-1}$ ,  $t_{k-1}(x) = t_{k-1}(xb) = b^{k-1}$ ,  $f_k(x) = f_k(xb) = b^k$  and, by Definition 1,  $xb$  is in  $L'$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

The same result holds (with a very similar proof) if in the statement the class of *strictly* locally testable languages is replaced by the class of *locally testable* languages<sup>2</sup>. The question whether an alphabetic ratio of two is sufficient is addressed in the next section.

<sup>2</sup>They are the boolean closure of slt languages, see [11].

## 4 Main Result

The intuitive idea that by increasing the width one can use a smaller alphabet for the slt language, is studied in detail. Our approach consists of defining an slt language using a larger alphabet that encodes the states traversed by the original automaton into words of fixed length. Our main theorem states the relationship between the language complexity in terms of number of states, the alphabetic ratio, and the width of the slt language.

**Theorem 2.** *If a language  $L \subseteq A^+$  is accepted by a NFA with  $n > 1$  states, then for every  $h \geq 2$ ,  $L$  is  $(h|A|, O(\frac{\lg n}{\lg h}))$ -homomorphic.*

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof. Special care is devoted to find a very succinct encoding of the original states into strings of the local alphabet, in order to reach the minimal alphabetic ratio. Since it may be important for applications, our encoding produces also a small, although not optimal, width of the slt language. The proofs are organized so that the main lemmas hold, independently of the chosen encoding, which only affects the numerical results. This organization has the advantage that the proof is essentially unaffected by the encoding.

The next definitions set the base for stating the properties a good encoding should have. Only fixed-length encodings are considered. Let  $D$  be a finite alphabet. Let  $M = (Q, A, E, q_0, F)$  be a NFA, where  $E$  is total, and let  $n = |Q| > 1$ .

Given an integer  $m \geq \lceil \lg_{|D|}(|Q|) \rceil$ , a *code* of  $Q$  into  $D$  of length  $m$  is a mapping  $[\ ] : Q \rightarrow D^m$  such that for every  $p, q \in Q$ , if  $p \neq q$  then  $[p] \neq [q]$ . Consider a word  $x$  that is a factor of  $[Q^+]$ . We want to decode  $x$  to one state. This will be useful when defining a slt language whose homomorphic image is  $L(M)$ . If  $|x| \geq 2m$ , since  $x$  may include the concatenation of  $[q]$  and  $[p]$ ,  $q, p \in Q$ , it is not decodable to just one state symbol; moreover, if  $|x| < 2m - 1$  then  $x$  may not contain any factor of the form  $[q]$ . However, if  $|x|$  is exactly  $2m - 1$ , then the word is bound to include at least one factor of the form  $[q]$ , for some  $q \in Q$ , which can be decoded to  $q$ . In addition, we want this decoding to be unique.

The traditional notion of decodability (for every  $x, y \in Q^+$ , if  $[x] = [y]$  then  $x = y$ ) is not adequate, since it assumes that the word to be decoded is a string in  $[Q^+]$ , while we need to consider a *factor* of  $[Q^+]$ . A word  $x \in D^{2m-1}$  is said to be *factor-decodable* if there exists one, and only one, position  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$ , such that there exists  $q \in Q$ :  $s_{j, j+m}(x) = [q]$ . A code  $[\ ] : Q \rightarrow D^m$  is *factor-decodable* if every word in  $f_{2m-1}([Q^+])$  is factor-decodable.

**Lemma 1.** *For all finite alphabets  $Q, D$  of cardinalities  $n = |Q|$  and  $h = |D|$ , with  $n \geq 2$ ,  $2 \leq h < n$ , there exists a factor-decodable code of  $Q$  into  $D$  of length  $m = \lceil g(h) + f(h) \lg_2 n \rceil \geq 3$ , with:*

$$f(h) = \lg_2^{-1} \left( h - 1 + \sqrt{(h-1)(h+3)} \right) - 1$$

$$g(h) = 1 + \frac{f(h)}{2} (\lg_2(h-1) + \lg_2(h+3)).$$

**Sketch of the proof.** Let  $0 \in D$  be a symbol. The idea is to let code  $[\ ]$  be such that for every  $q \in Q$ ,  $[q]$  ends with the word  $00$ , i.e.,  $s_{m-1, m}([q]) = 00$  and there is no other occurrence of  $00$  in  $[q]$ . Formally, for every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ , if  $s_{i, i+1}([q]) = 00$  then  $i = m - 1$ . This is enough for factor-decodability. To find how large  $m$  must be as a function of  $h$  and  $n$ , first consider, for every  $m \geq 2$ , the set  $S(m)$  of words in  $D^m$  such that  $x \in S(m)$  if  $x$  has suffix  $00$  and in  $x$  there is no other occurrence of  $00$ . If  $|S(m)| \geq n$ , then it is possible to assign a distinct word in  $S(m)$  to every state of  $Q$ . The definition of  $S(m)$  is by induction on  $m \geq 2$ .  $S(2) = \{00\}$ , i.e., the only word in  $S(2)$  is  $00$ .  $S(3) = \{d00 \mid d \in D - \{0\}\}$ . Given

sets  $S(m-1), S(m-2)$ , let  $S(m)$  be:

$$\{dy \mid d \in D - \{0\}, y \in S(m-1)\} \cup \{0dx \mid d \in D - \{0\}, y \in S(m-2)\}.$$

Hence,  $|S(2)| = 1, |S(3)| = h - 1$  and

$$|S(m)| = (h-1)|S(m-1)| + (h-1)|S(m-2)|.$$

This recurrence relation is strictly connected to the so-called Lucas sequence  $U_m(P, Q)$ , where  $P, Q^3$  are integers (see, e.g. p. 395 of [6]):  $U_1(P, Q) = 1, U_2(P, Q) = P$ , and for  $m \geq 3, U_m(P, Q) = PU_{m-1}(P, Q) - QU_{m-2}(P, Q)$ . For  $P = 1, Q = -1$  this is just a Fibonacci sequence. If  $P^2 - 4Q \geq 0$ , a closed-form solution for every  $m > 0$  is  $U_m(P, Q) = \frac{a^m - b^m}{a - b}$ , where  $a = \frac{P + \sqrt{P^2 - 4Q}}{2}, b = \frac{P - \sqrt{P^2 - 4Q}}{2}$ . With standard algebraic manipulations and by defining  $f(h), g(h)$  as in the statement of the Lemma, one can derive that  $|S(m)| \geq n$  is satisfied if  $m = \lceil g(h) + f(h) \lg_2 n \rceil$ .

**Remark 1.** Both  $f(h)$  and  $g(h)$  are monotonically decreasing with  $h$ , although very slowly for large  $h$ , with  $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} f(h) \lg_2 h = 1, \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} g(h) = 2$ . with, moreover,  $0 < f(h) \lesssim 1.44, 2 \leq g(h) \lesssim 4.11$ . The expression for  $m$  is  $O\left(\frac{\lg n}{\lg h}\right)$ . By definition of a code,  $m$  cannot be smaller than  $m_{\min} = \lceil \frac{\lg_2 n}{\lg_2 h} \rceil$ , i.e.,  $m$  is  $\Omega\left(\frac{\lg n}{\lg h}\right)$ , hence the code of Lemma 1 is asymptotically optimal. In particular, the ratio  $m/m_{\min}$ , where  $m$  is computed by the above formula, is dominated by term  $f(h) \lg_2 h \lesssim 1.44$ , which is very close to 1 for  $h \geq 3$ . Hence, no encoding can significantly improve  $f(h)$  (or  $g(h)$ ), decreasing  $m/m_{\min}$ . A few examples of approximated values for  $f(h), g(h)$ , and  $f(h) \lg_2 h$  are:

$h$	2	3	4	10	100	1000
$f(h)$	1.44	0.68	0.52	0.29	0.15	0.10
$g(h)$	4.11	2.92	2.66	2.34	2.15	2.10
$f(h) \lg_2 h$	1.44	1.09	1.04	1.00	1.00	1.00

To prove Th. 2, a few more definitions are required. Define the following alphabetic homomorphisms:  $\alpha : A \times D \rightarrow A, \delta : A \times D \rightarrow D$  are such that  $\alpha(a, d) = a, \delta(a, d) = d$  for every  $a \in A, d \in D$ .

A path of  $M$  of length  $t \geq 0$  is called a  $t$ -path. Paths  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_k$  of  $M, k \geq 2$ , are called *consecutive* if  $\eta_1 \eta_2 \dots \eta_k$  is also a path of  $M$  (i.e.  $e(\eta_h) = o(\eta_{h+1})$ , for all  $1 \leq h \leq k-1$ ). With an abuse of notation, let  $[\ ] : (Q \times A \times Q)^* \rightarrow (A \times D)^*$  be defined on paths as follows. Let  $\eta$  be a  $t$ -path. If  $t = 0$  then  $[\eta] = \varepsilon$ ; if  $1 \leq t \leq m$ , let  $[\eta]$  be the unique word  $z$  in  $(A \times D)^m$  such that  $\alpha(z) = l(\eta), \delta(z) = i_t([o(\eta)])$  (i.e.,  $\delta(z) = [o(\eta)]$  if  $\eta$  is a  $m$ -path).

If  $|\eta| > m$ , then there exist a unique  $k \geq 1$  and a unique  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$  such that  $|\eta| = km + j$ ; hence, there exist  $k+1$  consecutive paths of  $M$ , denoted by  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_k, \eta_{k+1}$  such that  $\eta = \eta_1 \eta_2 \dots \eta_k \eta_{k+1}$ , each  $\eta_h, 1 \leq h \leq k$ , is a  $m$ -path and  $\eta_{k+1}$  is a  $j$ -path. This decomposition in consecutive paths is called the *canonical decomposition* of  $\eta$ . Then,  $[\eta]$  is defined as  $[\eta_1][\eta_2] \dots [\eta_k][\eta_{k+1}]$ .

Let  $L'$  be the  $2m$ -slt language defined by the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2m-1} &= i_{2m-1}(\{[\eta' \eta''] \mid \eta', \eta'' \text{ are consecutive } m\text{-paths of } M \wedge \delta([\eta']) = [q_0]\}); \\ F_{2m} &= f_{2m}(\{[\eta' \eta'' \eta'''] \mid \eta', \eta'', \eta''' \text{ are consecutive } m\text{-paths of } M\}); \\ T_{2m-1} &= t_{2m-1}(\{[\eta' \eta'' \eta'''] \mid \eta', \eta'', \eta''' \text{ are consecutive paths of } M, |\eta'| = |\eta''| = m, \\ &\quad 0 \leq |\eta'''| < m, e(\eta'' \eta''') \in F\}). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the following lemma follows from uniqueness of factor-decodability:

<sup>3</sup>Beware that  $Q$  is not the set of states.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $[\ ] : Q \rightarrow D^m$  be a factor-decodable code. For all  $z \in F_{2m}$ , there exist a position  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ , and two consecutive paths  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  of  $M$  such that:

1.  $\eta_1$  is a  $m$ -path, and  $t_{2m-j+1}(z) = [\eta_1][\eta_2]$ ;
2. for any two consecutive paths of  $M$ ,  $\eta_I, \eta_{II}$ , if  $\eta_I$  is a  $m$ -path and  $[\eta_I][\eta_{II}]$  is a suffix of  $z$  then  $[\eta_I] = [\eta_I]$  and  $[\eta_2] = [\eta_{II}]$ ;
3. if  $\delta(i_m(z)) = [q]$  for some  $q \in Q$ , then  $j = 1$ ,  $\eta_2$  is a  $m$ -path and  $o(\eta_1) = q$ ;
4. if  $t_{2m-1}(z) \in T_{2m-1}$ , then  $e(\eta_1\eta_2) \in F$ .

**Lemma 3.** There exists a finite language  $L'' \subseteq A^+$  such that  $\alpha(L') \cup L'' = L(M)$ .

**Sketch of the Proof** Let  $L''$  be the set of words in  $L(M)$  of length less than  $3m$ .

Part (I):  $(L(M) - L'') \subseteq \alpha(L(M))$ . Assume that  $x \in L(M)$ ,  $|x| \geq 3m$ . To show that there exists a successful path  $\eta$  of  $M$  such that  $l(\eta) = x$ , we first claim the following result for every path, whether successful or not:

$$(*) \text{ for all paths } \eta \text{ of } M, \text{ with } |\eta| \geq 3m, f_{2m}([\eta]) \subseteq F_{2m}.$$

The proof of (\*) is on induction on the canonical decomposition of  $\eta$ . Part (I) can now be completed. For all  $x \in L(M) - L''$ , let  $\eta$  be a successful path of  $M$  with  $l(\eta) = x$ ; moreover, let  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k, \eta_{k+1}$  be the canonical decomposition of  $\eta$ . By (\*),  $f_{2m}([\eta]) \subseteq F_{2m}$ . But  $\eta$  is successful:  $o(\eta) = o(\eta_1) = q_0$ , hence  $i_{2m-1}([\eta]) = i_{2m-1}([\eta_1][\eta_2]) \in I_{2m-1}$ ;  $e(\eta) \in F$ , hence  $t_{2m-1}([\eta]) = t_{2m-1}([\eta_{k-1}\eta_k\eta_{k+1}]) \in T_{2m-1}$ . Therefore,  $[\eta] \in L'$ .

Part (II):  $\alpha(L') \subseteq L(M)$ . The proof needs the assumption that code  $[\ ]$  is factor-decodable. The following property can be proved by induction on  $k \geq 2$ , by applying Lemma 2:

(+) for all words  $z \in (A \times D)^+$ ,  $|z| \geq 2m$ , if  $f_{2m}(z) \subseteq F_{2m}$  and  $i_{2m-1}(z) \in I_{2m-1}$  then there exists a path  $\eta$  of  $M$  such that  $z = [\eta]$  and  $o(\eta) = q_0$ .

The proof of Part (II) follows from (+). In fact, if  $x \in \alpha(L')$ , with  $|x| \geq 3m$ , then there exists  $z \in L'$  such that  $x = \alpha(z)$ . Since in this case  $i_{2m-1}(z) \in I_{2m-1}$ ,  $f_{2m}(z) \subseteq F_{2m}$ ,  $t_{2m-1}(z) \in T_{2m-1}$ , by (+) there exists a path  $\eta$  of  $M$  with origin in  $q_0$  and such that  $z = [\eta]$ . Let  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_k, \eta_{k+1}$  be the canonical decomposition of  $\eta$ , with  $|\eta| = km + j$ ,  $k \geq 3$  and  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$  (hence  $|\eta_{k+1}| = j$ ). Let  $w = t_{2m-1}([\eta_{k-1}][\eta_k][\eta_{k+1}])$  and consider  $t_{2m-1}(z) = t_{2m-1}([\eta]) = t_{2m-1}([\eta_{k-1}][\eta_k][\eta_{k+1}]) = w$ . Apply Lemma 2, Part (1), to  $w \in F_{2m}$ ,  $w \in T_{2m-1}$ . Hence, there exist a position  $h$  and consecutive  $\eta', \eta''$ , with  $\eta'$  a  $m$ -path, such that  $t_{2m-h}(w) = [\eta'][\eta'']$ . Since  $[\eta_k][\eta_{k+1}]$  (of length  $m + j \leq 2m - 1$ ) is also a suffix of  $w$ , by Part (2) of Lemma 2,  $[\eta_k] = [\eta']$ ,  $[\eta_{k+1}] = [\eta'']$ . Since  $o(\eta_k) = o(\eta')$ , also paths  $\eta_{k-1}, \eta'$  are consecutive. Hence,  $z = [\eta] = [\eta_1 \dots \eta_{k-1} \eta_k \eta_{k+1}] = [\eta_1 \dots \eta_{k-1}][\eta_k \eta_{k+1}] = [\eta_1 \dots \eta_{k-1}][\eta' \eta''] = [\eta_1 \dots \eta_{k-1} \eta' \eta'']$ . Therefore, path  $\eta_1 \dots \eta_{k-1} \eta' \eta''$  has label  $z$ , origin  $q_0$ , and end  $e(\eta' \eta'')$  in  $F$ , i.e., it is successful:  $x \in L$ .

The proof of Th. 2 is now immediate. By Lemmas 1 and 3,  $m = \lceil g(h) + f(h) \lg_2 n \rceil$ , and  $L'$  is  $2m$ -slt. Hence,  $L$  is  $(2|A|, 2\lceil g(h) + f(h) \lg_2 n \rceil)$ -slt, with  $2\lceil g(h) + f(h) \lg_2 n \rceil$  being  $O\left(\frac{\lg n}{\lg h}\right)$ . A few examples of width for various values of number  $n$  of states and alphabetic ratio  $h$  are shown here.

$h \backslash n$	10	$10^3$	$10^6$	$10^9$	$10^{40}$
2	18	38	66	94	392
3	12	20	34	48	190
4	10	16	28	38	144
10	8	12	18	24	86
100	6	8	12	14	46
1000	6	8	10	12	32

Hence, by enlarging the local alphabet, a smaller width suffices to construct the slt language. However, it is useless to take an alphabetic ratio  $h \geq n$ , since in this case one can use the simpler construction of Prop. 1. To finish, we note that for many regular languages one can obtain a homomorphic definition that uses lower values of alphabetic ratio and/or width than those obtained by the main theorem.

## 5 Conclusion

We have generalized Medvedev's homomorphic characterization of regular languages: instead of using as generator a local language over a large alphabet, which depends on the complexity of the regular language, we can use a strictly locally testable language over a smaller alphabet that does not depend on complexity, but just on the source alphabet. We have proved that the smallest alphabet one can use in the generator is the double of the alphabet of the regular language; thus, for instance, four symbols suffice to homomorphically generate any regular binary language.

In the main proof we have offered a specific and fairly optimized construction of the strictly locally testable language, for which we have derived the relationship between the width, the alphabetic ratio, and the complexity of the regular language. In our opinion, the construction should be of its own interest, as a new technique for simulating a NFA by means of a larger, yet strictly locally testable, machine. Our encoding is asymptotically optimal with respect to language complexity, and remains very close to the theoretical optimum for finite values of complexity. But it is an open technical question whether a different construction would yield better values for the alphabetic ratio and the width parameter.

Applications and developments of our result are conceivable in areas where a language characterization *à la* Medvedev has been found valuable, as in the next ones.

Picture languages. A main family of 2-dimensional languages, the tiling systems [8], is defined by a 2-dimensional Medvedev characterization. Does our result extend to 2D languages?

Context-free languages. Combining our result with the Chomsky-Schutzenberger theorem it should be possible to obtain non-erasing homomorphic characterizations using a small alphabet.

Consensual languages [5]. This generalization of finite-state machines motivated by modelling tightly connected concurrent computations uses homomorphism between words as its core mechanism.

Information transmission for reducing the receiver cost was already mentioned in the introduction.

*Acknowledgments:* Thanks to Aldo De Luca for suggesting relevant references.

## References

- [1] A. de Luca and A. Restivo (1980): *A Characterization of Strictly Locally Testable Languages and Its Applications to Subsemigroups of a Free Semigroup*. *Information and Control* 44(3), pp. 300–319.
- [2] J. Berstel & J.E. Pin (1996): *Local Languages and the Berry-Sethi Algorithm*. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 155(2), pp. 439–446, doi:10.1016/0304-3975(95)00104-2.
- [3] V. Braitenberg (2004): *Das Bild der Welt im Kopf: Eine Naturgeschichte des Geistes*. LIT Verlag, Muenster.
- [4] P. Caron (2000): *Families of locally testable languages*. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 242(1-2), pp. 361–376, doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(98)00332-6.
- [5] S. Crespi Reghizzi & Pierluigi San Pietro (2011): *Consensual languages and matching finite-state computations*. *RAIRO Theor. Informatics and Appl.* 45, pp. 77–97.
- [6] L.E. Dickson (1919): *History of the Theory of Numbers*. Carnegie Institution of Washington, Online version at: <http://www.archive.org/details/historyoftheoryo01dick>.



- [7] P. Garcia & E. Vidal (1990): *Inference of K-Testable Languages in the Strict Sense and Application to Syntactic Pattern Recognition*. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 12(9), pp. 920–925, doi:10.1109/34.57687.
- [8] D. Giammarresi & A. Restivo (1997): *Two-dimensional languages*. In G. Rozenberg & A. Salomaa, editors: *Handbook of formal languages, vol. 3: beyond words*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, NY, USA, pp. 215–267.
- [9] T. Head (1987): *Formal language theory and DNA: An analysis of the generative capacity of specific recombinant behaviors*. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology* 49, pp. 737–759, doi:10.1007/BF02481771.
- [10] J. Rogers and G. Pullum (2011): *Aural pattern recognition experiments and the subregular hierarchy*. *Journ. of Logic Language and Information* to appear.
- [11] R. McNaughton & S. Papert (1971): *Counter-free Automata*. MIT Press, Cambridge, USA.
- [12] Y. T. Medvedev (1964): *On the class of events representable in a finite automaton*. In E. F. Moore, editor: *Sequential machines – Selected papers (translated from Russian)*, Addison-Wesley, New York, NY, USA, pp. 215–227.
- [13] S. Eilenberg (1974): *Automata, Languages, and Machines*. Academic Press.
- [14] W. A. Wickelgren (1969): *Context-sensitive coding, associative memory and serial order in (speech) behavior*. *Psychological Review* 76(1), doi:10.1037/h0026823.